

ON THE REGULATOR FORMULAS OF BERTOLINI, DARMON AND ROTGER

AMNON BESSER

To the memory of Robert Coleman

1. INTRODUCTION

In a recent sequence of papers [DR14, BD14, BDR15] Bertolini, Darmon and Rotger relate, in several different situations, the syntomic regulator applied to some arithmetic object, to special values of p -adic L-functions. In particular, they use the author's previous work [Bes00a, Bes12] to find certain formulas for this regulator, which are later related to p -adic L-values. In this short note we attempt to provide what is hopefully a simpler proof of these regulator formulas. We hope this will prove beneficial for future work in this subject, extending the results to bad reduction situations. We have also attempted to make the presentation fairly self contained.

Let us briefly summarize the difference between our techniques and those of the above mentioned papers. In the above triad, the essential computation is done in the case of diagonal cycles on the tripple product of modular curves in [DR14]. The fundamental trick is to eliminate the choice of a lift in finite polynomial cohomology of a certain class in de Rham cohomology by applying a certain correspondence. This idea might have other applications in the theory. In the 3 cases discussed here, the cohomology is sufficiently simple though that one can compute the lift directly, avoiding the need for a correspondence and in practice simplifying the argument. It also makes the 3 proofs very similar in structure, rather than making one proof dependent on the others. We have also made use of properties of the cup product in finite polynomial cohomology to further simplify the argument in [DR14].

I would like to thank Andreas Langer for a most enjoyable visit in Exeter and for the discussion on [DR14] which resulted in the present paper, as well as for reading the manuscript and carefully correcting some, but no doubt not all, of my errors. I would also like to thank Rob de Jeu, whose insistance encouraged me to figure out some of the results in Section 5. The bulk of the research described here was done when I was visiting the Mathematical Institute at the university of Oxford. I would like to thank the Institute and espeially Alan Lauder and Minhyong kim for the supporting my visit. This work was partially supported by ERC grant number 204083.

This paper is dedicated to the memory of Robert Coleman. The influence of Robert's ideas on my work is obvious. In particular, the theory of fp-cohomology is just an extension of Robert's idea of using polynomials in Frobenius to kill off cohomology on the way to obtaining his integrals. His presence will be greatly missed.

2. THE SETUP

Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K and residue field κ of size q .

We briefly recall some facts about finite polynomial cohomology as defined in [Bes00a]. This theory assigns to any smooth \mathcal{O}_K -scheme \mathcal{X} a ‘‘cohomology group’’ $H_{\text{fp}}^i(\mathcal{X}, n)$. More generally, for a finite set of weights $R \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ it gives a group $H_{\text{fp}, R}^i(\mathcal{X}, n)$ in such a way that $H_{\text{fp}}^i(\mathcal{X}, n) = H_{\text{fp}, \{2i\}}^i(\mathcal{X}, n)$. A basic property of this theory is that if R contains none of the weights on $H_{\text{rig}}^{i-1}(\mathcal{X}_\kappa/K)$, where H_{rig} denotes Berthelot’s rigid cohomology [Ber97] and where \mathcal{X}_κ is the special fiber of \mathcal{X} , this sits in a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\text{rig}}^{i-1}(\mathcal{X}_\kappa/K)/F^n H_{\text{dR}}^{i-1}(X/K) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{i}} H_{\text{fp}, R}^i(\mathcal{X}, n) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{p}} F^n H_{\text{dR}}^i(X/K)^R \rightarrow 0$$

where X denotes the generic fiber of \mathcal{X} , F^n refers to the n -th step in the Hodge filtration on the de Rham cohomology group H_{dR} and the superscript R indicates the part of de Rham cohomology mapping in rigid cohomology to the part having weights in R . This is true in particular when \mathcal{X} is proper and $R = \{2i\}$, so that the sequence above reads (as also in this case $H_{\text{dR}} = H_{\text{rig}}$)

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^{i-1}(X/K)/F^n H_{\text{dR}}^{i-1}(X/K) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{i}} H_{\text{fp}}^i(\mathcal{X}, n) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{p}} F^n H_{\text{dR}}^i(X/K) \rightarrow 0,$$

and it is also true when \mathcal{X} has relative dimension 1 and $R = \{1, 2\}$ and $i = 1$. When \mathcal{X} is proper and of relative dimension 1, and the generic fiber is irreducible we specialize the above sequence in the following two cases

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{\mathbf{i}} H_{\text{fp}}^1(\mathcal{X}, 1) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{p}} F^1 H_{\text{dR}}^1(X/K) \rightarrow 0$$

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbf{p} : H_{\text{fp}}^1(\mathcal{X}, 0) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{dR}}^1(X/K)$$

There is a functorial cup product

$$H_{\text{fp}, R_1}^{i_1}(\mathcal{X}, n_1) \times H_{\text{fp}, R_2}^{i_2}(\mathcal{X}, n_2) \rightarrow H_{\text{fp}, R_1+R_2}^{i_1+i_2}(\mathcal{X}, n_1+n_2)$$

which is compatible with cup products on de Rham and rigid cohomology [Bes00a, Proposition 2.5]. Here $R_1 + R_2$ means the sum of the sets of weights. In the proper case the compatibility means that we have

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbf{p}(x \cup y) = \mathbf{p}(x) \cup \mathbf{p}(y), \quad x \in H_{\text{fp}}^i(\mathcal{X}, n), \quad y \in H_{\text{fp}}^j(\mathcal{X}, m)$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \mathbf{i}(x) \cup y = \mathbf{i}(x \cup \mathbf{p}(y)), \quad x \in H_{\text{dR}}^{i-1}(X/K)/F^n, \quad y \in H_{\text{fp}}^j(\mathcal{X}, m),$$

where \cup_{dR} is the usual cup product in de Rham cohomology. We can record the following consequences of this.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose \mathcal{X} is proper of relative dimension 1. Let $\tilde{\omega}_i \in H_{\text{fp}}^1(\mathcal{X}, 1)$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $\eta \in H_{\text{dR}}^1(X/K)$. Set $\mathbf{p}\tilde{\omega}_i = \omega_i \in \Omega^1(X/K)$ and identify η with an element in $H_{\text{fp}}^1(\mathcal{X}, 0)$ via the isomorphism \mathbf{p} of (2.3).*

(1) *We have $\tilde{\omega}_1 \cup \tilde{\omega}_2 \in \mathbf{i}H_{\text{dR}}^1(X/K)$ and*

$$\eta \cup \tilde{\omega}_1 \cup \tilde{\omega}_2 = \mathbf{i}(\eta \cup_{\text{dR}} \mathbf{i}^{-1}(\tilde{\omega}_1 \cup \tilde{\omega}_2)).$$

(2) *For $\alpha \in K$ we have*

$$\eta \cup \mathbf{i}(\alpha) \cup \tilde{\omega}_1 = -\eta \cup \tilde{\omega}_1 \cup \mathbf{i}(\alpha) = \mathbf{i}(\alpha \eta \cup_{\text{dR}} \omega_1)$$

Proof. We have $\mathbf{p}(\tilde{\omega}_1 \cup \tilde{\omega}_2) = \omega_1 \cup \omega_2 = 0$ by (2.4), as both ω_i are in Ω^1 , hence $\tilde{\omega}_1 \cup \tilde{\omega}_2$ is in the image of \mathbf{i} by the exact sequence (2.1). As, in our identification $\mathbf{p}(\eta) = \eta$, we can apply (2.5) to obtain the first formula. The second formula follows again from (2.5). \square

