

## 1. COMPUTATIONAL LEARNING

**Abstract.** I discuss the basics of computational learning theory, including concept classes, VC-dimension, and VC-density. Next, I touch on the VC-Theorem,  $\epsilon$ -nets, and the  $(p, q)$ -Theorem for VC-classes.

**Definition 1.1.** A *concept class*  $\mathcal{C}$  on a set  $X$  is a subset of the powerset of  $X$ . Elements of  $\mathcal{C}$  are known as *concepts*.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a concept class on a set  $X$ :

- (1) for some  $Y \subseteq X$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  *shatters*  $Y$  if

$$\mathcal{P}(Y) = \mathcal{C}|_Y := \{Y \cap A : A \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

- (2)  $\mathcal{C}$  has *VC-dimension*  $\geq d$  if there exists  $Y \subseteq X$  with  $|Y| = d$  such that  $\mathcal{C}$  shatters  $Y$ .

- (3) The *shatter function* of  $\mathcal{C}$  is a function  $\pi_{\mathcal{C}} : \omega \rightarrow \omega$  given by

$$\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(m) := \max\{|\mathcal{C}|_Y| : Y \subseteq X, |Y| = m\}.$$

Hence  $\mathcal{C}$  has VC-dimension  $\geq d$  iff.  $\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(d) = 2^d$ .

- (4)  $\mathcal{C}$  has *VC-density*  $\leq \ell$  if there exists  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  such that, for all  $m < \omega$ ,  $\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(m) \leq Km^\ell$ .

- (5)  $\mathcal{C}$  is a *VC-class* if it has finite VC-dimension.

- (6) The *dual concept class* (on the set  $\mathcal{C}$ ) is given by

$$\mathcal{C}^* = \{\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C} : (\exists x \in X)(\forall A \in \mathcal{C})(x \in A \Leftrightarrow A \in \mathcal{D})\}.$$

- (7) The *dual shatter function* of  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $\pi_{\mathcal{C}^*} = \pi_{\mathcal{C}}$ .

- (8) the *VC-codimension* of  $\mathcal{C}$  is the VC-dimension of  $\mathcal{C}^*$ .

- (9) the *VC-codensity* of  $\mathcal{C}$  is the VC-density of  $\mathcal{C}^*$ .

*Example 1.3.* Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the collection of open intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Any two-element subset of  $X$  is shattered but no three-element subset of  $X$  is shattered. So, the VC-dimension of  $\mathcal{C}$  is 2. For any  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  with  $|Y| = m$ , there are  $\binom{m}{2}$  elements of  $\mathcal{C}|_Y$  with at least two elements,  $m$  elements with exactly one element, and 1 element with none. Hence, the shatter function is given by

$$\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(m) = \frac{1}{2}m^2 + \frac{1}{2}m + 1, \text{ for } m \geq 2.$$

Therefore,  $\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(m) = \mathcal{O}(m^2)$ , so  $\mathcal{C}$  has VC-density 2. One can show that  $\mathcal{C}$  has VC-codimension 2 and VC-codensity 1.

**Theorem 1.4** ([11]). *A concept class  $\mathcal{C}$  is a VC-class if and only if  $\mathcal{C}^*$  is.*

**Theorem 1.5** (Sauer's Lemma). *If  $\mathcal{C}$  has VC-dimension  $n$  and  $m > n$ , then*

$$\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(m) \leq \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{m}{i}.$$

*In particular, the VC-density is bounded above by the VC-dimension.*

Therefore, the following are equivalent for a concept class  $\mathcal{C}$ :

- $\mathcal{C}$  is a VC-class,
- $\mathcal{C}$  has finite VC-dimension,
- $\mathcal{C}$  has finite VC-density,
- $\mathcal{C}$  has finite VC-codimension,
- $\mathcal{C}$  has finite VC-codensity.

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a concept class on a finite set  $X$ :

- (1) A subset  $T \subseteq X$  is a *transversal* of  $\mathcal{C}$  if, for all  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $A \cap T \neq \emptyset$ .
- (2) The *transversal number* of  $\mathcal{C}$ , denoted  $\tau(\mathcal{C})$ , is the minimal cardinality of a transversal of  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- (3) A *fractional transversal* of  $\mathcal{C}$  is a map  $\sigma : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that, for each  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\sum_{x \in A} \sigma(x) \geq 1$ .
- (4) The *fractional transversal number* of  $\mathcal{C}$ , denoted  $\tau'(\mathcal{C})$ , is the infimum of  $\sum_{x \in X} \sigma(x)$  over all fractional transversals  $\sigma$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- (5) A subset  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is a *packing* of  $\mathcal{C}$  if, for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .
- (6) The *packing number* of  $\mathcal{C}$ , denoted  $\nu(\mathcal{C})$ , is the maximal cardinality of a packing of  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- (7) A *fractional packing* of  $\mathcal{C}$  is a map  $\sigma : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that, for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\sum_{A \in \mathcal{C}, A \ni x} \sigma(A) \leq 1$ .
- (8) The *fractional packing number* of  $\mathcal{C}$ , denoted  $\nu'(\mathcal{C})$ , is the supremum of  $\sum_{A \in \mathcal{C}} \sigma(A)$  over all fractional packings  $\sigma$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

