## Introduction to Singularities, 201.1.0361 Homework 4

Spring 2017 (D.Kerner)

Let the field k be one of  $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ , let the ring R be one of  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, 0), k[[x]], k\{x\}$ .

- (1) (a) In the lecture we have defined weighted-homogeneity,  $f(\lambda^{w_1}x_1, \ldots, \lambda^{w_n}x_n) = \lambda^{w_f}f(\underline{x})$ .  $\varnothing$ Let  $f = \sum a_{\underline{m}}\underline{x}^{\underline{m}} \in \mathbb{k}[[\underline{x}]]$ . Prove: f is weighted-homogeneous iff there exists  $(w_1, \ldots, w_n)$  and  $w_f$  such that for any non-zero coefficient  $a_{\underline{m}}$  in f holds:  $\sum w_i m_i = w_f$ .
  - (b) Prove: if f is weighted homogeneous (possibly after a change of coordinates) then  $\mu(f) = \tau(f)$ .
  - (c) Give an example of non-isolated critical point for which  $\mu(f) = \tau(f)(=\infty)$ , and  $f \in Jac(f)$ , but f is not weighted-homogeneous in any coordinate system. (One such example was given in the lecture.)
- (2) (a) Prove:  $\dim R/J_{ac(f)} < \infty$  iff for some a holds:  $\dim R/\mathfrak{m}^a \cdot J_{ac(f)} < \infty$ .
  - (b) Prove:  $\dim R/J_{ac(f)+(f)} < \infty$  iff for some a holds:  $\dim R/\mathfrak{m}^a \cdot (J_{ac(f)+(f)}) < \infty$ .
    - (c) Prove: if  $\mu(f) < \infty$  then the critical locus of f is (set-theoretically) a point. (The converse statement holds for  $R = \mathbb{C}\{\underline{x}\}$ ), its proof will be given later.) Does the converse statement hold also for  $R = \mathbb{R}\{\underline{x}\}$ ?
- (3) (a) Prove:  $x^3 + y^4 \stackrel{\mathcal{R}}{\sim} x^3 + y^4 + x^2y^2 + xy^3$ ,  $x^p + y^p \stackrel{\mathcal{R}}{\sim} x^p + y^p + y^2x^{p-1} + x^3y^{p-1}$ . (In the ring  $\Bbbk\{x\}$ .)
  - (b) Find the order of  $\mathcal{R}$ -determinacy in the following cases (for  $R = \mathbb{k}[[x, y]], R = \mathbb{k}[[x, y, z]]$ ): i.  $x^3 + y^k$ , ii.  $x^3 + xy^3$ , iii.  $x^3 + y^3 + z^3$ .
  - (c) Prove that any f with  $\mu(f) = 5$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -equivalent to  $y^2x + x^4 + (\text{sum of squares of the other variables})$ . The traditional notation for this singularity is  $D_5$ .
- (4) In the lecture we have defined the groups  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{K}$ . (Check that these are indeed groups. What is the inverse of the element  $[f \to u \cdot \phi^* f]$ ?)
  - (a) Define  $\mathcal{R}^{(j)} := \{g \in \mathcal{R} | g(\underline{x}) \underline{x} \in \mathfrak{m}^{j} R^{\oplus n}\}$ . Prove:  $\mathcal{R}^{(j)} \triangleleft \mathcal{R}$  (a normal subgroup). Describe the group  $\mathcal{R}/_{\mathcal{R}^{(1)}}$ .
  - (b) Similarly, define  $\mathcal{K}^{(j)}$ , prove that  $\mathcal{K}^{(j)} \triangleleft \mathcal{K}$  (a normal subgroup), and describe  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{K}^{(1)}}$ .
- (5) (a) Below we assume:  $R = \mathbb{k}[[\underline{x}]]$  and  $D = \sum \phi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  satisfies  $D(\mathfrak{m}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$ . (Check that in this case:  $D(\mathfrak{m}^i) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{i+1}$ .) (i) Prove: for any  $f \in R$ :  $e^D(f)$  is a well defined power series,  $e^D(f(\underline{x})) = f(e^D(\underline{x}))$  and  $e^D(f \cdot g) = e^D(f) \cdot e^D(g)$ .
  - (ii) Does the following identity hold:  $e^D(f(\underline{x})) = f(\underline{x} + \phi)$ ?
  - (iii) Suppose  $e^{D_1}(f) = e^{D_2}(f)$  for any  $f \in R$ . Prove that  $D_1 = D_2$ .
  - (iv) Prove that for any  $f \in R$ , ln(Id + D)(f) is a well defined power series. Is ln(Id + D) an automorphism of R?
  - (v) Prove:  $ln(e^D) = D$  and  $e^{ln(Id+D)} = Id + D$ .
  - (b) Let D be a differential operator that contains derivatives of higher order. Do the above properties hold?
  - (c) Let  $\{D_j\}$  be a sequence of first-order differential operators, satisfying:  $D_j(\mathfrak{m}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{1+j}$ . Prove: that the limit  $\lim (e^{D_j}e^{D_{j-1}}\cdots e^{D_1})$  exists and is a well defined automorphism of R.
  - (d) Let  $f \in R = \Bbbk\{x\}$ , with the radius of convergence r. For which  $a \in \Bbbk$  is  $e^{a\frac{d}{dx}}(f)$  a well defined power series?
- (6) (a) Which of the following ideals (in  $k[[\underline{x}]]$ ) is radical? For those that are not radical, compute their radicals. i. (sin(sin(x))), ii. (cos(x) - 1), iii.  $(x^3 - y^5, y^3 - x^5)$ .
  - (b) Is the ideal  $\mathfrak{m}^{\infty} \subset C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$  a radical ideal?
- (7) (a) Fix a domain  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . Prove:
  - (i) An analytic subset of  $\mathcal{U}$  is closed in  $\mathcal{U}$ .
  - (ii) A locally analytic subset of  $\mathcal{U}$  is locally closed.
  - (iii) A locally analytic subset is analytic iff it is closed.
  - (b) Recall: a subset  $X \subset \mathcal{U}$  is called *nowhere dense* if  $Int(\overline{X}) = \emptyset$ . Suppose  $X \subsetneq \mathcal{U}$  is an analytic subset, prove: X is nowhere dense in  $\mathcal{U}$ .
  - (c) We have defined the notion of point-set germ as the class of equivalence under some relation. Check that this is indeed an equivalence relation.
  - (d) Suppose two tuples of elements define the same ideal,  $(f_1, \ldots, f_r) = (g_1, \ldots, g_k) \subset \mathbb{C}\{\underline{x}\}$ . Prove:  $(V_f, 0) = (V_{g,0})$ .

