

GEOMETRY OF 3-SELMER CLASSES
IN THE ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY LEARNING SEMINAR
AT ESSEN
7 MAY 2015

ISHAI DAN-COHEN

ABSTRACT. We discuss the geometry of 3-Selmer classes of elliptic curves over a number field, following Cassels [Cas], O’Neil [O’N], and Fisher [Fis], apropos the work [BS] of Bhargava-Shankar establishing parts of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture for a positive proportion of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} .

1. STATEMENT OF THEOREM

1.1. **The Selmer group.** Let E be an elliptic curve over a number field k , and let n be a natural number. Recall that the n -Selmer group, denoted $\text{Sel}_n(E)$ is the kernel of the composite map

$$H^1((\text{Spec } K)_{\text{ét}}, E[n]) \rightarrow H^1((\text{Spec } K)_{\text{ét}}, E) \rightarrow \prod_p H^1((\text{Spec } K_p)_{\text{ét}}, E_{\mathbb{Q}_p}),$$

where p ranges over all finite places of K . So $\text{Sel}_n(E)$ fits into a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E(K)/nE(K) \rightarrow \text{Sel}_n(E) \rightarrow \text{III}(E)[n] \rightarrow 0.$$

1.2. **Ternary cubics.** A ternary cubic form is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 3 in 3 variables. The space V of ternary cubic forms is naturally isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^{10} . We define an action of PGL_3 on V by

$$(\gamma f)(x, y, z) = \det(\gamma)^{-1} f((x, y, z)\gamma).$$

A ternary cubic form has a Hessian:

$$\text{Hess}(f) = \det \begin{pmatrix} f_{xx} & f_{xy} & f_{xz} \\ f_{yx} & f_{yy} & f_{yz} \\ f_{zx} & f_{zy} & f_{zz} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hess may be localized on \mathbb{P}^3 via

$$\mathcal{O}(3) \rightarrow M_{3 \times 3}(\mathcal{O}(1)) \xrightarrow{\det} \mathcal{O}(3).$$

1.2.1. **Examples.**

- (1) $\text{Hess}(x^3) = 0$
- (2) $\text{Hess}(x^3 + y^3 + z^3) = 6^3xyz.$

Date: May 12, 2015.

1.2.2. We define $I, J \in \mathbb{Q}[a_1, \dots, a_{10}]$ by the formulas

$$\text{Hess}^2(f) = 12288I(f)^2f + 512J(f)\text{Hess}(f),$$

and

$$\Delta = \frac{4I^3 - J^2}{27}.$$

The invariants I, J define a map $V = \mathbb{A}^{10} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$. One checks that this action is equivariant for the usual action of \mathbb{G}_m on V and for the action of weights $(4, 6)$ on \mathbb{A}^2 . Puncturing at the origin and modding out by the \mathbb{G}_m -actions, we obtain an action of PGL_3 on $\mathbb{P}^\vee V$ and a map

$$\bar{V}^{st} \rightarrow B$$

from an open subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^\vee V$ to the weighted projective line $t^2 = u^3$ which is a good quotient for the PGL_3 action. Given

$$(i, j) : \text{Spec } R \rightarrow B$$

we write $\bar{V}_{i,j}$ for the fiber of \bar{V}^{st} over (i, j) . If (i, j) maps into the open subscheme $\{\Delta \neq 0\} \subset B$, then $\bar{V}_{i,j}(R)$ consists of all ternary cubics f with coefficients in R and invariants $I(f) = i$ and $J(f) = j$.

1.3. Theorem. Let k be a number field and E the elliptic curve over k given by

$$y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B.$$

Let $f_0 = x^3 + Ax + B - y^2$ and let $i = I(f_0)$, $j = J(f_0)$. If $f \in V(R)$ is a ternary cubic form, we write C_f for the associated hypersurface in \mathbb{P}_R^2 . Then there's a bijection

$$\text{Sel}_3(E) = \{f \in V_{i,j}(k) \mid C_f(k_p) \neq \emptyset \text{ for all primes } p \text{ of } k\} / \text{PGL}_3(k).$$

