

27.12.2017

(99)

Noetherian Rings

Below A is a commutative ring.

Def. An A -module M is noetherian if every submodule of M is finitely generated.

The ring A is noetherian if the A -module A is noetherian; i.e. if every ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$ is f.g.

Prop. An A -module M is noetherian iff it satisfies the ascending chain condition: if $\{N_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an ascending chain of submodules of M , then $N_i = N_{i+1}$ for $i \gg 0$.

(i.e. $N_0 \subseteq N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq \dots$)

Exercise. Prove this proposition.

Prop. Let $0 \rightarrow N_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} N_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} N_2 \rightarrow 0$

be an exact seq. of A -modules. TFAE:

(i) N_1 is noetherian.

(ii) N_0 and N_2 are noetherian.

pf. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Say $M_0 \subseteq N_0$ and $M_2 \subseteq N_2$ are submods. Then $M_0 \cong \varphi_1(M_0) \subseteq N_1$, so M_0 is f.g. Since $\varphi_2^{-1}(M_2) \subseteq N_1$ is f.g., and $\varphi_2^{-1}(M_2) \rightarrow M_2$ is surj, we see that M_2 is f.g.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $M_1 \subseteq N_1$. Choose finitely many elems. $\vec{m}_1, \dots, \vec{m}_r \in M_0 := \varphi_1^{-1}(M_1) \subseteq N_0$ that generate it.

100 and let $m_i := \varphi_1(\tilde{m}_i) \in M_1$. Choose fin. many $\tilde{m}_1, \dots, \tilde{m}_s \in M_2 := \varphi_2(M_1)$ that gen. it, and choose lifts $n_i \in \varphi_2^{-1}(\tilde{m}_i)$. Then M_1 is gen. by the elements $\underbrace{\quad}_{\subseteq M_1} m_1, \dots, m_r, n_1, \dots, n_s$. \square

Theorem. If A is a noetherian ring, then every finitely generated A -module is noetherian.

proof. The free module A is noeth. by def. Now consider A^n for $n \geq 1$. There is an ex.

$$\text{seq.} \quad 0 \rightarrow A^{n-1} \rightarrow A^n \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0.$$

By induction and the ^{previous} prop. we see that A^n is noeth. Finally let M be ^{some} f.g. module. There

is an ex. seq:

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow A^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

for some n . Again using the prop, we conclude that M is noeth. \square

101

Theorem. Let A be a noetherian ring, and let $S \subseteq A$ be a mult. closed subset. Then the ring A_S is noetherian.

We need a lemma first.

Lemma. Let M be an A -module. Consider the hom. $\lambda: M \rightarrow M_S$, $\lambda(m) = \frac{m}{1}$. Let $N \subseteq M_S$ be an A_S -submodule, and define $\tilde{N} := \lambda^{-1}(N)$, which is an A -submodule of M . Then

$$N = A_S \cdot \lambda(\tilde{N}).$$

PF. Take $\frac{m}{s} \in N$. Then $\frac{1}{s} \cdot \frac{m}{1} = \frac{m}{1} = \lambda(m)$, and $\frac{1}{s} \cdot \frac{m}{s} \in N$. So $m \in \tilde{N}$. But $\frac{m}{s} = \frac{1}{s} \cdot \frac{m}{1} = \frac{1}{s} \cdot \lambda(m)$. \square

already did this for ideals p. 84.

PF of thm.

Let $g: A \rightarrow A_S$ be the can. ring hom. Let $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq A_S$ be an ideal. Consider

$\mathfrak{a} := g^{-1}(\mathfrak{b})$, which is an ideal of A .

By assumption \mathfrak{a} is fin. gen.; and by Lemma [p. 84] $\mathfrak{b} = A_S \cdot g(\mathfrak{a})$. So \mathfrak{b} is fin. gen. as an A_S -module. \square

to show
p. 84

102

Prop. Let A be a noeth. ring, and let $a_1 \in A$ be an ideal. Then the ring $B := A/a_1$ is noetherian.