*Remark 1.7.* For any concept class  $\mathcal{C}$  on a finite set  $X$ ,

$$\nu(\mathcal{C}) \leq \tau(\mathcal{C}), \nu(\mathcal{C}) \leq \nu'(\mathcal{C}), \text{ and } \tau'(\mathcal{C}) \leq \tau(\mathcal{C}).$$

*Example 1.8.* Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ ,  $\mathcal{C} = \{\{0, 1\}, \{1, 2\}, \{0, 2\}\}$ . Then we have that

- $\nu(\mathcal{C}) = 1$ ,
- $\tau(\mathcal{C}) = 2$ ,
- $\nu'(\mathcal{C}) = \frac{3}{2}$ , and
- $\tau'(\mathcal{C}) = \frac{3}{2}$ .

**Theorem 1.9.** *For every concept class  $\mathcal{C}$  on a finite set  $X$ ,*

$$\tau'(\mathcal{C}) = \nu'(\mathcal{C}).$$

*Proof.* This is proved via the Duality of Linear Programming. That is, if  $\mathbf{A}$  is an  $m \times n$  real matrix,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , and  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , if

- $P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \geq 0 \text{ and } \mathbf{A}x \geq b\}$ , and
- $D = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m : y \geq 0 \text{ and } y^T \mathbf{A} \leq c^T\}$

are both non-empty, then

$$\min\{c^T x : x \in P\} = \max\{y^T b : y \in D\}.$$

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be the incidence matrix for  $X$  and  $\mathcal{C}$ . So,  $\mathbf{A} = (\chi_A(x))_{A \in \mathcal{C}, x \in X}$ , where  $\chi_A : X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is the characteristic function of  $A$ . Set  $b = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $c = \mathbf{1}_X$  (tuples whose entries are 1). Check that

- $\tau'(\mathcal{C}) = \min\{c^T x : x \geq 0 \text{ and } \mathbf{A}x \geq b\}$ , and
- $\nu'(\mathcal{C}) = \max\{y^T b : y \geq 0 \text{ and } y^T \mathbf{A} \leq c^T\}$ .

□

The goal is now to find a condition to bound  $\tau(\mathcal{C})$ .

**Definition 1.10.** For  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\mu$  a probability measure on  $X$ , and  $\mathcal{C}$  a concept class of  $\mu$ -measurable subsets of  $X$ , an  $\epsilon$ -net of  $\mathcal{C}$  is a transversal for the set

$$\mathcal{C}_\epsilon := \{A \in \mathcal{C} : \mu(A) \geq \epsilon\}.$$

**Theorem 1.11** (The VC-Theorem). *Fix  $d, n < \omega$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Suppose that  $\mu$  is a probability measure on  $X$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  is a concept class on  $X$  (of  $\mu$ -measurable sets) with VC-dimension  $\leq d$ . Then,*

$$\mu(\{\bar{a} \in X^n : \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \text{ is not an } \epsilon\text{-net for } \mathcal{C}\}) \leq 2(2n)^d 2^{-\epsilon n/2}.$$

Proof uses “double counting” method and Chebyshev’s Inequality.

**Corollary 1.12.** *Fix  $d < \omega$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, there exists  $n \in \mathbb{R}$  such that, for all finite concept classes  $\mathcal{C}$  with VC-dimension  $\leq d$  and  $\nu'(\mathcal{C}) \leq r$ , we have  $\tau(\mathcal{C}) \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $\epsilon = 1/r$  and  $n$  large enough such that  $2(2n)^d 2^{-\epsilon n/2} < 1$ . As  $\tau'(\mathcal{C}) = \nu'(\mathcal{C}) \leq r$ ,  $1/\tau'(\mathcal{C}) \geq \epsilon$ . Let  $\sigma : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be an optimal fractional transversal and define a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  by letting  $\mu(A) = \frac{1}{\tau'(\mathcal{C})} \sum_{x \in A} \sigma(x)$ . For all  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mu(A) \geq \epsilon$  by definition, hence  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_\epsilon$ . Then, the probability that a random  $n$ -element subset of  $X$  is not an  $\epsilon$ -net (i.e., a transversal) for  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $< 1$ . Hence, a  $n$ -element transversal exists. □

**Definition 1.13.** A concept class  $\mathcal{C}$  has the  $(p, q)$ -property if, for all  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  with  $|\mathcal{D}| = p$ , there exists  $a \in X$  such that

$$|\{A \in \mathcal{D} : a \in A\}| \geq q.$$

In other words, every  $p$ -element subset of  $\mathcal{C}$  has at least  $q$  elements that have a common intersection.