2. GLOBAL VERSION OF THEOREM

2.1. Construction of obstruction map. There's a map $E[n] \rightarrow \text{PGL}_n$ defined as follows. Let $L = \mathcal{O}(ne)$, e being the identity element of E . Then if x is a point of $E[n]$, then

$$\tau_x^* L \cong L.$$

Here, τ_x denotes translation by x . The choice of such an isomorphism ϕ is unique up to $\mathbb{G}_m(E) = \mathbb{G}_m(S)$ (S being the base). The induced automorphism of $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}H^0(E, L)$ is independent of the choice of ϕ . A trivial application of Riemann Roch shows that $H^0(L)$ has dimension n , completing the construction of the map after a choice of coordinates.¹

The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \text{GL}_n \rightarrow \text{PGL}_n \rightarrow 0$$

now gives us a map

$$H^1((\text{Spec } k)_{\text{ét}}, \text{PGL}_n) \rightarrow H^2((\text{Spec } k)_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

We define Ob to be the composite

$$H^1((\text{Spec } k)_{\text{ét}}, E[n]) \rightarrow H^1((\text{Spec } k)_{\text{ét}}, \text{PGL}_n) \rightarrow H^2((\text{Spec } k)_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

2.2. Proposition. Set $n = 3$ as in the theorem, let k be a field of characteristic not 3, and let E, A, B, i, j be as in the theorem. Then there's a bijection

$$\ker Ob = V_{i,j}(k) / \text{PGL}_3(k).$$

¹Maybe we should write $\text{PGL}(\mathbb{P})$ instead of PGL_n to avoid choosing a basis, but then the two P 's are redundant, so maybe just 'Aut \mathbb{P} '.

2.3. Stacky generalities. Let \mathcal{C} be a site with terminal object S . (Examples: (1) The big étale site of a scheme S , or (2) more generally, $\mathcal{C}|_S$ for any site \mathcal{C} and any object $S \in \mathcal{C}$, or (3) most generally, a topos with its canonical topology.) Let $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a trivial gerbe, and $g \mapsto S$ an object over S . Then there's an equivalence of stacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G} & \longrightarrow & \text{Torsors}(\text{Aut } g) \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \mathcal{C} & \end{array} \quad \text{given by} \quad g' \mapsto \text{Isom}(g', g).$$

Taking isomorphism classes of objects, we obtain

$$\pi_0(\mathcal{G}(S)) = H^1(S, \text{Aut } E).$$

2.4. Twists of $E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$. By a *twist of $g : E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$* we mean an E -torsor C plus a map

$$g' : C \rightarrow P$$

to a scheme P such that after a surjective étale base-change, C becomes trivial, and the map to P becomes isomorphic to the pullback of $E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$. A morphism of twists is a commuting square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C' & \longrightarrow & P' \\ h \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi \\ C & \longrightarrow & P \end{array}$$

in which h is an E -equivariant isomorphism and Φ is an isomorphism. Twists of g form a trivial étale gerbe.

2.5. Lemma. We have $\text{Aut}(g) = E[n]$.

Proof. An automorphism of E as trivial E -torsor is a translation map τ_x for some $x \in E$. Then, using the bijectivity of the map

$$y \mapsto [y] - [e]$$

to the Jacobian, suitably interpreted over an arbitrary base, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_x^* n[e] \sim n[e] & \text{ iff } \tau_x^*[e] - [e] \text{ is } n\text{-torsion} \\ & \text{ iff } [-x] - [e] = [y] - [e] \text{ for some } y \in E[n] \\ & \text{ iff } x \in E[n]. \end{aligned}$$

Given an automorphism (h, Φ) of $g : E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$, we have

$$\phi : h^* L \cong L.$$

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} L &= g^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \\ &= g^* \Phi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \\ &= h^* g^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \\ &= h^* L. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $h = \tau_x$ with $x \in E[n]$. Moreover, the isomorphism of line bundles ϕ is uniquely determined up to a scalar, so

$$\Phi = \Gamma(E, \phi)$$

is uniquely determined by h . □

2.6. Corollary. We have ($S = \text{Spec } k$):

$$H^1(S_{\text{ét}}, E[n]) = \{\text{Twists of } g : E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\} / \cong .$$

2.7. Proof of Proposition. We may now complete the proof of the global form of the proposition by constructing a bijection

$$\{\text{twists } g' : C \rightarrow P \text{ over } k \text{ with } P \text{ trivial}\} / \cong \xrightarrow{\cong} V_{i,j}(k) / \text{PGL}_3(k).$$

Since $L = \mathcal{O}(3)$ is very ample, all twists $g : C \rightarrow P$ are closed immersions. Given a twist $g : C \rightarrow P$ of $g_0 : E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ with P trivial, we pick arbitrarily an isomorphism $P \cong \mathbb{P}$, and then pick an equation $f \in H^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}(3))$ for C , unique up to scalar.

