

(or A -linear hom)

(1) Suppose M, N are A -modules. An A -module hom. $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ is a function that respects the A -module structures:
 i.e. $\varphi(m_1 + m_2) = \varphi(m_1) + \varphi(m_2)$ and $\varphi(a \cdot m) = a \cdot \varphi(m)$.

Given a hom. $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$, the image $\text{Im}(\varphi)$ is a submodule of N , the kernel $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$ is a submodule of M , and
 $M/\text{Ker}(\varphi) \cong \text{Im}(\varphi)$
 as A -modules.



Exercise Let A be a ring, $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$ an ideal, and M an A -module.

(1) Define $\mathfrak{a} \cdot M := \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{finite sums of elements} \\ a \cdot m, \text{ for } a \in \mathfrak{a} \text{ and } m \in M \end{array} \right\}$.

Show that $\mathfrak{a} \cdot M$ is an A -submod. of M .

(2) Let $\bar{A} := A/\mathfrak{a}$, the quotient ring; and $\bar{M} := M/\mathfrak{a} \cdot M$, the quotient module.

Show that \bar{M} is an \bar{A} -module. More precisely, show that \bar{M} has a unique \bar{A} -mod. structure st. the can. hom. $M \rightarrow \bar{M}$ is A -linear.

page 10

Let M be an abelian group, and let X be a set. By family of elements of M indexed by X we mean a function $\sigma: X \rightarrow M$. The support of σ is the set $\{x \in X \mid \sigma(x) \neq 0\}$. We often write $\{m_x\}_{x \in X}$ instead of σ , where $m_x := \sigma(x) \in M$.

Example If $X = \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$, then a family $\{m_x\}_{x \in X}$ is just a sequence.

Suppose $\{m_x\}_{x \in X}$ has finite support. Let $X' \subset X$ be a finite set containing the support. We define
$$\sum_{x \in X} m_x := \sum_{x \in X'} m_x \in M.$$

This sum does not depend on X' .

This notation is good for operations. If $\psi: M \rightarrow N$ is an A -module hom., then $\{\psi(m_x)\}_{x \in X}$ is a fin. supported family in N , and

$$\psi\left(\sum_{x \in X} m_x\right) = \sum_{x \in X} \psi(m_x).$$

Likewise
$$a \cdot \left(\sum_x m_x\right) = \sum_x a \cdot m_x.$$

If $\{m_x\}$ is any family in M , and $\{a_x\}$ is a finitely supp. family in A , then $\{a_x \cdot m_x\}_{x \in X}$ is fin. supp.

page 1

The zero family is the constant family $a_x = 0$.

Def. Let M be an A -module, and let $\{m_x\}_{x \in X}$ be a family in M . Assume the ring A is nontrivial.
1) We say that $\{m_x\}$ generates M if for any $m \in M$ there exists a finitely supported family $\{a_x\}$ in A , s.t.

$$m = \sum_{x \in X} a_x \cdot m_x.$$

2) We say that $\{m_x\}$ is linearly independent if the only fin. sup. family $\{a_x\}$ in A satisfying

$$\sum_{x \in X} a_x \cdot m_x = 0$$

is the zero family.

3) We say that $\{m_x\}$ is a basis of M if it generates M and is linearly independent.

4) M is called a free module if it has a basis.

Prop.

The family $\{m_x\}$ is a basis of M iff for any $m \in M$ there is a unique fin. sup. family $\{a_x\}$ in A s.t. $m = \sum_x a_x \cdot m_x$.

It Like in linear algebra. \square

(12) Exercise If $\{m_x\}_{x \in X} = \sigma$ is a basis of M , then the function $\sigma: X \rightarrow M$ is injective. (Recall that $A \neq \{0\}$.) For this reason we sometimes say that a basis of M is a subset (i.e. $\sigma(X) \subseteq M$) satisfying ...

Exercise Let A be a ring, $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A$ an ideal, M an A -module, and $\{m_x\}_{x \in X}$ a family in M that generates it. Show that an element $m \in M$ belongs to $\mathfrak{a} \cdot M$ iff there is a fin. supp. family $\{a_x\}$ in \mathfrak{a} s.t. $m = \sum_x a_x \cdot m_x$.



13

Example Let A be a nonzero ring and X a set.

Define $F_{\text{fin}}(X, A) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{functions } \sigma: X \rightarrow A \\ \text{finitely supported} \end{array} \right\}$

This is an A -module, with operations

$$(\sigma + \tau)(x) := \sigma(x) + \tau(x)$$

$$(a \cdot \sigma)(x) := a \cdot \sigma(x).$$

For any $x \in X$ we have the delta function

$$\delta_x \in F_{\text{fin}}(X, A), \quad \delta_x(y) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y=x \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Take any $\sigma \in F_{\text{fin}}(X, A)$. Then the family

$\sigma = \{\sigma(x)\}_{x \in X}$ has finite support, and it is the only element of $F_{\text{fin}}(X, A)$ s.t.

$$\sigma = \sum_{x \in X} \sigma(x) \cdot \delta_x.$$

We see that $\{\delta_x\}_{x \in X}$ is a basis of $F_{\text{fin}}(X, A)$.

14

Theorem Let A be a nonzero ring, let M be an A -module, and let $\{m_x\}_{x \in X}$ and $\{n_y\}_{y \in Y}$ be bases of M .

Then $|X| = |Y|$.