→ Exercise: Prove the prop.

Hilbert Basis Theorem

Theorem. Let A be a noetherian ring. Then the polynomial ring $A[t]$ is noetherian.

in a single variable t ,

The following terminology is needed for the proof
 Let $f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i t^i$ be a polynomial of deg. $n \geq 0$ ($\Leftrightarrow a_n \neq 0$).

The element $a_n \in A$ is called the leading coefficient of f .

proof. Take any ideal b in the ring $A[t]$. We have to prove it is fin. gen. Let us assume the contrary (and show a contradiction). We will \forall infinite

Construct a sequence (f_1, f_2, \dots) of n elements of b , satisfying: (a) $\deg(f_1) \leq \deg(f_2) \leq \dots$

(b) Let b_{i-1} be the ideal gen. by f_1, \dots, f_{i-1} (if $i=1$ then $b_0 = 0$). Then $f_i \notin b_{i-1}$. By assumption $b_{i-1} \neq b$. Choose f_i to be an element of minimal degree in $b - b_{i-1}$. Note that $\deg(f_i) \geq \deg(f_{i-1})$, if $i \geq 2$, due to the min. of (a). We have a seq. of ideals $b_1 \subsetneq b_2 \subsetneq \dots$

For each i let a_i be the leading coefficient of the poly. $f_i(t)$. Define $a \subseteq A$ to be the ideal gen. by a_1, a_2, \dots

$(\heartsuit) f_{i+1} \in b - b_{i-2} \supseteq b - b_{i-1}$

cont...

$\nexists (b \text{ not fin. gen.}), \text{ but } b_i \text{ is fin. gen.}$

This is done by induction on $i \geq 1$.

... cont.

Since A is noetherian, the ideal \mathfrak{a} is fin. gen. hence $\mathfrak{a} = \sum_{j=1}^i A \cdot a_j$ for some $i \geq 0$.

Therefore we have

$$a_{i+1} = \sum_{j=1}^i c_j \cdot a_j$$

for some $c_j \in A$.

Define the polynomial

$$g(t) := \sum_{j=1}^i c_j \cdot f_j(t) \cdot t^{\deg(f_{i+1}) - \deg(f_j)}$$

This is a poly. of $\deg(g) = \deg(f_{i+1})$, with leading coefficient $a_{i+1} \neq 0$. Also $g \in \mathfrak{b}_i$. Now

$$h := f_{i+1} - g \in \mathfrak{b}_{i+1}$$

has $\deg(h) < \deg(f_{i+1})$, and $h \in \mathfrak{b}_{i+1} - \mathfrak{b}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{b} - \mathfrak{b}_i$. (e)

This contradicts our choice of f_{i+1} . □

(*) f_{i+1} and g have same ^{degree} leading coeff.

(e) because $g \in \mathfrak{b}_i$ and $f_{i+1} \notin \mathfrak{b}_i$

Cor. Suppose A is a noetherian ring, and B is a finitely generated A -ring. Then B is noetherian.

pf. We can write B as $A[t_1, \dots, t_n]/\mathfrak{b}$ for some $n \geq 1$, and some ideal \mathfrak{b} . By Prop. [p. 102] it suffices to prove that $A[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ is noetherian. But this is a consequence of the Basis Thm. & induction on n . \square

Hilb.

An A -ring B is called essentially finite type if B is a localization B'_S of some fin. type (i.e. fin. gen.) A -ring B' , at some m.c. set $S' \subset B'$.

Cor. If A is noetherian and B is an ess. fin. type A -ring, then B is noetherian.

pf. Use last corollary and Thm. [p. 101] \square

Example Let K be a field or \mathbb{Z} , let A be a fin. gen. K -ring, and let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$.

Then the local ring $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is noetherian.

Reason: K is noetherian, so A is noeth. by

Basis Thm. Finally, $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a localiz. of A .