**Proposition 1.14** ([13]). *For all  $0 < q \leq p$  and  $K < \omega$ , there exists  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  such that, for all finite concept classes  $\mathcal{C}$  such that,  $\mathcal{C}$  has the  $(p, q)$ -property and  $(\forall m \geq q)(\pi_{\mathcal{C}}^*(m) < K \binom{m}{q})$ , we have*

$$\nu'(\mathcal{C}) \leq r.$$

The proof of this uses the Fractional Helly Property.

**Theorem 1.15** ( $(p, q)$ -Theorem for VC-classes). *If  $0 < q \leq p < \omega$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  is a VC-class on  $X$  with VC-codensity  $< q$ , then there exists  $n < \omega$  such that, for all finite  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ , if  $\mathcal{D}$  has the  $(p, q)$ -property, then  $\tau(\mathcal{D}) \leq n$ . (Moreover,  $n$  only depends on  $q, p$ , the VC-dimension of  $\mathcal{C}$ , and the behavior of  $\pi_{\mathcal{C}}^*$ .)*

*Proof.* As  $\mathcal{C}$  is a VC-class, choose  $d$  such that  $\mathcal{C}$  has VC-dimension  $\leq d$  and, since  $\mathcal{C}$  has VC-codensity  $< q$ , choose  $K$  such that  $(\forall m \geq q)(\pi_{\mathcal{C}}^*(m) < K \binom{m}{q})$ . Let  $r$  be given by Proposition 1.14 for our  $q, p$ , and  $K$ . Then, let  $n$  be given by Corollary 1.12 for  $r$  and  $d$ . Proposition 1.14 gives us that  $\nu'(\mathcal{D}) \leq r$  and Corollary 1.12 gives us that  $\tau(\mathcal{D}) \leq n$ .  $\square$

Suppose  $p = q$ . Then the  $(q, q)$ -Theorem is, in effect, a “finite version of compactness” for NIP Theories. It says that, for a finite VC-class  $\mathcal{C}$ , if every  $q$  element subset has a common intersection, then there is a uniform bound on the number of elements needed to cover  $\mathcal{C}$ .

## 2. INTRODUCTION TO NIP THEORIES

**Abstract.** First, I conclude computational learning by discussing two notions of learning. Then, I discuss the notion of UDTFS and how it relates to sequence compression schemes. Finally, I begin talking about NIP Theories.

Let  $X$  be any set and  $\mathcal{C}$  a concept class on  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(X)$  be the finite subsets of  $X$ .

**Definition 2.1.** We say that  $\mathcal{C}$  is *probably approximately correctly learnable* (PAC-learnable) if there exists  $\langle H_Y : Y \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(X) \rangle$  a sequence of *hypothesis* functions  $H_Y : \mathcal{C}|_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  such that, for all  $\epsilon > 0$  and all  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $N_{\epsilon, \delta} < \omega$  such that, for all  $n \geq N_{\epsilon, \delta}$ , all  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , and all probability measures  $\mu$  on  $X$ , the  $\mu$ -probability that a randomly chosen  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in X$  satisfies

$$\mu(A \Delta H_{\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}}(A \cap \{a_1, \dots, a_n\})) > \epsilon$$

is  $< \delta$ .

**Theorem 2.2** (PAC-Learning Theorem, [3]). *For any concept class  $\mathcal{C}$ , the following are equivalent:*

- $\mathcal{C}$  is a VC-class,
- $\mathcal{C}$  is PAC-learnable.

This is proved using the VC-Theorem from last talk.

*Example 2.3* (Example 1.3, Revisited). Consider  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  the concept class of all open intervals. For every finite  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and every  $A \subseteq Y$ , let

$$H_Y(A) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : (\exists a, b \in A)(a \leq x \leq b)\}.$$

Then, one can show that this witnesses that  $\mathcal{C}$  is PAC-learnable with

$$N_{\epsilon, \delta} \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon} \log \left( \frac{2}{\delta} \right).$$

The function  $\langle \epsilon, \delta \rangle \mapsto N_{\epsilon, \delta}$  is called the *sample complexity* of the hypothesis function  $\overline{H} = \langle H_Y : Y \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(X) \rangle$ .