We will need to recall some more details about fp-cohomology theory in the case that \mathcal{X} is affine and of relative dimension 1 (some things here hold in greater generality but we attempt to make things as concrete as possible here). We may associate to the special fiber \mathcal{X}_κ a certain “overconvergent space \mathcal{W} over K . The de Rham cohomology of this space computes the Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology of \mathcal{X}_κ . We may further equip \mathcal{W} with a K -morphism ϕ lifting the relative Frobenius morphism of $\mathcal{X}_\kappa/\kappa$. Under these assumptions, the finite polynomial cohomology associated with a fixed polynomial $P(t)$ is

$$H_{\mathbf{i},P}^i(\mathcal{X}, n) = H^i(\mathrm{MF}(F^n \Omega_{\log}^\bullet(X) \xrightarrow{P(\phi^*)} \Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{W})))$$

where $\Omega_{\log}^\bullet(X)$ is the complex of differential forms on X with logarithmic singularities at infinity (relative to some compactification) and the map corresponds to restricting differential forms to \mathcal{W} and then applying the map $P(\phi^*)$. The restriction map only exists in the derived category so this is somewhat imprecise but we do not say much more about this as we will not be using this directly. The notation MF refers to the mapping fiber of a map, which is the cone shifted by -1 . To get the finite polynomial cohomology $H_{\mathrm{fp},R}^i(\mathcal{X}, n)$ one takes the limit of the above groups over all polynomials P whose roots have weights in R relative to some transition maps defined in [Bes00a, Definition 2.3].

For the actual computations, we will use a modified version, where algebraic forms are replaced by rigid forms,

$$\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{i},P}^i(\mathcal{X}, n) = H^i(\mathrm{MF}(F^n \Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{W}) \xrightarrow{P(\phi^*)} \Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{W}))),$$

where $F^n \Omega^\bullet(\mathcal{W})$ is just the stupid filtration. We again take the limit over all P of the given weights R to obtain $\tilde{H}_{\mathrm{fp},R}^i(\mathcal{X}, n)$. This cohomology is often infinite dimensional, but for our computations it is sufficient.

An element of $\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{i},P}^i(\mathcal{X}, n)$ is given explicitly by a pair

$$(2.6) \quad \tilde{\omega} = (\omega, f), \quad \omega \in F^n \Omega^i(\mathcal{W}) \text{ closed}, \quad f \in \Omega^{i-1}(\mathcal{W}), \quad df = P(\phi^*)\omega.$$

Pairs of the form $(dg, P(\phi^*)g)$ with $g \in F^n \Omega^{i-1}(\mathcal{W})$ and $(0, dh)$ with $h \in \Omega^{i-2}(\mathcal{W})$ are identified with 0.

We have obvious maps $H_{\mathbf{i},P}^i(\mathcal{X}, n) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{\mathbf{i},P}^i(\mathcal{X}, n)$, and, taking the limit, $H_{\mathrm{fp},R}^i(\mathcal{X}, n) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{fp},R}^i(\mathcal{X}, n)$, hence also $H_{\mathrm{fp}}^i(\mathcal{X}, n) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{fp}}^i(\mathcal{X}, n)$ (Recalling that this means $R = \{2i\}$). These are compatible with cup products. Considering now H^2 for our affine \mathcal{X} of relative dimension 1 we find, as $F^2 = 0$ on both H_{rig}^1 and H_{dR}^1 ,

$$H_{\mathrm{fp}}^2(\mathcal{X}, 2) = \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{fp}}^2(\mathcal{X}, 2) = H_{\mathrm{rig}}^1(\mathcal{X}_\kappa/K) = H_{\mathrm{dR}}^1(\mathcal{W})$$

Thus, to compute the cup product

$$H_{\mathrm{fp}}^1(\mathcal{X}, 1) \times H_{\mathrm{fp}}^1(\mathcal{X}, 1) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{fp}}^2(\mathcal{X}, 2)$$

we may pass to $\tilde{H}_{\mathrm{fp}}^1(\mathcal{X}, 1)$ and compute the cup product there.

It is important to note that the natural isomorphism $\mathbf{i} : H_{\mathrm{dR}}^1(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{fp}}^2(\mathcal{X}, 2)$ is not the obvious one but is rather given by the limit of the isomorphisms, which we abuse notation to denote again by \mathbf{i} ,

$$(2.7) \quad H_{\mathrm{dR}}^1(\mathcal{W}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{i}} \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{fp}, P}^2(\mathcal{X}, 2), \quad [\omega] \mapsto P(\phi^*)[\omega],$$

where $[\]$ denoted the cohomology class of a form. This is necessary because of the transitions maps between different polynomials.

The cup product in fp cohomology is described in [Bes00a, Remark 4.3]. Specializing the construction there to our case we find it is given as the limit of unnormalized cup products

$$\tilde{\cup} : \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{f}, P}^1(\mathcal{X}, 1) \times \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{f}, Q}^1(\mathcal{X}, 1) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{f}, P*Q}^2(\mathcal{X}, 2) = H_{\mathrm{dR}}^1(\mathcal{W}),$$

where, by definition $\prod(1 - \alpha_i t) * \prod(1 - \beta_j t) = \prod(1 - \alpha_i \beta_j t)$, then twisted back by (2.7). The following proposition describes the cup product in the case that we will require.

Proposition 2.2. *For $i = 1, 2$ let $P_i(t) = (1 - \alpha_i t)$ and suppose that $\tilde{\omega}_i = (\omega_i, G_i^{(p)}) \in \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{f}, P_i}^1(\mathcal{X}, 1)$ so that $(1 - \alpha_i \phi^*)\omega_i = dG_i^{(p)}$. Then,*

$$\tilde{\omega}_1 \tilde{\cup} \tilde{\omega}_2 = (0, G_1^{(p)}\omega_2 - \alpha_1 G_2^{(p)}\phi^*\omega_1) \in \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{f}, P_1*P_2}^2(\mathcal{X}, 2).$$

In other words, it is identified with the cohomology class of the form $G_1^{(p)}\omega_2 - \alpha_1 G_2^{(p)}\phi^\omega_1$ in $H_{\mathrm{dR}}^1(\mathcal{W})$ in the non normalized identification.*

Proof. This follows directly from the description of the cup product in [Bes00a, Remark 4.3] and from the fact that in the polynomial ring in two variables $K[t_1, t_2]$ we have

$$1 - \alpha_1 \alpha_2 t_1 t_2 = (1 - \alpha_1)t_1 + \alpha_1 t_1(1 - \alpha_2 t_2)$$

□

By [Bes00a] (see also [Bes12] Definition 2.13, Remark 2.14 and the preceding discussion), classes in finite polynomial cohomology can be interpreted as Coleman functions. In particular, the class $\tilde{\omega} = (\omega, g) \in \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{f}, P}^1(\mathcal{X}, 1)$ corresponds to the primitive F_ω of ω (a locally analytic function with $dF_\omega = \omega$) satisfying the relation $P(\phi^*)F_\omega = g$. The value $F_\omega(x)$ at an \mathcal{O}_K -section x is just the pullback of $\tilde{\omega}$ to $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ via x ,

$$(2.8) \quad F_\omega(x) = x^*\tilde{\omega},$$

via a natural identification of $\tilde{H}_{\mathrm{f}, P}^1(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K), 1)$ with K . We also note that

$$(2.9) \quad \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{f}, P}^1(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K), 0) = 0$$

since $H_{\mathrm{dR}}^0(K/K)/F^0 = 0$.

We next discuss yet another simple modification of fp-cohomology (this is a new construction).

Definition 2.3. Suppose K' is a finite extension of K and let $\mathcal{X}' := \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K'}$. We define fp-cohomology of \mathcal{X}' over K to be the cohomology of the fp-complex of \mathcal{X} but tensored over K with K' . This construction applies to all versions of fp-cohomology. We will denote this cohomology by $H_{\mathrm{fp}}^i(\mathcal{X}, n)_K$.

