

Prop. Any A -module is a quotient of a free A -mod.
pf. Let M be an A -module. Choose any family $\{m_x\}_{x \in X}$ of elements of M that generates it. Such exist; e.g. we can take $X := M$ and $m_x := x$. Now we can either use the universal property of the free module $F_{\text{fin}}(X, A)$ and its basis $\{\delta_x\}$ to see that there is a hom. $\varphi: F \rightarrow M$

$\varphi: F \rightarrow M$ s.t. $\varphi(\delta_x) = m_x$; or we can just write the formula for φ explicitly:

$$\varphi\left(\sum a_x \delta_x\right) = \sum a_x \cdot m_x \in M.$$

Since $\{m_x\}$ generates, this hom. is surjective. \square

In this prep. we tacitly assume that A is noetherian; we shall always do so when talking about free modules.

A homomorphism between free modules

$$\varphi: F_{\text{fin}}(X, A) \rightarrow F_{\text{fin}}(Y, A)$$

is described by an $X \times Y$ matrix with entries in A :

$$\Phi: X \times Y \rightarrow A, \text{ or } \Phi = \{a_{x,y}\}_{x,y \in X}$$

satisfying

$$\varphi(\delta_x) = \sum_{y \in Y} a_{x,y} \cdot \delta_y$$

Of course the matrices that appear are "finite in the y -direction".

(19)

Exact Sequences

This is "homological algebra."

Let A be a ring. A sequence of A -modules is the data

$$\dots M_{-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{-1}} M_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} M_1 \rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} M_i \dots$$

consisting of modules M_i and homomorphisms φ_i . The sequence could be finite or infinite on either side.

Def Let

$$(*) \quad \dots M_{i-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} M_i \xrightarrow{\varphi_{i+1}} M_{i+1} \dots$$

be a sequence of A -modules. We say that $(*)$ is exact at M_i if

$$\text{Im}(\varphi_i) = \text{Ker}(\varphi_{i+1}).$$

We say $(*)$ is exact if it is exact at all modules M_j of it (that are neither first nor last).

Def. A short exact sequence is an exact seq. with five modules, the first and last being 0. I.e.

$$0 \rightarrow M' \xrightarrow{\varphi} M \xrightarrow{\psi} M'' \rightarrow 0.$$

Let's see what this means. Exactness at M' means

⊙ that $\text{Ker}(\psi) = \text{Im}(\phi \rightarrow M') = 0 \subset M'$. So ψ is injective. Likewise ψ is surjective. Exactness at M says that $\text{Ker}(\psi) \cong M'$. We see that

⊙ So we can identify M' with a submod. of M , using ψ .

$$\frac{M}{M'} \cong M''$$

Exercise. $0 \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow 0$ ex. seq. of fin. rank free mods. Then $\sum_i (-1)^i \cdot \text{rank}(M_i) = 0$.

Presentation of Modules

Let M be an A -module. A presentation of M is an exact sequence

$$\phi) \quad F_1 \xrightarrow{\psi} F_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi} M \rightarrow 0$$

where F_0 and F_1 are free modules.

Every module M has a presentation: choose a surjection $\varphi: F_0 \rightarrow M$ from a free module F_0 , and then a surjection $\psi: F_1 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\varphi)$ from a free mod. F_1 .

Some presentations are better. A finite presentation of M is a pres. ϕ in which F_0 & F_1 have finite ranks. If M has a fin. presentation then we call it finitely presented.

(21) Example. Let K be a field, and let A be a polynomial ring over K in fin. many variables. We will prove later that any fin. gen. A -module is fin. pres.

Now assume $A = K[t_1, t_2, \dots]$, polynomials in countably many variables. Let \mathfrak{m} be the ideal gen. by the variables. Then $M := A/\mathfrak{m}$ is a fin. gen. A -module (it is cyclic), but it is not fin. presented! (not easy to prove.)

Exercise. Let M be a free A -module (A nonzero). Show that M is finitely generated iff it has finite rank.

In a presentation (P), a basis of F_0 is referred to as "generators of M "; and a basis of F_1 is "relations".

22

Tensor Products

This is an extremely important operation, but quite tricky!

Fix a ring A . Let M, N, L be A -modules. A bilinear function

$$\beta: M \times N \rightarrow L$$

is a function satisfying:

- $\beta(m_1 + m_2, n) = \beta(m_1, n) + \beta(m_2, n)$
 - $\beta(am, n) = a \cdot \beta(m, n)$
 - $\beta(m, n_1 + n_2) = \beta(m, n_1) + \beta(m, n_2)$
 - $\beta(m, an) = a \cdot \beta(m, n)$
- } lin. in 1st arg
} lin. in 2nd arg

Notice that if $\beta_1, \beta_2: M \times N \rightarrow L$ are bilinear funcs, then so are $\beta_1 + \beta_2$ and $a \cdot \beta_1$.

Def. Let M and N be A -modules. A tensor product of M and N is a pair (β, L) , consisting of an A -module L and a bilin. func.

$$\beta: M \times N \rightarrow L,$$

having this universal property:

(*) Let L' be any A -mod, and let $\beta': M \times N \rightarrow L'$ be an A -bilin. function. Then there exists a unique A -lin. hom

$$\psi: L \rightarrow L'$$

s.t. $\beta' = \psi \circ \beta$.

23 We can express this condition as a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M \times N & \xrightarrow{\beta} & L \\
 \searrow \beta' & & \swarrow \varphi \\
 & & L'
 \end{array}$$

Prop. Let M & N be A -modules. Suppose that (L, β) and (L', β') are both tensor products of M & N . Then there is a unique A -linear isomorphism

$$\varphi: L \xrightarrow{\cong} L'$$

s.t.

$$\beta' = \varphi \circ \beta.$$

Proof. Exercise.

to here
28/10

Thm. Let M & N be A -modules. Then a tensor product (L, β) of M & N exists.

Proof. We will construct L using gen's & rel's. Consider the set $M \times N$ and the free module $F := F_{\text{fin}}(M \times N, A)$.

Inside F we consider the submodule $\langle \text{generated} \rangle$ by all elements of these kinds: