

**Bloch's formula and the Gersten resolution *at the* baby seminar
in Essen**

2013 Jan 27

ISHAI DAN-COHEN

1. THEOREM

1.1. Let X be regular of finite type over a field, let \mathcal{K}_n denote the Zariski sheaf associated to $U \mapsto K_n(U)$, and let X^p denote the set of points of codimension p . Then there's a flasque resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_n \rightarrow \coprod_{x \in X^0} (i_x)_* K_n(x) \rightarrow \coprod_{x \in X^1} (i_x)_* K_{n-1}(x) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \coprod_{x \in X^n} (i_x)_* K_0(x)$$

and an isomorphism

$$H^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n) = CH^n(X).$$

2. THE METHOD OF EXACT COUPLES

2.1. Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category. Given an exact triangle (= long exact sequence)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_1 & \xrightarrow{b_1} & D_1 \\ & \swarrow a_1 & \searrow c_1 \\ & E_1 & \end{array}$$

we define a new exact triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_2 & \xrightarrow{b_2} & D_2 \\ & \swarrow a_2 & \searrow c_2 \\ & E_2 & \end{array}$$

as follows. Let $d_1 = c_1 a_1$. Let $Z_1 = \ker d_1$, $B_1 = \operatorname{im} d_1$, $E_1 = Z_1/B_1$. Let D_2 denote the canonically isomorphic objects $\operatorname{cok} a_1 = \operatorname{im} b_1 = \ker c_1$. Then

Date: January 29, 2013.

of $A^{p,q}$. In fact, for such n , this is precisely the p^{th} filtered piece. The map is then given by c_n .

3. BGQ SPECTRAL SEQUENCE

3.1. Suppose X Noetherian. Let \mathcal{M}^p denote the category of coherent sheaves supported in codimension $\geq p$, $\mathcal{A}(R)$ the category of modules of finite length. The functor

$$\psi : \mathcal{M}^p \rightarrow \prod_{x \in X^p} \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}) \quad \text{given by} \quad \psi(E) = (E_x)_{x \in X^p}$$

factors through an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}^p / \mathcal{M}^{p+1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{x \in X^p} \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}).$$

Let's content ourselves with a construction of the factorization. Recall that for $E, F \in \mathcal{M}^p$,

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}^p / \mathcal{M}^{p+1}}(E, F) = \varinjlim \text{Hom}(E', F'')$$

in which the limit ranges over short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow 0 \quad 0 \rightarrow F' \rightarrow F \rightarrow F'' \rightarrow 0$$

with $E'', F' \in \mathcal{M}^{p+1}$. The alleged factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(E, F) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in X^p} \text{Hom}(E_x, F_x) \\ \downarrow & & \nearrow \cong \\ \varinjlim \text{Hom}(E', F'') & & \end{array}$$

is defined as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E' \longrightarrow F'' & \mapsto & E'_x \longrightarrow F''_x \\ \downarrow \quad \uparrow & & \cong \downarrow \quad \uparrow \cong \\ E \quad F & & E_x \quad F_x \end{array}$$

By devissage, $K_q \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}) = G_q(x)$, so we obtain localization sequences like so.

$$K_i \mathcal{M}^{p+1} \rightarrow K_i \mathcal{M}^p \rightarrow \prod_{x \in X^p} G_i(x) \xrightarrow{\partial} K_{i-1} \mathcal{M}^{p+1}$$

Setting

$$\begin{aligned} A^{p,q} &= K_{-p-q} \mathcal{M}^p \\ E_{p,q} &= \bigoplus_{x \in X^p} G_{-p-q}(x) \end{aligned}$$

we obtain a spectral sequence. Its first page is defined like so,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \prod_{x \in X^p} G_i(x) & \longrightarrow & K_{i-1} \mathcal{M}^{p+1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \prod_{x \in X^{p+1}} G_{i-1}(x) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ E_1^{p, -p-i} & \xrightarrow{d_1} & E_1^{p+1, -p-i} \end{array}$$

and looks like so.

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigoplus_0 G_0 \\ & \bigoplus_0 G_1 \rightarrow \bigoplus_1 G_0 \\ & \bigoplus_0 G_2 \rightarrow \bigoplus_1 G_1 \rightarrow \bigoplus_2 G_0 \end{aligned}$$

The abutment is given by

$$A^{p+q} = \varinjlim (\cdots \rightarrow K_{-p-q} \mathcal{M}^p \rightarrow K_{-p-q} \mathcal{M}^{p-1} \rightarrow \cdots) = G_{-p-q}(X)$$

with filtration $F^p A^{p+q} = \text{image of } K_{-p-q} \mathcal{M}^p$.

4. THE GERSTEN RESOLUTION

4.1. Theorem. Suppose X is of finite type over a field k , and let $x \in X$ be a regular point. Then for each i , the map $K_i \mathcal{M}^{p+1}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}) \rightarrow K_i \mathcal{M}^p(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$ is zero.