Because de Rham and rigid complexes behave well under finite base change, this construction is equivalent to writing down the fp complex of \mathcal{X}' but using the polynomials and the lift of Frobenius for \mathcal{X} based changed to \mathcal{X}' , instead of the polynomials and the lift of Frobenius on \mathcal{X}' . One easily sees that we are in effect taking the limit over a larger class of morphisms, but with the old class being cofinal in them. We therefore have a natural isomorphism

$$(2.10) \quad H_{\text{fp}}^i(\mathcal{X}, n)_K \cong H_{\text{fp}}^i(\mathcal{X}', n)_K .$$

All of what we said about fp-cohomology extends immediately to this setting.

Suppose now that \mathcal{X} is the localization of a smooth complete \mathcal{O}_K -scheme \mathcal{C} of relative dimension 1 with generic fiber C at a finite number of sections. There is then a unique Frobenius equivariant splitting Ψ to the restriction map in de Rham cohomology $H_{\text{dR}}^1(C/K) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathcal{W}/K)$ [Bes00c, Proposition 4.8]. Composing with the cup product pairing on $H_{\text{dR}}^1(C/K)$ we obtain a pairing

$$(2.11) \quad \langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle : H_{\text{dR}}^1(C/K) \times H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathcal{W}/K) \rightarrow K$$

which is Frobenius equivariant in the sense that

$$(2.12) \quad \langle \phi^* \eta, \phi^* \omega \rangle = p \langle \eta, \omega \rangle$$

and compatible with the cup product in the sense that

$$(2.13) \quad \langle \eta, \omega |_{\mathcal{W}} \rangle = \text{tr}(\eta \cup_{\text{dR}} \omega) , \quad \omega, \eta \in H_{\text{dR}}^1(C/K)$$

The pairing above is also compatible with restriction in the sense that for a smaller overconvergent space \mathcal{W}' we have

$$(2.14) \quad \langle \eta, \omega |_{\mathcal{W}'} \rangle_{\mathcal{W}'} = \langle \eta, \omega \rangle_{\mathcal{W}}$$

3. MODULAR FORMS

Keeping the notations of the previous section, suppose now that N is an integer prime to p . Let K be some finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . We let $\mathcal{X}_1(N)$ be a model for the modular curve $X_1(N)$ over \mathbb{Z}_p , base changed to \mathcal{O}_K . and let \mathcal{X} be the affine scheme obtained by removing from $\mathcal{X}_1(N)$ sections at lifting the supersingular points (We want to do it carefully, to get something base changed from \mathbb{Z}_p), and \mathcal{X}' the one obtained by further removing the sections at the cusps.

When doing computations with the fp cohomology of \mathcal{X} we can use the following relations with the theory of (p -adic) modular forms. The space \mathcal{W} is obtained by throwing away from $\mathcal{X}_1(N)$ “infinitesimally less” than the supersingular discs (The space \mathcal{W}' corresponding to \mathcal{X}' is obtained by further throwing away discs around the cusps). The ring $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{W})$ (respectively the module of differentials $\Omega^1(\mathcal{W})$) is naturally identified with the space $M_0(N)$ (respectively $S_2(N)$) of overconvergent p -adic modular forms (respectively cusp forms) of weight 0 (respectively 2). In terms of q -expansions, weight 0 overconvergent modular form are by definition functions on \mathcal{W} while the weight 2 form with expansion $f(q)$ is identified with the differential one form ω_f with expansion

$$(3.1) \quad \omega_f = f(q) dq/q .$$

In particular, one finds that differentiation translates to the θ operator on p -adic modular forms given on q -expansions by

$$(3.2) \quad \theta(\sum a_n q^n) = \sum n a_n q^n$$

so that

$$(3.3) \quad df = \omega_{\theta(f)}, \quad f \in M_0(N).$$

The space \mathcal{W} carries the canonical lift of Frobenius ϕ defined in terms of moduli by quotienting out by the canonical subgroup (note that this would be the base change of the linear Frobenius over \mathbb{Q}_p , so is appropriate for doing fp-cohomology “over \mathbb{Q}_p ” as in Definition 2.3).

Its action on the parameter q at ∞ is $\phi^*q = q^p$. Its action on one forms is therefore given by

$$(3.4) \quad \phi^*\omega_f = p\omega_V f$$

where the operator V on p -adic modular forms is given on q -expansions by

$$(3.5) \quad V(\sum a_n q^n) = \sum a_n q^{pn}.$$

The U operator on p -adic modular forms is given on q -expansions by $U(\sum a_n q^n) = \sum a_{np} q^n$. We clearly have $UV = \text{Id}$ and

$$(3.6) \quad g - VUg = \theta(G^{[p]}),$$

where, if g has q -expansion $\sum a_n q^n$, $G^{[p]}$ is an overconvergent modular form and has expansion $\sum_{n \neq p} \frac{a_n}{n} q^n$. In particular, note that

$$(3.7) \quad UG^{[p]} = 0.$$

The relation between the U and V operators, and the Hecke operator T_p on the space $S_2(N, \chi)$ of weight 2 cusp forms on $\Gamma_1(N)$ with nebentypus character χ is given as follows [RS11, 8.2.2],

$$(3.8) \quad T_p = U + \chi(p)p^{k-1}V.$$

We will need the following result (this is given in [DR14] subsection 2.6)

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that $g \in S_2(N, \chi)$ is an eigenvector for T_p with eigenvalue a_p . Then*

$$\omega_g - a_p p^{-1} \phi^* \omega_g + p^{-1} \chi(p) (\phi^*)^2 \omega_g = dG^{[p]}.$$

Proof. By (3.8) we have

$$a_p g = T_p g = Ug + \chi(p)pVg.$$

Multiplying by V we have

$$a_p Vg = VUg + \chi(p)pV^2g$$

Adding $g - VUg - a_p Vg$ to both sides gives, using (3.6)

$$\theta(G^{[p]}) = g - VUg = g - a_p Vg + \chi(p)pV^2g.$$

Rewriting in terms of pV gives

$$\theta(G^{[p]}) = g - a_p p^{-1}(pV)g + \chi(p)p^{-1}(pV)^2g.$$

Using (3.3) and (3.4) gives the result. \square

Hida defined the ordinary projection

$$(3.9) \quad e_{\text{ord}} = \lim U^n!$$

on the space of weight k overconvergent modular forms. Its image is the space of ordinary forms. We will in particular import its action to the space $\Omega^1(\mathcal{W})$ via the identification above. We list the following properties of e_{ord} which may be found in [DR14].

Proposition 3.2. *The projection e_{ord} satisfies the following properties.*

- (1) *It vanishes on $G^{[p]}\phi^*\omega$ whenever $G^{[p]} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{W})$ and $UG^{[p]} = 0$.*
- (2) *If $\eta \in H_{\text{dR}}^1(X_1(N)/K)$ is in the unit root part (i.e., an eigenvector for ϕ whose eigenvalue is a p -adic unit), then $\langle \eta, \omega \rangle = \langle \eta, e_{\text{ord}}\omega \rangle$.*

Proof. For (1) we notice that the conditions imply that in $G^{[p]}$ the coefficients of q^{np} are 0 for all n . On the other hand, by (3.4) and (3.5), in the q -expansion of $\phi^*\omega$, $a_n \neq 0$ only if $p|n$. This implies that in the q -expansion of $G^{[p]}\phi^*\omega$ the coefficients of q^{np} are 0 for all n and so $U(G^{[p]}\phi^*\omega) = 0$, from which it clearly follows that $e_{\text{ord}}(G^{[p]}\phi^*\omega) = 0$ by (3.9). For (2) we observe that U and V are inverses of each other on $H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathcal{W})$ and as $\phi^* = pV$ we have

$$\langle \eta, U\omega \rangle = \langle \eta, p(\phi^*)^{-1}[\omega] \rangle = p^{-1} \langle \phi^*\eta, p\omega \rangle = \langle \phi^*\eta, \omega \rangle.$$