**Definition 2.4.** We say that  $\mathcal{C}$  has a *d-dimensional sequence compression scheme* if there exists a sequence of *compression* functions  $\langle \kappa_Y : Y \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(X) \rangle$  (with  $\kappa_Y : \mathcal{C}|_Y \rightarrow Y^d$ ) and a finite number of *recovery* functions  $\langle \rho_i : i < K \rangle$  (with  $\rho_i : X^d \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ ) such that, for each finite non-empty  $Y \subseteq X$  and  $A \in \mathcal{C}|_Y$ , there exists  $i < K$  such that

$$\rho_i(\kappa_Y(A)) \cap Y = A.$$

*Example 2.5* (Example 1.3, Revisited). Consider  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  the concept class of all open intervals. Consider the compression  $\kappa_Y(A) = \langle \min A, \max A \rangle$  for  $A \neq \emptyset$  and  $\kappa_Y(\emptyset) = \langle \min Y, \max Y \rangle$ . Consider the recovery functions  $\rho_1(a, b) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a \leq x \leq b\}$  and  $\rho_2(a, b) = \emptyset$ . Check that, for any non-empty finite  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and any  $A \in \mathcal{C}|_Y$ ,

- $\rho_1(\kappa_Y(A)) \cap Y = A$  if  $A \neq \emptyset$ , and
- $\rho_2(\kappa_Y(\emptyset)) \cap Y = \emptyset$ .

There is no 1-dimensional compression scheme (by the following proposition).

**Proposition 2.6.** *If  $\mathcal{C}$  has a  $d$ -dimensional sequence compression scheme, then  $\mathcal{C}$  has VC-density  $\leq d$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{C}$  is a VC-class.*

*Proof.* For any finite non-empty  $Y \subseteq X$ , an element  $A \in \mathcal{C}|_Y$  is determined by an element in  $Y^d$  (namely,  $\kappa_Y(A)$ ) and  $\rho_i$  for some  $i < K$ . Hence,

$$|\mathcal{C}|_Y| \leq K|Y|^d.$$

□

**Conjecture 2.7** (Warmuth Conjecture). *If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a VC-class, then  $\mathcal{C}$  has a sequence compression scheme.*

**Proposition 2.8** ([7]). *The following hold for a concept class  $\mathcal{C}$ :*

- *If  $\mathcal{C}$  has VC-density  $< 2$ , then  $\mathcal{C}$  has a sequence compression scheme.*
- *If  $\mathcal{C}$  has VC-dimension  $\leq 1$ , then  $\mathcal{C}$  has a 1-dimensional sequence compression scheme.*

Let  $T$  be a complete, first-order theory in a language  $L$  with monster model  $\mathcal{U}$ . We will bring all the definitions and results from the previous talk into model theory with the following convention:

**Definition 2.9.** Fix a partitioned formula  $\varphi(x; y)$ . For  $a \in \mathcal{U}_x$  and  $B \subseteq \mathcal{U}_y$ , let

$$\varphi(a; B) := \{b \in B : \mathcal{U} \models \varphi(a; b)\}.$$

Now consider the following concept class on  $\mathcal{U}_y$ ,

$$\mathcal{C}_\varphi^{\mathcal{U}} := \{\varphi(a; \mathcal{U}_y) : a \in \mathcal{U}_x\}.$$

From this, we get:

- Say  $\varphi$  has VC-dimension  $n$  if  $\mathcal{C}_\varphi^{\mathcal{U}}$  does.
- Say  $\varphi$  has VC-density  $\ell$  if  $\mathcal{C}_\varphi^{\mathcal{U}}$  does.
- Say  $\varphi$  has NIP if  $\mathcal{C}_\varphi^{\mathcal{U}}$  is a VC-class.
- Say  $T$  has NIP if every partitioned formula  $\varphi(x; y)$  does.

*Example 2.10.* The following theories have NIP:

- $T = \text{Th}(\mathbb{C}; +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ , the theory of algebraically closed fields (of characteristic 0).
- $T = \text{Th}(\mathbb{R}; +, \cdot, <, 0, 1)$ , the theory of real closed fields.
- $T = \text{Th}(\mathbb{Q}_p; +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ , the theory of the  $p$ -adic field.
- $T = \text{Th}(\mathbb{Q}; +, <)$ , the theory of densely ordered abelian groups.

The theory of Rado's random graph does not have NIP.

**Definition 2.11.** A formula  $\varphi(x; y)$  has *uniform definability of types over finite sets (UDTFS) (of rank  $\leq d$ )* if there exists finitely many formulas  $\langle \psi_i(y; z_1, \dots, z_d) : i < K \rangle$  such that, for all finite  $B \subseteq \mathcal{U}_y$  and all  $a \in \mathcal{U}_x$ , there exists  $c_1, \dots, c_d \in B$  and  $i < K$  such that

$$\varphi(a; B) = \psi_i(B; c_1, \dots, c_d).$$

**Proposition 2.12** ([1]). *If  $T$  is weakly o-minimal, then  $\varphi(x; y)$  has UDTFS-rank  $\leq |x|$ .*

In particular, this holds for real closed fields and densely ordered abelian groups, as these theories are weakly o-minimal.