Next, given g, g' and an isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C' & \xrightarrow{g'} & \mathbb{P} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\ C & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbb{P} \end{array}$$

one has to check that for some choice of associated equations f, f' , we have $f' = \gamma f$; explicitly,

$$f(x\gamma) = (\det \gamma) f'(x)$$

for all x in k^3 . QED.

3. THE PERIOD-INDEX PROBLEM

3.1. Relationship to θ -group. It's helpful to think of the map to PGL_n in terms of the θ -group of L . Let \mathcal{G}_n be the group of pairs (x, ϕ) where $x \in E[n]$ and ϕ is an isomorphism

$$\tau_x^* L \xrightarrow{\cong} L.$$

Then \mathcal{G}_n fits into a short exact sequence which maps to the short exact sequence for PGL_n as in the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_n & \longrightarrow & E[n] \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & \text{GL}_n & \longrightarrow & \text{PGL}_n \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

3.2. A period-index solution. If C is an E -torsor, its *period* is its order as an element of $H^1(\text{Spec } k, E)$. Its *period* is the smallest natural number d such that there exists a map to projective space of degree d . The phrase *period-index problem* refers to the problem of determining the relationship between the period and the index.

3.2.1. Proposition. If $C \in \text{III}(E)$, then its period and index are equal.

Proof. By Hilbert 90 and the Albert-Brauer-Hasse-Noether theorem, we have a commutative square with injections as shown:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(k, \text{PGL}_n) & \hookrightarrow & H^2(k, \mathbb{G}_m) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \prod_v H^1(k_v, \text{PGL}_n) & \longrightarrow & \prod_v H^2(k_v, \mathbb{G}_m), \end{array}$$

from which it follows that a Brauer-Severi variety which possesses a point at every place possesses a k -point. Note that a Brauer-Severi variety automatically possesses a point at each infinite place.

Using the Kummer exact sequence for E and again Hilbert 90, there's a map of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & E(k)/nE(k) & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, E[n]) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H^1(E)[n] \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow Ob \\
 & & 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, PGL_n) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & H^2(k, \mathbb{G}_m).
 \end{array}$$

(For the factorization, it would be sufficient to show that $E[n] \rightarrow PGL_n$ has normal image N and that $PGL_n(k) \twoheadrightarrow (PGL_n/N)(k)$. This is not strictly necessary however for the proof.) Suppose $C \in \text{III}(E)$ has period n . Then in particular C belongs to $H^1(E)[n]$. By the surjectivity of α , C admits a map $g : C \rightarrow P$ to a Brauer-Severi variety. Then $\beta(P)$ is trivial at each finite place v of k . By Hilbert 90 applied to k_v , we then have P_v trivial at each finite place v . Since P is automatically trivial at all infinite places, it follows that $P \cong \mathbb{P}^n$. The resulting map $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ has degree n . It follows that the index is no greater than the period. We omit the proof of the reverse inequality. \square

3.3. Proof of Theorem. Under the equality

$$\ker Ob = V_{i,j}(k)/PGL_3(k),$$

the identity element of the left corresponds to $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ with C trivial. This is clear. By the Hasse principle for Brauer-Severi varieties, classes that are unobstructed locally are unobstructed globally. So by functoriality of the above isomorphism, we have a commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Sel}_3(E) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Ker} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \ker Ob(k) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \bar{V}_{i,j}(k)/PGL_3(k) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \prod_p \ker Ob(k_p) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \prod_p \bar{V}_{i,j}(k_p)/PGL_3(k_p)
 \end{array}$$

inducing a bijection as in the theorem.

REFERENCES

[BS] Manjul Bhargava and Arul Shankar. Ternary cubic forms having bounded invariants, and the existence of a positive proportion of elliptic curves having rank 0. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 181(2):587–621, 2015.

[Cas] J. W. S. Cassels. Arithmetic on curves of genus 1. IV. Proof of the Hauptvermutung. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 211:95–112, 1962.

[Fis] Tom Fisher. Testing equivalence of ternary cubics. In *Algorithmic number theory*, volume 4076 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 333–345. Springer, Berlin, 2006.

[O’N] Catherine O’Neil. The period-index obstruction for elliptic curves. *J. Number Theory*, 95(2):329–339, 2002.