Thus, if $\phi^*\eta = \beta\eta$ we have

$$\langle \eta, U^n\omega \rangle = \beta^n \langle \eta, \omega \rangle$$

and if $|\beta| = 1$, taking the limit (and noting that $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle$ is continuous, as can be observed by writing it in terms of residues as in [Bes00c, Proposition 4.10]), we get

$$\langle \eta, e_{\text{ord}}\omega \rangle = \lim_n (\beta^{n!}) \langle \eta, \omega \rangle = \langle \eta, \omega \rangle$$

as required. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that for $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{W})$ and $G_1^{[p]}, G_2^{[p]} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{W})$ we have $\omega_i - \alpha_i \phi^*\omega_i = dG_i^{[p]}$ for $i = 1, 2$ with $UG_i^{[p]} = 0$ and let $\tilde{\omega}_i = (\omega_i, G_i^{[p]}) \in \tilde{H}_{i, 1-\alpha_i t}^1(\mathcal{X}, 1)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ as in (2.6), in the version of Definition 2.3. Let $P(t) = 1 - \alpha_1\alpha_2 t$. Consider $\tilde{\omega}_1 \cup \tilde{\omega}_2 \in \tilde{H}_{\text{fp}, P}^2(\mathcal{X}, 2)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and its image $\mathbf{i}^{-1}(\tilde{\omega}_1 \cup \tilde{\omega}_2) \in H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathcal{W})$ under the inverse of the normalized identification (2.7). If $\eta \in H_{\text{dR}}^1(X_1(N)/K)$ is an eigenvector for ϕ^* with eigenvalue β and $|\beta| = 1$, Then*

$$\langle \eta, \mathbf{i}^{-1}(\tilde{\omega}_1 \cup \tilde{\omega}_2) \rangle = (1 - \alpha_1\alpha_2)^{-1} \langle \eta, e_{\text{ord}}(G_1^{[p]}\omega_2) \rangle$$

with $\alpha = p/\beta$. The conditions may be relaxed to allow (one, or both) ω_i to have logarithmic poles at the cusps if $\alpha_i = 1/p$ when considering $\tilde{\omega}_1 \cup \tilde{\omega}_2 \in \tilde{H}_{\text{fp}, P}^2(\mathcal{X}', 2)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \cong H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathcal{W}')$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.2 we have

$$\tilde{\omega}_1 \tilde{\cup} \tilde{\omega}_2 = (0, G_1^{[p]}\omega_2 - \alpha_1 G_2^{[p]}\phi^*\omega_1).$$

We have, by the assumption that $UG_2^{[p]} = 0$ and by (1) of Proposition 3.2

$$e_{\text{ord}}(G_2^{[p]}\phi^*\omega_1) = 0.$$

Since η is in the unit root subspace it follows from (2) of Proposition 3.2 that, identifying $\tilde{\omega}_1 \tilde{\cup} \tilde{\omega}_2$ with its image in $H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathcal{W})$,

$$\langle \eta, \tilde{\omega}_1 \tilde{\cup} \tilde{\omega}_2 \rangle = \left\langle \eta, e_{\text{ord}}(G_1^{[p]} \omega_2 - \alpha_1 G_2^{[p]} \phi^* \omega_1) \right\rangle = \left\langle \eta, e_{\text{ord}}(G_1^{[p]} \omega_2) \right\rangle.$$

The normalization (2.7) means that the cohomology class $\mathbf{i}^{-1}(\tilde{\omega}_1 \cup \tilde{\omega}_2)$ satisfies $(1 - \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \phi^*) \mathbf{i}^{-1}(\tilde{\omega}_1 \cup \tilde{\omega}_2) = \tilde{\omega}_1 \tilde{\cup} \tilde{\omega}_2$. Pairing with η and using the property (2.12) of the pairing we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \eta, e_{\text{ord}}(G_2^{[p]} \omega_1) \right\rangle &= \langle \eta, \tilde{\omega}_1 \tilde{\cup} \tilde{\omega}_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle \eta, (1 - \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \phi^*) \mathbf{i}^{-1}(\tilde{\omega}_1 \cup \tilde{\omega}_2) \rangle \\ &= \langle \eta, \mathbf{i}^{-1}(\tilde{\omega}_1 \cup \tilde{\omega}_2) \rangle - \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \langle \beta^{-1} \phi^* \eta, \phi^* \mathbf{i}^{-1}(\tilde{\omega}_1 \cup \tilde{\omega}_2) \rangle \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{p \alpha_1 \alpha_2}{\beta} \right) \langle \eta, \mathbf{i}^{-1}(\tilde{\omega}_1 \cup \tilde{\omega}_2) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the result. To see that we may allow logarithmic poles at the cusps when $\alpha_i = 1/p$, note that $\omega_i - \phi^* \omega_i/p$ is holomorphic at the cusps. Thus, the same argument can be carried through. \square

4. DIAGONAL CYCLES

In this section we study the diagonal, or Gross-Kudla-Schoen, cycle, introduced in [GK92] and [GS95] and studied p -adically in [DR14]. It is a homologically trivial cycle on the tripple product $\mathcal{X}_1(N)^3$. To define it, we first pick a base section o .

Definition 4.1. Define, for a non-empty subset $I \subset \{1, 2, 3\}$, the partial diagonal $\Delta_I : \mathcal{X}_1(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_1(N)^3$ by the formula

$$(\Delta_I(x))_i = \begin{cases} x & i \in I \\ o & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, abusing the notation to write Δ_I for the cycle $(\Delta_I)_* \mathcal{X}_1(N)$, we define the cycle Δ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \Delta_{\{1,2,3\}} - \Delta_{\{1,2\}} - \Delta_{\{1,3\}} - \Delta_{\{2,3\}} + \Delta_{\{1\}} + \Delta_{\{2\}} + \Delta_{\{3\}} \\ &= - \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subset \{1,2,3\}} (-1)^{|I|} \Delta_I \in \text{CH}^2(\mathcal{X}_1(N)^3). \end{aligned}$$

Since Δ is homologically trivial, one can apply the p -adic Abel-Jacobi map [Bes00a] to it and obtain

$$(4.1) \quad \text{AJ}_p(\Delta) \in H_{\text{dR}}^3(X_1(N)^3/\mathbb{Q}_p)/F^2 = \text{Hom}(F^2 H_{\text{dR}}^3(X_1(N)^3/\mathbb{Q}_p), \mathbb{Q}_p).$$

Let K be a sufficiently large finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . This should include the coefficients of the forms in the theorem to follow. Our goal is to deduce the following result of Darmon and Rotger.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose o is the cusp at infinity. Let $g = \sum a_n(g) q^n$ and $h = \sum a_n(h) q^n$ be cusp forms for $\Gamma_1(N)$, with characters χ_g and χ_h respectively, which are eigenforms for the p -th Hecke operator T_p and let ω_g and ω_h be the associated*

one forms on $X_1(N)$. Let $\alpha_g, \alpha_h, \beta_g, \beta_h$ be determined (up to switching the α 's by the β 's) by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - a_p(g)p^{-1}t + p^{-1}\chi_g(p)t^2 &= (1 - \alpha_g t)(1 - \beta_g t), \\ 1 - a_p(h)p^{-1}t + p^{-1}\chi_h(p)t^2 &= (1 - \alpha_h t)(1 - \beta_h t). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\eta \in H_{\text{dR}}^1(X_1(N)/K)$ be an eigenvector for ϕ^* with eigenvalue β and $|\beta| = 1$. Let $\alpha = p/\beta$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{AJ}_p(\Delta)(\eta \cup \omega_g \cup \omega_h) &= (1 - \alpha^2 \alpha_g \alpha_h \beta_g \beta_h) \\ &\quad \times ((1 - \alpha \alpha_g \alpha_h)(1 - \alpha \beta_g \alpha_h)(1 - \alpha \alpha_g \beta_h)(1 - \alpha \beta_g \beta_h))^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \langle \eta, e_{\text{ord}}(G^{[p]}\omega_h) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $G^{[p]}$ is defined in (3.6).

