

36

Prop. Let A and B be rings.

(1) Let $M \in \text{Mod } A$. M is the zero module iff $\text{Hom}_A(M, M)$ is the zero ring.

(2) Let $F: \text{Mod } A \rightarrow \text{Mod } B$ be an additive functor. Then $F(\{0\}) = \{0\}$; i.e. the zero A -module is sent by F to the zero B -module.

proof (1). M is the zero module means $M = \{0\}$. This happens iff the identity hom. $\mathbb{1}_M$ equals the zero hom. 0_M . Now $\mathbb{1}_M, 0_M \in \text{Hom}_A(M, M)$; and this ring is trivial iff $\mathbb{1}_M = 0_M$.

(2) Consider the ring hom.

$$F: \text{Hom}_A(M, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(F(M), F(M)).$$

If the first ring is zero, then $\mathbb{1}_M = 0_M$. (By part 1).

$$\text{Now } \mathbb{1}_{F(M)} = F(\mathbb{1}_M) = F(0_M) = 0_{F(M)},$$

so again by part 1 we have $F(M) = \{0\}$. \square

Exercise 1 Is there a nonzero additive functor

$$F: \text{Mod } \mathbb{F}_5 \rightarrow \text{Mod } \mathbb{F}_7 \quad ?$$

Why?

(2) Is there a nonzero add. functor

$$F: \text{Mod } \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \text{Mod } \mathbb{F}_2 \quad ?$$

page 2
37

Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a ring hom. We saw
the A -linear functor

$$\text{res}_f: \underline{\text{Mod}} B \rightarrow \underline{\text{Mod}} A.$$

Is there some functor in the opposite direction, i.e.
 $\underline{\text{Mod}} A \rightarrow \underline{\text{Mod}} B$?

Yes - and we know it! This is the base change (or induction) functor

$$\text{ind}_f(M) := B \otimes_A M$$

and

$$\text{ind}_f(\varphi: M_1 \rightarrow M_2) := (\mathbb{1}_B \otimes \varphi: B \otimes_A M_1 \rightarrow B \otimes_A M_2).$$

This too is an A -lin. functor.

The functors res_f and ind_f are adjoints. This property
will be defined later, but ~~the meaning is carried by~~
~~the next proposition and explained~~

Notation For a category \underline{C} and $X \in \text{Ob}(\underline{C})$ we
write $\text{End}_{\underline{C}}(X) := \text{Hom}_{\underline{C}}(X, X).$

38. Prop. Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a ring hom. For any $M \in \text{Mod } A$ and $N \in \text{Mod } B$, the function

$$\gamma_{M,N}: \text{Hom}_B(\text{im}_f(M), N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, \text{res}_f(N)),$$

that sends $\psi: B \otimes_A M \rightarrow N$ to $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$, $\varphi(m) := \psi(1 \otimes m)$, is bijective.

pf. Given $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ in $\text{Mod } A$, consider the A -bilinear function $\beta: B \times M \rightarrow N$, $\beta(b, m) := b \cdot \varphi(m)$. This gives rise to an A -lin. hom. $\psi: B \otimes_A M \rightarrow N$. A little calculation shows that ψ is B -linear. We get a function $\gamma_{M,N}(\psi) := \varphi$,

$$\gamma_{M,N}: \text{Hom}_B(B \otimes_A M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, N).$$

Another calc. shows that $\gamma_{M,N}$ is inverse to $\gamma_{M,N}$. \square

hard

Exercise Let $K := \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$ and $L := \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-1}]$.

(1) Show there are no ring homs. $K \rightarrow L$ and $L \rightarrow K$.

(2) Find a nonzero additive function

$F: \text{Mod } K \rightarrow \text{Mod } L$. (Hint: use the ring homs $K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.)

39) Def. Let A and B be rings, and let $F: \text{Mod } A \rightarrow \text{Mod } B$ be an additive functor. We say that F is exact (resp. left exact, resp. right exact) if for any exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M' \xrightarrow{\psi} M \xrightarrow{\psi} M'' \rightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

(resp.

$$0 \rightarrow M' \xrightarrow{\psi} M \xrightarrow{\psi} M'' ,$$

resp.

$$M' \xrightarrow{\psi} M \xrightarrow{\psi} M'' \rightarrow 0)$$

in $\text{Mod } A$, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F(M') \xrightarrow{F(\psi)} F(M) \xrightarrow{F(\psi)} F(M'') \rightarrow 0$$

(resp.

$$0 \rightarrow F(M') \xrightarrow{F(\psi)} F(M) \xrightarrow{F(\psi)} F(M'') ,$$

resp.

$$F(M') \xrightarrow{F(\psi)} F(M) \xrightarrow{F(\psi)} F(M'') \rightarrow 0)$$

is exact in $\text{Mod } B$.

(1) By a prop. (page 36) we know that

$$F(\{0\}) = \{0\}.$$

Exercise Prove that
 TFAE for an additive functor
 $F: \text{Mod } A \rightarrow \text{Mod } B$:

(i) F is exact.

(ii) F is right exact and preserves injections
 (i.e. ψ is injec. $\Rightarrow F(\psi)$ is injec.)

(iii) F is left exact and preserves surjections.

Example. Consider a ring hom. $f: A \rightarrow B$.

The functor res_f is exact: if

$$0 \rightarrow N' \xrightarrow{\psi} N \xrightarrow{\varphi} N'' \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact seq. in $\text{Mod } B$, then applying res_f to this seq., it becomes an exact seq. of A -mods. This is because kernels & cokernels are "encoded" in the abelian group structures.



This is false for ind_f .

Example Take $A := \mathbb{Z}$ and $B := \mathbb{Z}/(6)$. Consider the ex. seq.

$$0 \rightarrow M_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} M_2 \rightarrow 0$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{1 \mapsto 6} & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{1 \mapsto 1} & \mathbb{Z}/(6) \end{array}$$

in $\text{Mod } \mathbb{Z}$. Applying $\text{ind}_f = B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} -$

[here $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/(6)$ is the obvious ring hom.]

we get

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/(6) \xrightarrow{\text{ind}(\varphi_1)} \mathbb{Z}/(6) \xrightarrow{\text{ind}(\varphi_2)} \mathbb{Z}/(6) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 1 \mapsto 0 & & 1 \mapsto 1 \end{array}$$

no exactness here!

$\text{Im}(1) = 0 \quad \text{Ker}(1) = \mathbb{Z}/(6)$

Yet - in this example we did have exactness on the right!
This is no accident... the to here 6/11