**Theorem 2.13** ([10]). *If  $\varphi$  has UDTFS-rank  $d$ , then  $\mathcal{C}_\varphi^{\mathcal{U}}$  has a  $d$ -dimensional sequence compression scheme.*

*Proof.* Let  $\langle \psi_i(y; z_1, \dots, z_d) : i < K \rangle$  witness that  $\varphi(x; y)$  has UDTFS-rank  $d$ . For each  $i < K$ , consider the recovery function  $\rho_i : \mathcal{U}_y^d \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{U}_y)$  given by

$$\rho_i(c_1, \dots, c_d) = \psi_i(\mathcal{U}_y; c_1, \dots, c_d).$$

For any finite non-empty  $B \subseteq \mathcal{U}_y$ , consider the compression function  $\kappa_B : \mathcal{C}_\varphi^{\mathcal{U}}|_B \rightarrow B^d$  given by: For  $a \in \mathcal{U}_x$ , let  $c_1, \dots, c_d \in B$  and  $i < K$  be such that  $\varphi(a; B) = \psi_i(B; c_1, \dots, c_d)$ . Then, set

$$\kappa_B(\varphi(a; B)) = \langle c_1, \dots, c_d \rangle.$$

Hence,

$$\rho_i(\kappa_B(\varphi(a; B))) \cap B = \psi_i(B; c_1, \dots, c_d) = \varphi(a; B).$$

Therefore, this is a  $d$ -dimensional sequence compression scheme for  $\mathcal{C}_\varphi^{\mathcal{U}}$ .  $\square$

*Example 2.14.* Consider  $\varphi(x_1, x_2, x_3; y_1, y_2) := (x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2 \leq x_3^2$  in real closed fields. Then,  $\mathcal{C}_\varphi^{\mathbb{R}}$  is the set of all closed disks in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . By Proposition 2.12 and Theorem 2.13, it has a 3-dimensional sequence compression scheme.

**Corollary 2.15.** *If  $\varphi$  has UDTFS-rank  $d$ , then  $\varphi$  has VC-density  $\leq d$ . In particular, if  $\varphi$  has UDTFS, then it has NIP.*

What about the converse?

**Conjecture 2.16** (UDTFS Conjecture, [7]). *If  $\varphi(x; y)$  has NIP, then  $\varphi$  has UDTFS.*

By Theorem 2.13, the UDTFS Conjecture implies the Warmuth Conjecture.

**Proposition 2.17** ([7]). *Fix a partitioned formula,  $\varphi(x; y)$ .*

- (1) *If  $\varphi$  has VC-density  $< 2$ , then  $\varphi$  has UDTFS.*
- (2) *If  $\varphi$  has VC-dimension  $\leq 1$ , then  $\varphi$  has UDTFS-rank  $\leq 1$ .*

In particular, if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a concept class with VC-density  $< 2$ , then  $\mathcal{C}$  has a sequence compression scheme and, if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a concept class with VC-dimension  $\leq 1$ , then  $\mathcal{C}$  has a 1-dimensional sequence compression scheme. So this proves Proposition 2.8.

*Proof of Proposition 2.17 (2).* Without loss of generality, we may suppose that, for all  $b, c \in \mathcal{U}_y$ , at least one of the following holds:

- $\models (\forall x)(\varphi(x; b) \rightarrow \varphi(x; c))$ ,
- $\models (\forall x)(\varphi(x; c) \rightarrow \varphi(x; b))$ , or
- $\models \neg(\exists x)(\varphi(x; b) \wedge \varphi(x; c))$ .

This puts a definable quasi-forest order  $\preceq_\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{U}_y$ , namely

$$b \preceq_\varphi c \text{ if } \models (\forall x)(\varphi(x; b) \rightarrow \varphi(x; c)).$$

Let  $\psi(y; z) = [z \preceq_\varphi y]$ . Then, for any  $a \in \mathcal{U}_x$  and finite  $B \subseteq \mathcal{U}_y$ , choose  $c \in B$  such that  $\models \varphi(a; c)$  and  $c$  is  $\preceq_\varphi$ -minimal such in  $B$ . Then, it is easy to check that

$$\varphi(a; B) = \psi(B; c).$$

□

**Theorem 2.18** ([7]). *If  $T$  is dp-minimal, then all formulas have UDTFS.*

*Example 2.19.* The  $p$ -adics are dp-minimal. Hence, the concept class of all closed balls in the  $p$ -adic field have a sequence compression scheme. Use

$$\varphi(x_1, x_2; y) := v_p(y - x_1) \geq v_p(x_2).$$

The following partial result to the UDTFS Conjecture is due to Artem Chernikov and Pierre Simon:

**Theorem 2.20** ([5]). *If  $T$  has NIP, then all formulas have UDTFS.*

We will spend the final talk proving this theorem.



### 3. THE UDTFS CONJECTURE

**Abstract.** Starting with “honest definitions,” I discuss Chernikov and Simon’s partial solution to the UDTFS Conjecture and the ramifications this has on computational learning theory.