Proof. Let $\pi_i : \mathcal{X}_1(N)^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_1(N)$ denote the projection on the i -th component. For any lifts $\tilde{\omega}_g, \tilde{\omega}_h \in H_{\text{fp}}^1(\mathcal{X}_1(N), 1)$ of ω_g and ω_h respectively as in (2.2), and for η , viewed as an element of $H_{\text{fp}}^1(\mathcal{X}_1(N), 0)$ as in (2.3), The class $\pi_1^* \eta \cup \pi_2^* \tilde{\omega}_g \cup \pi_3^* \tilde{\omega}_h$ is a lift of $\eta \cup \omega_g \cup \omega_h$ to $H_{\text{fp}}^3(\mathcal{X}_1(N)^3, 2)$. By [Bes00a, Theorem 1.2] we have

$$\text{AJ}_p(\Delta)(\eta \cup \omega_g \cup \omega_h) = - \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subset \{1,2,3\}} (-1)^{|I|} \text{tr} \Delta_I^*(\pi_1^* \eta \cup \pi_2^* \tilde{\omega}_g \cup \pi_3^* \tilde{\omega}_h)$$

where $\text{tr} : H_{\text{fp}}^3(\mathcal{X}_1(N), 2) \rightarrow K$ is the trace in fp cohomology, $H_{\text{fp}}^3(\mathcal{X}_1(N), 2) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{i}^{-1}} H_{\text{dR}}^2(X_1(N)/K) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} K$ (see Proposition 2.1).

Recall from Section 2 that fp cohomology classes correspond to Coleman functions in such a way that the value of these Coleman functions at a point is obtained by pullback. Let F_g and F_h be the Coleman functions associated to $\tilde{\omega}_g$ and $\tilde{\omega}_h$ respectively. Since $\pi_i \circ \Delta_I$ is the identity if $i \in I$ and the map o sending every x to o otherwise, We see that $\text{AJ}_p(\Delta)(\eta \cup \omega_g \cup \omega_h)$ is the trace of

$$\begin{aligned} &\eta \cup \tilde{\omega}_g \cup \tilde{\omega}_h \\ &- \eta \cup \tilde{\omega}_g \cup o^* \tilde{\omega}_h - \eta \cup o^* \tilde{\omega}_g \cup \tilde{\omega}_h \\ &- o^* \eta \cup \tilde{\omega}_g \cup \tilde{\omega}_h \\ &+ \eta \cup o^*(\tilde{\omega}_g \cup \tilde{\omega}_h) \\ &+ o^* \eta \cup \tilde{\omega}_g \cup o^* \tilde{\omega}_h + o^* \eta \cup o^* \tilde{\omega}_g \cup \tilde{\omega}_h. \end{aligned}$$

In this expression, the third and fifth lines are 0 since $o^* \eta \in \tilde{H}_{\Gamma, P}^1(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K), 0)$, which is 0 by (2.9), and the fourth line is 0 since \mathcal{O}_K has no second fp-cohomology. From this and (1) of Proposition 2.1 it is now clear that

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{AJ}_p(\Delta)(\eta \cup \omega_g \cup \omega_h) &= \text{tr} (\eta \cup_{\text{dR}} \mathbf{i}^{-1}(\tilde{\omega}_g \cup \tilde{\omega}_h) \\ &\quad \pm F_g(o) \eta \cup_{\text{dR}} \omega_h \pm F_h(o) \eta \cup_{\text{dR}} \omega_g) \end{aligned}$$

We will pick $\tilde{\omega}_g$ and $\tilde{\omega}_h$ in such a way that the associated F_g and F_h vanish at o . Thus we get

$$\text{AJ}_p(\Delta)(\eta \cup \omega_g \cup \omega_h) = \eta \cup_{\text{dR}} \mathbf{i}^{-1}(\tilde{\omega}_g \cup \tilde{\omega}_h)$$

By (2.13) we thus find

$$(4.3) \quad \text{AJ}_p(\Delta)(\eta \cup \omega_g \cup \omega_h) = \langle \eta, \mathbf{i}^{-1}(\tilde{\omega}_g \cup \tilde{\omega}_h)|_{\mathcal{W}} \rangle.$$

By functoriality, we may compute $\mathbf{i}^{-1}(\tilde{\omega}_g \cup \tilde{\omega}_h)|_{\mathcal{W}}$ by first restricting $\tilde{\omega}_g$ and $\tilde{\omega}_h$ to \mathcal{X} , taking their cup products and applying \mathbf{i}^{-1} . Since \mathcal{X} is affine we may use the explicit formulas for the cup product developed in Section 2. We will be using the version “over \mathbb{Q}_p ” of Definition 2.3 so that we may use the canonical Frobenius lift ϕ . Set

$$P(t) = (1 - \alpha_g t)(1 - \beta_g t) = 1 - a_p(g)p^{-1}t + p^{-1}\chi_g(p)t^2 .$$

By Proposition 3.1 we have the relation $P(\phi^*)\omega_g = dG^{[p]}$, from which we obtain a class $(\omega_g, G^{[p]}) \in \tilde{H}_{f,P}^1(\mathcal{X}, 1)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \subset \tilde{H}_{\text{fp}}^1(\mathcal{X}, 1)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Since $G^{[p]}$ vanishes at infinity, so does the associated Coleman function F_g (it satisfies the relation $P(\phi^*)F_g = G^{[p]}$ and ϕ fixes o). Consequently, this is the restriction of $\tilde{\omega}_g$ to \mathcal{X} .

We let

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega_{g,\alpha} &= (1 - \beta_g \phi^*)\omega_g, & \omega_{g,\beta} &= (1 - \alpha_g \phi^*)\omega_g, \\ \omega_{h,\alpha} &= (1 - \beta_h \phi^*)\omega_h, & \omega_{h,\beta} &= (1 - \alpha_h \phi^*)\omega_h. \end{aligned}$$

These may be lifted to classes

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega}_{g,\alpha} &= (\omega_{g,\alpha}, G^{[p]}) \in \tilde{H}_{f,1-\alpha_g t}^1(\mathcal{X}, 1)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \subset \tilde{H}_{\text{fp}}^1(\mathcal{X}, 1)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \\ \tilde{\omega}_{g,\beta} &= (\omega_{g,\beta}, G^{[p]}) \in \tilde{H}_{f,1-\beta_g t}^1(\mathcal{X}, 1)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \subset \tilde{H}_{\text{fp}}^1(\mathcal{X}, 1)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for h in place of g . As Coleman functions, they vanish at ∞ for the same reason that F_g vanishes there. We clearly have $\omega_g = (\alpha_g - \beta_g)^{-1}(\alpha_g \omega_{g,\alpha} - \beta_g \omega_{g,\beta})$ and the vanishing at infinity immediately implies the same relation on Coleman functions, hence

$$(4.5) \quad (\tilde{\omega}_g)|_{\mathcal{X}} = (\alpha_g - \beta_g)^{-1}(\alpha_g \tilde{\omega}_{g,\alpha} - \beta_g \tilde{\omega}_{g,\beta}).$$