4.2. Here's a rough sketch based on Srinivas, which is limited to the case that the ground field k is infinite. We reduce to showing: suppose X smooth over k , $Y \hookrightarrow X$ a closed immersion cut out by a single nonzero divisor. Then locally the map

$$K_i \mathcal{M}^p(Y) \rightarrow K_i \mathcal{M}^p(X)$$

is zero. We arrange the following situation.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & J' \\ & & \downarrow \\ \begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ \uparrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbb{A}^n \end{array} & & \begin{array}{ccc} A' & \longleftarrow & A \\ \updownarrow & & \updownarrow \\ B' & \longleftarrow & B \end{array} \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 \end{array}$$

The square is cartesian, g is finite, and f' is smooth. Now to any $M \in \mathcal{M}^p(Y)$ we can associated the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow J' \otimes_{B'} M \rightarrow A' \otimes_{B'} M \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

Then

- (1) I principal implies that $J' \otimes M \cong A' \otimes M$,
- (2) f' flat implies that $f'^*M = A' \otimes M \cong J' \otimes M$ is supported in $\text{codim} \geq p$, and
- (3) g finite implies that g'_* preserves \mathcal{M}^p .

Conclusion: have short exact sequence of functors with the first two inducing the same maps on K groups.

4.3. Corollary. Suppose X regular local, essentially of finite type over k . Then the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_n(X) \rightarrow \coprod_{x \in X^0} G_n(x) \rightarrow \coprod_{x \in X^1} G_{n-1}(x) \rightarrow \dots$$

is exact.

Proof. We have short exact sequences like so.

$$0 \rightarrow K_i \mathcal{M}^p \rightarrow \coprod_{x \in X^p} G_i(x) \rightarrow K_{i-1} \mathcal{M}^{p+1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \square$$

4.4. Corollary. Let \mathcal{G} denote the Zariski sheaf associated to $U \mapsto G_n(U)$. Then $E_2^{p,q} = H^p(X, \mathcal{G}_{-q})$.

5. POOF

5.1. CH^p is the cokernel of ord :

$$\coprod_{y \in X^{p-1}} k(y)^* \rightarrow \coprod_{x \in X^p} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{CH}^p(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

Given $x \in Y = \overline{\{y\}}$, its (x, y) -component is the map $k(y)^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ define as follows. Write $a \in k(y)^*$ as $a = b/c$, $b, c \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,x}$. Then

$$\text{ord}(a) = \text{length}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,x}/(b)) - \text{length}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,x}/(c)).$$

Claim. $\text{ord} = d_1$

The problem is that d_1 involves the boundary map in a long exact sequence of homotopy groups of classifying spaces of complicated categories. We don't even attempt to analyze it directly. Instead, by various functorialities and compatibilities we reduce to: R a Noetherian local domain over k of dimension 1, with residue field k' and quotient field F . Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G_1(R) & \longrightarrow & G_1(F) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & G_0(k') \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ R^* & \longrightarrow & F^* & \xrightarrow{\text{ord}} & \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

commutes. The first square is clear, and is really only there to assist us in proving that the second square commutes. Indeed, with its help, we settle

Case 1. $x \in R^*$. Then $\text{ord } x = \text{length}(R/(x)) = 0$, so we're ok.

Case 2. $x \notin R^*$. Consider the map to \mathbb{A}^1 defined by $x: R \leftarrow k[t]$. Then we have morphisms of open/closed complement situations and associated morphisms of localization sequences like so.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 k' \leftarrow R^c \longrightarrow F & & G_1(R) \longrightarrow G_1(F) \longrightarrow G_0(k') & & \\
 \uparrow & \parallel & \parallel & & \downarrow \cong \\
 R/x \leftarrow R^c \longrightarrow F & & G_1(R) \longrightarrow G_1(F) \longrightarrow G_0(R/x) & & \\
 \uparrow & \parallel & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 k \leftarrow k[t] \longrightarrow k[t, t^{-1}] & & G_1(k[t]) \longrightarrow G_1(k[t, t^{-1}]) \longrightarrow G_0(k) & &
 \end{array}$$

The isomorphism on the upper right is given by devissage, since R/x is Artinian. We see that $t \in G_1(k[t, t^{-1}])$ maps to $x \in F^*$, and that $1 \in G_0(k)$ maps to $\text{ord } x = \text{length } R/x \in G_0(k')$. So we're reduced to analyzing the boundary map

$$\partial : G_1(k[t, t^{-1}]) \rightarrow G_0(k).$$

But in this case we were able to show in last week's talk that the map is surjective, which suffices.

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK
 UNIVERSITÄT DUISBURG-ESSEN
 UNIVERSITÄTSTR. 2
 45117 ESSEN
 GERMANY

E-mail address: dan-cohen.ishai@uni-due.de or ishaidc@gmail.com