Let  $T$  be a complete, first-order theory in a language  $L$  with monster model  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Recall the definition of UDTFS: A formula  $\varphi(x; y)$  has UDTFS if there exists finitely many formulas  $\langle \psi_i(y; z) : i < K \rangle$  such that, for all finite  $B \subseteq \mathcal{U}_y$  and all  $a \in \mathcal{U}_x$ , there exists  $c \in B^n$  and  $i < K$  such that

$$\varphi(a; B) = \psi_i(B; c).$$

Recall also Theorem 2.20 by Chernikov and Simon: If  $T$  has NIP, then all formulas have UDTFS. We prove this now.

**Definition 3.1.** Fix  $C \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  a set,  $\langle I, < \rangle$  a linear order, and  $b_i \in \mathcal{U}$  for each  $i \in I$  (all of the same sort). We say that  $\bar{b} := \langle b_i : i \in I \rangle$  is an *indiscernible sequence over  $C$*  if, for all  $n < \omega$ , for all  $i_1 < \dots < i_n$  and  $j_1 < \dots < j_n$  from  $I$ , for all  $L(C)$ -formulas  $\delta(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ ,

$$\models \delta(b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_n}) \leftrightarrow \delta(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_n}).$$

**Proposition 3.2.** *A formula  $\varphi(x; y)$  has NIP if and only if there exists  $n < \omega$  such that, for all indiscernible sequences  $\bar{b} = \langle b_i : i \in I \rangle$  and all  $a \in \mathcal{U}_x$ , there do not exist  $i_0 < \dots < i_n$  such that*

$$\models \varphi(a; b_{i_\ell}) \leftrightarrow \neg \varphi(a; b_{i_{\ell+1}})$$

for all  $\ell < n$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ): If  $\varphi(x; y)$  does not have NIP, then by compactness and Ramsey’s Theorem, there exists an indiscernible sequence  $\langle b_i : i < \omega \rangle$  such that, for any  $I \subseteq \omega$ ,  $\{\varphi(x; b_i) : i \in I\} \cup \{\neg \varphi(x; b_i) : i \in \omega \setminus I\}$  is consistent. In particular, for  $I$  the even numbers, we have infinite alternation.

( $\Rightarrow$ ): Fix  $n$  and suppose  $a$  and  $\langle b_i : i < 2n \rangle$  are such that  $\models \varphi(a; b_i)$  if and only if  $i$  is even. For any  $s : n \rightarrow 2$ , consider the formula

$$\exists x \left( \bigwedge_{i < n} \varphi(x; b_{2i+s(i)})^{s(i)} \right).$$

As this is true, by indiscernibility, the following is also true

$$\exists x \left( \bigwedge_{i < n} \varphi(x; b_i)^{s(i)} \right).$$

As  $s \in {}^n 2$  was arbitrary,  $\varphi$  has VC-dimension  $\geq n$ . □

**Definition 3.3.** Fix a global type  $p(x) \in S_x(\mathcal{U})$  and a set  $A \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ .

- $p$  is *invariant* over  $A$  if, for all  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{U}/A)$ , for all  $\varphi(x; y)$ , and all  $b \in \mathcal{U}_y$ ,  $\varphi(x; b) \in p(x)$  if and only if  $\varphi(x; \sigma(b)) \in p(x)$ .
- $p$  is *definable* over  $A$  if, for all formulas  $\varphi(x; y)$ , there exists an  $L(A)$ -formula  $\psi(y)$  such that, for all  $b \in \mathcal{U}_y$ ,  $\varphi(x; b) \in p(x)$  if and only if  $\models \psi(b)$ .
- $p$  is *finitely satisfiable* over  $A$  if, for all formulas  $\varphi(x; b)$  in  $p(x)$ , there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $\models \varphi(a; b)$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *If  $p(x) \in S_x(\mathcal{U})$  is definable over  $A$  or finitely satisfiable over  $A$ , then  $p$  is invariant over  $A$ .*

*Proof.* (1): If  $p(x)$  is definable over  $A$ , fix  $\varphi(x; y)$  and suppose  $\psi(y)$  is an  $L(A)$ -formula defining  $\varphi(x; y)$  in  $p$ . Then, for any  $b \in \mathcal{U}_y$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{U}/A)$ ,  $\varphi(x; b) \in p(x)$  iff.  $\models \psi(b)$  iff.  $\models \psi(\sigma(b))$  iff.  $\varphi(x; \sigma(b)) \in p(x)$ .