(i.e. $a \neq \emptyset$)

Proposition Let $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$ be a proper ideal, and write $\bar{A} := A/\mathfrak{a}$, $\bar{M} := M/\mathfrak{a} \cdot M$. So \bar{M} is an \bar{A} -module, and there is an \bar{A} -linear surjection $\bar{\pi}: M \rightarrow \bar{M}$. Assume $\{m_x\}_{x \in X}$ is a basis of the A -module M . Write $\bar{m}_x := \bar{\pi}(m_x) \in \bar{M}$.

Then $\{\bar{m}_x\}_{x \in X}$ is a basis of the \bar{A} -module \bar{M} .
 the family

PF. (below)

PF of Thm. Choose a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$. By the lemma, the families $\{\bar{m}_x\}_{x \in X}$ and $\{n_y\}_{y \in Y}$ are both bases of the \bar{A} -module \bar{M} . But \bar{A} is a field, so any two bases have the same cardinality. \square

proof of Prop.

Step 1. We show $\{\bar{m}_x\}$ generates \bar{M} . Take $\bar{m} \in \bar{M}$, and choose a lifting $m \in M$; i.e. $\bar{\pi}(m) = \bar{m}$. There is a fin. sup. family \Rightarrow

page 7 (cont.)
 (15) $\{a_x\}$ in A s.t. $m = \sum_x a_x m_x$. Write $\bar{a}_x := \pi(a_x) \in \bar{A}$. Then $\{\bar{a}_x\}$ is a fin. sup. family in \bar{A} , and $\bar{m} = \pi(m) = \pi(\sum_x a_x m_x) = \sum_x \bar{a}_x \bar{m}_x$.

Step 2. We show that $\{\bar{m}_x\}$ is linearly independent.

Suppose $\{\bar{a}_x\}$ is a fin. sup. family in \bar{A} s.t.

$\sum_x \bar{a}_x \bar{m}_x = 0$. For any x choose some lifting $a_x \in A$ of \bar{a}_x , s.t. $a_x = 0$ if $\bar{a}_x = 0$. So $\{a_x\}$ is a fin. sup. family in A . Now

$$\pi(\sum_x a_x m_x) = \sum_x \bar{a}_x \bar{m}_x = 0,$$

so

$$\sum_x a_x m_x \in \text{ann. } M,$$

According to one of the exercises, there is a fin. sup. family $\{b_x\}$ in ann. s.t. $\sum_x a_x m_x = \sum_x b_x m_x$.

Thus

$$\sum_x (a_x - b_x) \cdot m_x = 0.$$

Since $\{m_x\}$ is lin. indep., it follows that $a_x - b_x = 0$ for all x . Applying π we get

$$\bar{a}_x = \pi(a_x) = \pi(a_x - b_x) = 0.$$

□

In view of the theorem, the next def. makes sense.

Def. Let M be a free module over the (nontrivial) ring. Let $\{m_x\}_{x \in X}$ be a basis of M . The rank of M is the cardinality $|X|$.

10

Theorem Let A be a nontrivial ring, let M be an A -module, and let $\{m_x\}_{x \in X}$ be a family in M . The following conditions are equivalent.

(i) The family $\{m_x\}_{x \in X}$ is a basis of M .

(ii) (The Universal Property)

Let N be any A -module, and let $\{n_x\}_{x \in X}$ be a family in N , indexed by the same set X .

Then there is a unique A -module hom.

$$\varphi: M \rightarrow N$$

s.t. $\varphi(m_x) = n_x$ for all $x \in X$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Take any $m \in M$, and let $\{a_x\}$ be the unique fin. sup. family in A s.t. $m = \sum_x a_x m_x$.

Define $\varphi(m) := \sum_x a_x n_x \in N$. We get a function $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$. It is easy to see that φ is A -linear, and that $\varphi(m_x) = n_x$.

If $\varphi': M \rightarrow N$ is another such hom, then for any $m \in M$, with coefficients $\{a_x\}$, we have

$$\varphi'(m) = \varphi'\left(\sum_x a_x m_x\right) = \sum_x a_x \cdot \varphi'(m_x) = \sum_x a_x \cdot n_x = \varphi(m).$$

Thus $\varphi' = \varphi$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let N be a free A -module with basis $\{n_x\}_{x \in X}$. For example we can take $M := F_{\text{fin}}(X, A)$ and $n_x := \delta_x$. Using (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii), which we already proved, we know

(17) (cont) \rightarrow

that the universal property holds for $\{m_x\}$.

By the univ. property of $\{m_x\}$ ^(existence) there is a hom. $\psi: M \rightarrow N$ s.t. $\psi(m_x) = n_x$. By the univ. pr. of $\{n_x\}$ there is a hom. $\varphi: N \rightarrow M$ s.t. $\varphi(n_x) = m_x$.

Look at the homs. $\psi \circ \varphi, \mathbb{1}_M: M \rightarrow M$. ($\mathbb{1}_M$ is the identity.) They satisfy $(\psi \circ \varphi)(m_x) = m_x = \mathbb{1}_M(m_x)$ for all x . By the univ. pr. (uniqueness) we see that $\psi \circ \varphi = \mathbb{1}_M$. Similarly $\varphi \circ \psi = \mathbb{1}_N$. Thus φ is an isom. But then M is free with basis $\{m_x\}_{x \in X}$. \square