Problems

1. Check if the following function has a saddle point

$$F(x,y) = (x-y)^2, \ 0 \le x, y \le 1;$$

Solution. We should check that

$$\min_{0 \le x \le 1} \max_{0 \le y \le 1} F(x, y) = \max_{0 \le y \le 1} \min_{0 \le x \le 1} F(x, y)$$

Let $x \in [0, 1]$ be fixed. Find $\max_{0 \le y \le 1} F(x, y)$. It is clear that $\max_{0 \le y \le 1} (x - y)^2$ is attained at y = 0 or y = 1. Therefore

$$g(x) = \max_{0 \le y \le 1} (x - y)^2 = \max(x^2, (x - 1)^2).$$

Then $\min_{0 \le x \le 1} g(x)$ is attained at x = 0.5 and equal to 0.25. Thus

$$\min_{0 \le x \le 1} \max_{0 \le y \le 1} F(x, y) = 0.25.$$

Now calculate $\max_{0 \le y \le 1} \min_{0 \le x \le 1} F(x, y)$. Let $y \in [0, 1]$ be fixed. Then $\min_{0 \le x \le 1} (x - y)^2 = 0$. Hence

$$\max_{0 \le y \le 1} \min_{0 \le x \le 1} F(x, y) = 0,$$

and

$$\min_{0 \le x \le 1} \max_{0 \le y \le 1} F(x, y) \neq \max_{0 \le y \le 1} \min_{0 \le x \le 1} F(x, y),$$

that is, F(x, y) does not have a saddle point.

2. Find a solution of the following problems:(b)

$$\min(8x_1^2 + 2x_2^2)$$

subject to

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 9, \ 1 \le x_1 \le 2, \ x_2 \ge 1.$$

Solution. It is clear that $\min(8x_1^2+2x_2^2)$ is attained at the point $x = (x_1, x_2)$ with minimal possible values of x_1 and x_2 , that is, at $x^* = (1, 1)$. This is a feasible point, and we should verify that it satisfies the conditions of the Kuhn-Tucker theorem.

In our case

$$f(x) = 8x_1^2 + 2x_2^2,$$

$$g_{1}(x) = x_{1}^{2} + x_{2}^{2} - 9,$$

$$g_{2}(x) = 1 - x_{1},$$

$$g_{3}(x) = x_{1} - 2,$$

$$g_{4}(x) = 1 - x_{2},$$

$$\nabla f(x) = (16x_{1}, 4x_{2}),$$

$$\nabla g_{1}(x) = (2x_{1}, 2x_{2}),$$

$$\nabla g_{2}(x) = (-1, 0),$$

$$\nabla g_{3}(x) = (1, 0),$$

$$\nabla g_{4}(x) = (0, -1).$$

Verify that there exist non-negative numbers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4$ such that

$$\nabla f(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^{4} \lambda_i \nabla g(x^*) = 0,$$
 (1)

and $\lambda_i = 0$ for non-active constraints, that is, for *i* with $g_i(x^*) < 0$. Since g_1 and g_3 are non-active at x^* , $\lambda_1 = \lambda_3 = 0$, and we have from (1):

$$16 + \lambda_2(-1) = 0,$$

$$4 + \lambda_4(-1) = 0.$$

Hence $\lambda_1 = 0$, $\lambda_2 = 16$, $\lambda_3 = 0$, $\lambda_4 = 4$ are non-negative and satisfy (1).

Since f and g_i are convex functions and the regularity condition is satisfied, point $x^* = (1, 1)$ is the solution of the problem.

5. Find a and b such that the function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} b(x - \alpha), & x \le \alpha, \\ a(x - \alpha), & x > \alpha \end{cases}$$

is a convex function.

Solution. A function of one variable is convex iff its derivative increases. The derivative of f is b, for $x \leq \alpha$, and a for $x > \alpha$. Hence f is a convex function iff $a \geq b$.

6. Find an area where the following functions are convex

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_j \ln x_j;$$

Solution. The Hessian is a diagonal matrix with $h_{ii} = 1/x_i$. Hence the Hessian is a positive definite matrix, and f is a convex function, for x > 0.

$$f(x,y) = x^2 - 3xy + y^2.$$

Solution. Calculate the Hessian

$$H = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 2 & -3\\ -3 & 2 \end{array}\right)$$

Since det H = -5, f is not a convex function.

$$f(x) = x_1^4 + x_2^4 - x_1 x_2$$

Solution. Calculate the Hessian

$$H = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 12x_1^2 & -1\\ -1 & 12x_2^2 \end{array}\right)$$

H is a positive semi-definite matrix if its diagonal elements are non-negative as well as its determinant. The diagonal elements are non-negative for all x, and det $H = 144x_1^2x_2^2 - 1$. Therefore f is convex on a convex set which is contained in $\{x : x_1^2x_2^2 \ge 1/144\}$.

7. Construct a dual problem for the following problem:

$$\min\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{x_i}$$

subject to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \ge 1.$$

Solution. Construct the Lagrange function:

$$L(x,\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{x_i} + \lambda(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i).$$

The dual problem (D) is: find

$$\max_{\lambda \ge 0} \min_{x} L(x, \lambda).$$

Since $L(x, \lambda)$ is a convex and differentiable function with respect to x, problem (D) is equivalent to

$$\max_{\lambda \ge 0} \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda).$$

subject to conditions:

$$\nabla_x L(x,\lambda) = 0,$$

that is

$$e^{x_i} - \lambda = 0$$
, for all *i*.

8. We have a bar 140 cm, and we can cut it into blanks:
20 cm, price \$3,
40 cm, price \$8,
60 cm, price \$12,
100 cm, price \$16.
Find a cutting of maximal worth.
Solution. The problem is:
find

 $\max(3x_1 + 8x_2 + 12x_3 + 16x_4)$

subject to

$$20x_1 + 40x_2 + 60x_3 + 100x_4 \le 140,$$

 x_j are non-negative integers denoting how many blanks of length l_j should be cut from the bar.

The problem can be solved by dynamic programming:

$$F_{k+1}(l) = \max_{x} (c_{k+1}x + F_k(l - l_{k+1}x)),$$

F(0)=0.