We have a similar decomposition with g replaced by h . It remains to compute the right hand side of (4.3) using the bilinearity of the cup product, Theorem 3.3 and the decomposition (4.5) and its h -analogue. There will be 4 summands (and a common multiple of $((\alpha_g - \beta_g)(\alpha_h - \beta_h))^{-1}$), and using the relation

$$e_{\text{ord}}(G^{[p]}\omega_{h,\alpha}) = e_{\text{ord}}(G^{[p]}\omega_h) = e_{\text{ord}}(G^{[p]}\omega_{h,\beta})$$

coming from (4.4) and 1 of Proposition 3.2, they will all be constant multiples of $\langle \eta, e_{\text{ord}}(G^{[p]}\omega_h) \rangle$. For example, the first term would be

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_g \alpha_h \langle \eta, \mathbf{i}^{-1}(\tilde{\omega}_{g,\alpha} \cup \tilde{\omega}_{h,\alpha}) \rangle &= \alpha_g \alpha_h (1 - \alpha_g \alpha_h)^{-1} \langle \eta, e_{\text{ord}}(G^{[p]}\omega_{h,\alpha}) \rangle \\ &= \alpha_g \alpha_h (1 - \alpha_g \alpha_h)^{-1} \langle \eta, e_{\text{ord}}(G^{[p]}\omega_h) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

One is then left with a slightly tedious computation of the sum of the constant multiples giving

$$\begin{aligned} &((\alpha_g - \beta_g)(\alpha_h - \beta_h))^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha_g \alpha_h}{1 - \alpha_g \alpha_h} - \frac{\beta_g \alpha_h}{1 - \alpha_g \beta_h} - \frac{\alpha_g \beta_h}{1 - \alpha_g \alpha_h} + \frac{\beta_g \beta_h}{1 - \alpha_g \beta_h} \right) \\ &= (1 - \alpha^2 \alpha_g \alpha_h \beta_g \beta_h) ((1 - \alpha_g \alpha_h)(1 - \alpha_g \beta_h)(1 - \alpha_g \alpha_h)(1 - \alpha_g \beta_h))^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 4.3. From (4.2) one can see that the choice of o is essential. Changing o to o' changes the left hand side of (4.1) by $\pm \int_{o'} \omega_g \eta \cup_{\text{dR}} \omega_h \pm \int_{o'} \omega_h \eta \cup_{\text{dR}} \omega_g$ and this does not seem to be 0 in general. In [DR14] the assumption that o is the

cuspidal is implicit in formula (95), as it is easy to see that this only holds under this assumption.

Remark 4.4. To relate our result with that of [DR14] we note that our reciprocal roots are related to the ones used there by $\alpha_g = p^{-1}\alpha_g^{\text{DaRo}}$, and similarly for the other roots, as the roots there are determined by the equation

$$x^2 - a_p(g)x + \chi(p)p = (x - \alpha_g^{\text{DaRo}})(x - \beta_g^{\text{DaRo}})$$

5. A FORMULA FOR THE SYNTOMIC REGULATOR ON K_2 OF CURVES

In this section we establish a certain formula for the syntomic regulator for K_2 of curves. Some of the arguments are similar to those in [Bes00c], but things need to be redone since the regulator there is written in terms of Coleman integration and not in terms of cup products in syntomic cohomology as required here.

Let \mathcal{X} be a smooth \mathcal{O}_K -scheme with generic fiber X and special fiber Y . We recall from [Bes00b, Bes00c] that there exists a syntomic regulator $\text{reg}_p : K_2(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow H_{\text{syn}}^2(\mathcal{X}, 2)$. We furthermore recall that there exists a (Gros style) modified syntomic cohomology theory $\tilde{H}_{\text{ms}}^*(\mathcal{X}, *)$ with functorial maps $H_{\text{syn}}^*(\mathcal{X}, *) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{\text{ms}}^*(\mathcal{X}, *)$, compatible with cup products. Composing with this we get regulators into modified syntomic cohomology. It further induces an isomorphism $H_{\text{syn}}^2(\mathcal{X}, 2) \cong \tilde{H}_{\text{ms}}^2(\mathcal{X}, 2)$. Thus, for our purposes, we may use these two cohomology theories interchangeably. Modified Gros style syntomic cohomology is related with modified fp cohomology in the following simple form

$$\tilde{H}_{\text{ms}}^i(\mathcal{X}, n) = \tilde{H}_{t, P_n}^i(\mathcal{X}, n)$$

where P_n is the polynomial $P_n(t) = 1 - t/q^n$ (recall that, as in the setup, q is the cardinality of the residue field and not the coordinate at infinity, as in the modular forms sections). In particular, elements may be represented as in (2.6). The cup products in modified syntomic cohomology are the same as for fp cohomology noting that $P_n * P_m = P_{n+m}$. They are compatible with products in K-theory.

Suppose now that \mathcal{X} is proper and of relative dimension 1 over \mathcal{O}_K and let $\eta \in H_{\text{dR}}^1(X/K)$. We will define two regulators associated with η , ultimately showing that they coincide on their common domain.

Definition 5.1. The regulator

$$\text{reg}'_{\eta} : K_2(K(X))^{t_Y=0} \rightarrow K ,$$

is defined on the kernel of the tame symbol map along the special fiber Y as follows: The localization sequence in K-theory implies that for each element α in the kernel we may find some open subscheme $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{X}$, surjecting on \mathcal{O}_K , and (non unique) element $\beta \in K_2(\mathcal{Z})$ mapping to α . Let \mathcal{U} be the overconvergent space associated with \mathcal{Z} . By [Bes00c, Corollary 3.6] the element

$$\text{reg}_p(\beta) \in H_{\text{syn}}^2(\mathcal{Z}, 2) \cong H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathcal{U})$$

depends only on α and we apply the pairing (2.11) with η to obtain $\text{reg}'_{\eta}(\alpha)$. The result is independent of the choice of \mathcal{Z} by (2.14).

We next define the η regulator

$$\text{reg}_{\eta} : K_2(K(X)) \rightarrow K .$$

We first define it on a pair of functions as follows.

Definition 5.2. Let \mathcal{X} and η be as above and suppose $f_1, f_2 \in K(X)^\times$. We define

$$\mathrm{reg}_\eta(f_1, f_2) = \langle \eta, (d \log f_1, \gamma_1) \cup (d \log f_2, \gamma_2) \rangle_{\mathcal{U}}.$$

Here the cup product is done in $\tilde{H}_{\mathrm{ms}}^*(\mathcal{Z}, *)$, where \mathcal{Z} is an open subscheme, surjecting on \mathcal{O}_K as before, such that both f_1 and f_2 are invertible on the generic fiber \mathcal{Z} and the class $(d \log f_i, \gamma_i) \in \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{ms}}^1(\mathcal{Z}, 1)$ in the representation (2.6) can be any class whose first component is $d \log f_i$ (notice that, implicitly, we are also making a choice of a lift of Frobenius ϕ). The pairing is again with respect to the overconvergent space \mathcal{U} corresponding to \mathcal{Z} .