(2): Suppose  $p(x)$  is finitely satisfiable over  $A$ . If  $p(x)$  is not invariant over  $A$ , then fix  $\varphi(x; y)$ ,  $b \in \mathcal{U}$ , and  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{U}/A)$  witnessing this. That is,  $\varphi(x; b) \in p(x)$  but  $\neg\varphi(x; \sigma(b)) \in p(x)$ . Hence, there exists  $a \in A$  such that  $\models \varphi(a; b) \wedge \neg\varphi(a; \sigma(b))$ . As  $\varphi(a; y)$  is over  $A$ , this is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Definition 3.5.** For a set  $A \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} S_x^{\text{inv}}(\mathcal{U}, A) &:= \{p \in S_x(\mathcal{U}) : p \text{ is invariant over } A\}, \\ S_x^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{U}, A) &:= \{p \in S_x(\mathcal{U}) : p \text{ is finitely satisfiable over } A\}, \\ S_x^{\text{def}}(\mathcal{U}, A) &:= \{p \in S_x(\mathcal{U}) : p \text{ is definable over } A\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.6.** *For all  $A$ , each of  $S_x^{\text{inv}}(\mathcal{U}, A)$ ,  $S_x^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{U}, A)$ , and  $S_x^{\text{def}}(\mathcal{U}, A)$  are closed subsets of the compact space  $S_x(\mathcal{U})$ , hence each are compact.*

**Proposition 3.7.** *If  $p(x) \in S_x(\mathcal{U})$  is invariant over  $A$  and  $\langle a_i : i < \omega \rangle$  is such that, for all  $i < \omega$ ,*

$$a_i \models p|_{A \cup \{a_j : j < i\}},$$

*then  $\langle a_i : i < \omega \rangle$  is an indiscernible sequence over  $A$ . We call such sequences Morley sequences of  $p$  over  $A$ .*

Fix  $M \models T$  and  $B \subseteq M_y$  for some variable  $y$ . Define a new language  $L_P := L \cup \{P(y)\}$ .  $\langle M, B \rangle$  is the obvious  $L_P$ -structure. Fix  $\varphi(x; y)$  a formula and  $a \in M_x$ . As  $\mathcal{U}$  is a monster model for  $T$ , all models (even  $L_P$ -structures) will have universes contained in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Definition 3.8.** We say that an  $L$ -formula  $\psi(y; z)$  is an *honest definition* of the  $\varphi$ -type  $\text{tp}_\varphi(a/B)$  if there exists an elementary extension

$\langle M', B' \rangle \succeq \langle M, B \rangle$  and  $c \in (B')^n$  such that

$$\varphi(a; B) \subseteq \psi(B'; c) \subseteq \varphi(a; B').$$

In particular,  $\varphi(a; B) = \psi(B; c)$ , so  $\psi(y; c)$  defines  $\text{tp}_\varphi(a/B)$ .

**Theorem 3.9** ([4]). *If  $\varphi(x; y)$  has NIP,  $a \in M_x$ ,  $B \subseteq M_y$ , then  $\text{tp}_\varphi(a/B)$  has an honest definition.*

To prove this, we choose a type  $q$  in the space  $S_y^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{U}, B)$  and build a Morley sequence of  $q$  over  $B$  in  $B'$  enforcing alternation of  $\varphi(a; y)$ . By NIP, the alternation stops and, by compactness, we get  $\theta_q(y) \in q(y)$  and  $t_q < 2$  such that

$$\theta_q(y) \wedge P(y) \vdash \varphi(a; y)^{t_q}.$$

We use the compactness of  $S_y^{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{U}, B)$  to conclude.

**Proposition 3.10.** *We have  $\psi(y; z)$  is an honest definition of  $\text{tp}_\varphi(a/B)$  if and only if, for all finite  $B_0 \subseteq \varphi(a; B)$ , there is  $c \in B^n$  such that*

$$B_0 \subseteq \psi(B; c) \subseteq \varphi(a; B).$$

This is proved using the compactness of  $S_y(B)$ .

So, for any NIP formula  $\varphi(x; y)$ , any  $B \subseteq \mathcal{U}_y$ , and any  $a \in \mathcal{U}_x$ , there exists  $\psi(y; z)$  such that, for any finite  $B_0 \subseteq \varphi(a; B)$ , there exists  $c \in B^n$  so that

$$B_0 \subseteq \psi(B; c) \subseteq \varphi(a; B).$$

In particular, if  $B$  is finite and  $B_0 = \varphi(a; B)$ , we get

$$\varphi(a; B) = \psi(B; c).$$

So we only need uniformity of  $\psi$  (i.e., independence from  $a$  and  $B$ ).

By compactness, we get the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.11.** *Let  $\varphi(x; y)$  be an  $L$ -formula. For any function  $\eta$  from  $L$ -formulas to  $\omega$ , there are finitely many formulas  $\psi_j(y; z_j)$  (for  $j < m$ ) such that, for all  $M \models T$ ,  $B \subseteq M_y$ , and  $a \in M_x$ , there exists  $j < m$  such that, for all  $B_0 \subseteq \varphi(a; B)$  with  $|B_0| \leq \eta(\psi_j)$ , there exists  $c \in B^n$  such that*

$$B_0 \subseteq \psi_j(B; c) \subseteq \varphi(a; B).$$

**Lemma 3.12.** *Let  $\varphi(x; y)$  be an  $L$ -formula. There exists finitely many formulas  $\psi_0(y; z_0), \dots, \psi_{m-1}(y; z_{m-1})$  such that, for all  $M \models T$ ,  $B \subseteq M_y$ , and  $a \in M_x$ , for all  $B_0 \subseteq \varphi(a; B)$  finite, there exists  $c \in B^n$  and  $j < m$  such that*