Lemma 5.3. *The η -regulator gives a well defined map $\mathrm{reg}_\eta : \bigwedge^2 K(X)^\times \rightarrow K$.*

Proof. The map is clearly bilinear if well defined, and antisymmetric as the cup product in syntomic cohomology is. The choice of \mathcal{Z} does not change anything, by (2.14). Thus, we are free to choose it at our convenience. To show that the regulator is well defined we need to prove that for any f there exists a \mathcal{Z} such that $d \log f$ can be completed to a class $(d \log f, \gamma) \in \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{ms}}^1(\mathcal{Z}, 1)$. Suppose first that the divisor of f does not contain the special fiber. In this case, for an appropriately chosen \mathcal{Z} we will have $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Z})^\times$ and the pair $(d \log f, \log(f_0))$, where $f_0 = f^q / \phi^* f$, is indeed in $\tilde{H}_{\mathrm{ms}}^1(\mathcal{Z}, 1)$ [Bes00b,]. A general f can be written in the form $\pi^n \tilde{f}$, for some integer n , where \tilde{f} is as above, and since $d \log f = d \log \tilde{f}$ the existence of γ is now clear. To complete the proof we have to show that the expression for $\mathrm{reg}_\eta(f_1, f_2)$ is independent of the choices of γ_1 and γ_2 . This is because, by (2.5), for a constant c , we have $(0, c) \cup d \log f, \gamma = cd \log f$ and $\langle \eta, d \log f \rangle = 0$ by [Bes00c, Lemma 4.9]. Note, finally, that since the choices of γ_1 and γ_2 do not matter, the expression is also independent of the choice of the lift of Frobenius ϕ . \square

As a side effect of the above proof we obtain the fact that

$$(5.1) \quad \mathrm{reg}_\eta(c, f) = 0 \text{ for a constant function } c.$$

Proposition 5.4. *The maps reg_η induces a well defined map $\mathrm{reg}_\eta : K_2(K(X)) \rightarrow K$.*

Proof. We need to prove that $\mathrm{reg}_\eta(f, 1-f) = 0$ for any rational function f . This is true if the divisors of both f and $1-f$ do not contain the special fiber. Indeed, taking an open \mathcal{Z} on which both f and $1-f$ are invertible, the expression $(d \log f_1, \gamma_1) \cup (d \log f_2, \gamma_2)$, for appropriate γ_i , is nothing but the syntomic regulator of the element $(f) \cup (1-f) \in K_2(\mathcal{Z})$. This element maps to 0 in $K_2(K(X))$ hence the above regulator is 0 by [Bes00c, Corollary 3.6]. The η -regulator is then 0 as well.

It remains to check the case $f = \pi^{\pm n} g$, with n positive and where the divisor of g does not contain the special fiber (when the divisor of f contains Y we switch the roles of f and $1-f$). Consider first $\mathrm{reg}_\eta(\pi^n g, 1 - \pi^n g)$. We will need the following.

Lemma 5.5. *Suppose \mathcal{X} is \mathbb{P}^1 localized at $0, \pi^{-n}$ and ∞ with standard coordinate t and consider the element $\alpha = (t) \cup (1 - \pi^n t) \in K_2(\mathcal{X})$. Then, $\mathrm{reg}_p(\alpha) = 0 \in \tilde{H}_{\mathrm{ms}}^2(\mathcal{X}, 2)$.*

Proof. We have $\tilde{H}_{\mathrm{ms}}^2(\mathcal{X}, 2) = H_{\mathrm{rig}}^1(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, \infty\})$. As $H_{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathbb{P}^1) = 0$ it suffices to show that the residues of $\mathrm{reg}_p(\alpha)$ at 0 and ∞ are 0. These residues are in turn the logs of the products of the tame symbols inside the corresponding residue discs [BBdJ]. The tame symbols are 1 at 0, π^n at ∞ and π^{-n} at π^{-n} . The result is thus clear. \square

Going back to the proof of the Proposition, it follows immediately from the lemma by pullback that $\text{reg}_\eta(g, 1 - \pi^n g) = 0$. Bilinearity and (5.1) finish the proof of this first case. Similarly, we have, using (5.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{reg}_\eta(\pi^{-n}g, 1 - \pi^{-n}g) &= \text{reg}_\eta(g, 1 - \pi^{-n}g) = \text{reg}_\eta(g, \pi^n - g) \\ &= \text{reg}_\eta(g, g) + \text{reg}_\eta(g, \pi^n g^{-1} - 1) = \text{reg}_\eta(g, \pi^n g^{-1} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the antisymmetry of the regulator

$$\begin{aligned} &= \text{reg}_\eta(g, 1 - \pi^n g^{-1}) = -\text{reg}_\eta(g^{-1}, 1 - \pi^n g^{-1}) \\ &= -\text{reg}_\eta(\pi^n g^{-1}, 1 - \pi^n g^{-1}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

by the previous case. \square

Proposition 5.6. *The two η -regulators coincide on $K_2(K(X))^{t_Y=0}$.*

Proof. This follows because by the proof of Theorem 3 in [Bes00c] an element of $K_2(K(X))^{t_Y=0}$ may be written as a sum of symbols $\{f, g\}$, where $f, g \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Z})^\times$ for some \mathcal{Z} and for these the equality of the two regulators follows essentially by definition. \square

Corollary 5.7. *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_2(\mathcal{X}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & K_2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \\ \text{reg}_p \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{\text{dR}}^1(X/K) & & K_2(K(X)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \\ \eta \cup \bullet \downarrow & \swarrow \text{reg}_\eta & \\ K & & \end{array}$$

commutes

Proof. Indeed, elements of $K_2(\mathcal{X})$ map to the kernel of t_Y in $K_2(K(X))$ and, essentially by definition, the composed down arrow is just reg'_η . \square

6. OTHER FORMULAS

In this section we establish the formulas in [BD14] and [BDR15]. The proofs are now given by roughly the same computations as before, slightly simplified.

We begin with [BD14]. They consider modular units u_1 and u_2 related with certain weight 2 Eisenstein series,

$$(6.1) \quad d \log u_1 = E_{2,\chi}(q) \frac{dq}{q} := \omega_1, \quad d \log u_2 = E_2(\chi_1, \chi_2)(q) \frac{dq}{q} := \omega_2,$$

with $\chi = \chi_1 \chi_2$. Note that the forms associated with the Eisenstein series have logarithmic poles at the cusps. The Steinberg symbol $\{u_1, u_2\}$, a priori in K_2 of the function field of $X_1(N)$, in fact extends to $K_2(X_1(N))$. We will let K be a sufficiently large extension of \mathbb{Q}_p so that the symbols are defined over K .

Theorem 6.1. *Let η , α and β be as in Theorem 3.3. For any lift $\tilde{u} \in K_2(X_1(N))$ of the symbol $\{u_1, u_2\}$ the image of \tilde{u} under*

$$K_2(X_1(N)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong K_2(\mathcal{X}_1(N)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\text{reg}_p} H_{\text{dR}}^1(X_1(N)/K) \xrightarrow{\eta \cup \bullet} K$$

equals

$$\frac{(1 - \alpha^2 \chi(p)^2 p^{-2})}{(1 - \alpha p^{-1} \chi_1(p) \chi(p))(1 - \alpha \chi_2(p) \chi(p))(1 - \alpha p^{-2} \chi_1(p))(1 - \alpha \chi_2(p) p^{-1})} \left\langle \eta, e_{\text{ord}}(G_1^{[p]} \omega_2) \right\rangle$$

where $G_1^{[p]}$ is as in (3.6), corresponding to $E_{2,\chi}$.

Proof. By the previous section this boils down to the computation of $\text{reg}_\eta(\{u_1, u_2\})$. By (6.1) we need to lift ω_1 and ω_2 to classes in fp-cohomology. Note that $E_{2,\chi}$ is an eigenvector for T_p with eigenvalue $1 + \chi(p)p$ so that we get the equation

$$(1 - \chi(p)\phi^*)(1 - p^{-1}\phi^*)\omega_1 = dG_1^{[p]}$$

so the roots of the characteristic equation are $\chi(p)$ and p^{-1} . Similarly, for $E_2(\chi_1, \chi_2)$ the roots are $\chi_2(p)$ and $\chi_1(p)p^{-1}$. Thus, an argument identical to the one in the previous theorem, taking into account the case of log singularities in Theorem 3.3, gives the required formula. \square

Next, consider [BDR15]. This paper concerns the syntomic regulator

$$\text{reg}_{\text{syn}} : CH^2(S, 1) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^2(S/K)/F^2 \cong \text{Hom}(F^1 H_{\text{dR}}^2(S/K), K)$$

with $S = X_1(N) \times X_1(N)$ with the field of coefficients extended to some p -adic field K . Recall that an element of $CH^2(S, 1)$ for the surface S consists of a formal sum $\sum(C_i, f_i)$ where the C_i are curves on S and f_i is a rational functions on C_i such that the sum of the divisors of the f_i vanishes on S . This regulator was treated in detail in [Bes12]. The paper [BDR15] does not use the final formulas of [Bes12] but one can derive the required results from the proofs there.

The case considered in [BDR15] is the following: the surface is $S = X_1(N) \times X_1(N)$, with projections π_i , $i = 1, 2$, on $X_1(N)$, and the element is $\theta = (\Delta, u) + \sum(C_i, f_i)$. Here, Δ is the diagonal and u is defined in [BDR15, Definition 2.4] to be the modular unit with $d \log(u) = E_{2,\chi}$, normalized so that its value at the cusp ∞ is 1 in the sense of Brunault [Bru07, Section 5]. This means that the coefficient of lowest power of q in its q -expansion is 1 (note that unlike the K_2 case, the precise normalization of the unit is important). Finally, the C_i are either horizontal or vertical curves with functions f_i arranged in such a way as to make θ an element of $CH^2(S, 1)$. We will not need to know these terms, called *negligible* in [BDR15], precisely, as their contribution to the regulator will vanish. We will prove the following result of [BDR15].

Theorem 6.2. *Let η , β , α , g , α_g and β_g be as in Theorem 3.3. Then $\text{reg}_{\text{syn}}(\theta)$, viewed as an element of $\text{Hom}(F^1 H_{\text{dR}}^2(S/K), K)$, evaluates on $\pi_1^*(\omega_g) \cup \pi_2^*(\eta)$ to give*

$$(1 - \alpha^2 \alpha_g \beta_g \chi(p) p^{-1}) ((1 - \alpha \alpha_g \chi(p))(1 - \alpha \beta_g \chi(p))(1 - \alpha \alpha_g p^{-1})(1 - \alpha \beta_g p^{-1}))^{-1} \left\langle \eta, e_{\text{ord}}(G_1^{[p]} \omega_g) \right\rangle$$

$G_1^{[p]}$ being as in Theorem 3.3.

Proof. According to [Bes12, Theorem 1.1], in order to compute the regulator one first picks up Coleman integrals F_{ω_g} and F_η , which yield via pullbacks, integrals $\pi_1^* F_{\omega_g}$ and $\pi_2^* F_\eta$ to $\pi_1^* \omega_g$ and $\pi_2^* \eta$ respectively. As the integrality assumption 1 of the theorem is satisfied, as noted in by [BDR15, p. 371], the regulator is a sum of terms corresponding to the summands in θ . We consider the term corresponding to (Δ, u) separately. The other terms are computed using ‘‘global tripple indices’’:

$\langle F_\eta|_{C_i}, \log(f_i); F_\omega|_{C_i} \rangle_{\text{gl}, X_i}$. We do not need to get into the definitions here other than to point out that because the curves C_i are either vertical or horizontal in all the terms either the first or last coordinate will be constant and they therefore vanish using [BdJ12, Lemma 8.3 and Proposition 8.4].

It remains to compute the term corresponding to (Δ, u) . The formula we are after is hidden in the proof of [Bes12, Theorem 1.1]. We make it explicit as follows:

Lemma 6.3. *Let S/K be a surface and let $\theta = \sum (Z_i, f_i) \in CH^2(S, 1)$. Let $g_i : X_i \rightarrow S$ be the normalizations of the Z_i . Let $\omega \in F^1 H_{\text{dR}}^1(S/K)$ and $[\eta] \in H_{\text{dR}}^1(S/K)$ represented by the form of the second kind η . Pick a Coleman integral F_ω to ω . Then, under the integrality assumption, $\text{reg}_{\text{syn}}(\theta)$ evaluated on $\omega \cup [\eta]$ is a sum of terms. The term corresponding to (Z_i, f_i) can be computed as follows: Let $\tilde{\omega}$ be the class in $H_{\text{fp}, \{2\}}^1(\mathcal{X}, 1)$ corresponding to $g_i^* F_\omega$ and abuse notation to let η be $g_i^* \eta$. Then, the relevant term is*

$$\langle \eta, \text{reg}(f) \cup \tilde{\omega} \rangle$$

where $\text{reg}(f) \cup \tilde{\omega}$ is computed in fp cohomology of some open subscheme of \mathcal{X}_i , then identified with the first de Rham cohomology of some wide open in X_i .

Proof. This expression is derived along the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [Bes12]. The relevant computation is done on page 62, with the key formula being the last displayed formula on that page. The expression obtained there is then reformulated in equation (6.5) there and the proof is complete by noting that $\langle F_\eta, F_\omega \rangle_{\text{gl}} = \langle \eta, \omega \rangle$, for the pairing defined in (2.11). This last fact is proved in [Bes00c, Proposition 4.10]. \square

Going back to the proof of the theorem, since $\pi_i \circ \Delta = \text{Id}$ the above Lemma immediately gives the following expression for the remaining term:

$$\langle \eta, \text{reg}(u) \cup \tilde{\omega}_g \rangle .$$

This is of course the same kind of expression we have been computing before and the result follows almost immediately. The only thing to observe is that the Brunault normalization implies that $\phi^* u/u^p$ has the value 1 at infinity, hence its log vanishes there. This then easily implies that $G_1^{[p]}$ corresponding to the class in fp-cohomology given by the regulator, should also vanish. \square

REFERENCES

- [BBdJ] J. S. Balakrishnan, A. Besser, and R. de Jeu. Calculating syntomic regulators for K_2 of hyperelliptic curves. In preparation.
- [BD14] M. Bertolini and H. Darmon. Kato's Euler system and rational points on elliptic curves I: a p -adic Beilinson formula. *Israel J. Math.*, 199(1):163–188, 2014.
- [BdJ12] A. Besser and R. de Jeu. The syntomic regulator for K_4 of curves. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 260(2):305–380, 2012.
- [BDR15] M. Bertolini, H. Darmon, and V. Rotger. Beilinson-Flach elements and Euler systems I: Syntomic regulators and p -adic Rankin L -series. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 24(2):355–378, 2015.
- [Ber97] P. Berthelot. Finitude et pureté cohomologique en cohomologie rigide. *Invent. Math.*, 128(2):329–377, 1997. With an appendix in English by A.J. de Jong.
- [Bes00a] A. Besser. A generalization of Coleman's p -adic integration theory. *Inv. Math.*, 142(2):397–434, 2000.
- [Bes00b] A. Besser. Syntomic regulators and p -adic integration I: rigid syntomic regulators. *Israel Journal of Math.*, 120:291–334, 2000.
- [Bes00c] A. Besser. Syntomic regulators and p -adic integration II: K_2 of curves. *Israel Journal of Math.*, 120:335–360, 2000.

- [Bes12] A. Besser. On the syntomic regulator for K_1 of a surface. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 190:29–66, 2012.
- [Bru07] F. Brunault. Valeur en 2 de fonctions L de formes modulaires de poids 2: théorème de Beilinson explicite. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 135(2):215–246, 2007.
- [DR14] H. Darmon and V. Rotger. Diagonal cycles and Euler systems I: a p -adic Gross-Zagier formula. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 47(4):779–832, 2014.
- [GK92] B. H. Gross and S. Kudla. Heights and the central critical values of triple product L -functions. *Compositio Math.*, 81(2):143–209, 1992.
- [GS95] B. H. Gross and C. Schoen. The modified diagonal cycle on the triple product of a pointed curve. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 45(3):649–679, 1995.
- [RS11] K. Ribet and W. Stein. Lectures on Modular Forms and Hecke Operators. electronic, 2011.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEN-GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV, P.O.B. 653, BE'ER-SHEVA 84105, ISRAEL