$$B_0 \subseteq \psi_j(B; c) \subseteq \varphi(a; B).$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.12.* For each  $\psi(y; z)$ , let  $\eta(\psi)$  be the VC-density of  $\psi$ . Then there exists  $\theta_0(y; z), \dots, \theta_{m-1}(y; z)$  satisfying the conclusion of the previous lemma for  $\eta$ . Let  $p = q = \eta(\theta_j)$  and we get  $K_j$  by the  $(p, q)$ -Theorem. Let  $K = \max\{K_j : j < m\}$  and, for each  $j < m$ , define

$$\psi_j(y; z_0, \dots, z_{K-1}) := \bigvee_{\ell < K} \theta_j(y; z_\ell).$$

This works.

Fix  $M \models T$ ,  $B \subseteq M_y$ ,  $a \in M_x$ ,  $B_0 \subseteq \varphi(a; B)$  finite. For  $j < m$  in the previous lemma, define

$$C := \{c \in B^n : \theta_j(B; c) \subseteq \varphi(a; B)\}.$$

Define a concept class on  $C$ ,

$$\mathcal{D} := \{\theta_j(b; C) : b \in B_0\}.$$

This satisfies the  $(p, q)$ -property (with  $p = q = \eta(\theta_j)$ ) by the previous lemma. [This is because, for each  $B_1 \subseteq B_0$  (the index set for  $\mathcal{D}$ ) with  $|B_1| = q = \eta(\theta_j)$ , there exists  $c \in B^n$  so that  $B_1 \subseteq \theta_j(B; c) \subseteq \varphi(a; B)$ , hence  $c \in C$  and  $c \in \bigcap_{b \in B_1} \theta_j(b; C)$ .] By the  $(p, q)$ -Theorem (and our choice of  $K_j \leq K$ ), there exists a transversal  $C_0 \subseteq C$  with  $|C_0| \leq K$ . If  $\bar{c}_0$  enumerates  $C_0$ , then

$$B_0 \subseteq \psi_j(B, \bar{c}_0) \subseteq \varphi(a; B).$$

□

Setting  $B_0 = \varphi(a; B)$  for finite  $B$ , this concludes the proof of Theorem 2.20.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aschenbrenner, A. Dolich, D. Haskell, D. MacPherson, and S. Starchenko, *Vapnik-Chervonenkis density in some theories without the independence property, I*, Preprint (2011).
- [2] ———, *Vapnik-Chervonenkis density in some theories without the independence property, II*, Preprint (2011).
- [3] A. Blumer, A. Ehrenfeucht, D. Haussler, and M. Warmuth, *Learnability and Vapnik-Chervonenkis Dimension*, Journal of the Association for Computing Machinery **36** (1989), no. 4, 929–965.
- [4] A. Chernikov and P. Simon, *Externally definable sets and dependent pairs*, Israel Journal of Mathematics (2012). to appear.
- [5] ———, *Externally definable sets and dependent pairs, II* (2012). preprint.
- [6] S. Floyd and M. Warmuth, *Sample compression, learnability, and the Vapnik-Chervonenkis dimension*, Machine Learning (1995), 269–304.
- [7] V. Guingona, *On uniform definability of types over finite sets*, J. Symbolic Logic **77** (2012), no. 2, 499–514.
- [8] H. R. Johnson, *dp-Rank and forbidden configurations*, Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic **54** (2013), no. 1.
- [9] ———, *Vapnik-Chervonenkis density on indiscernible sequences, stability, and the maximum property* (2013). preprint.
- [10] H. R. Johnson and M. C. Laskowski, *Compression schemes, stable definable families, and o-minimal structures*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **43** (2010), no. 4, 914–926.
- [11] M. C. Laskowski, *Vapnik-Chervonenkis classes of definable sets*, J. London Math. Soc. **245** (1992), 377–384.
- [12] J. Matousek, *Lectures on Discrete Geometry*, Springer-Verlag New York Inc., 2002.
- [13] ———, *Bounded VC-dimension implies a fractional Helly theorem*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **31** (2004), 251–255.
- [14] S. Shelah, *Dependent first order theories, continued*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **173** (2009), 1–60.
- [15] ———, *Classification Theory and the Number of Non-Isomorphic Models*.
- [16] P. Simon, *On dp-minimal ordered structures*, J. Symbolic Logic **76** (2011), 448–460.
- [17] ———, *Invariant types in dp-minimal theories* (2012). preprint.
- [18] ———, *Lecture notes on NIP theories*, 2012. preprint.

BEN-GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV  
URL: <http://www.math.bgu.ac.il/~guingona/>  
E-mail address: [guingona@math.bgu.ac.il](mailto:guingona@math.bgu.ac.il)