

## Selected Answers to HW 5

### HW 5

#### Question 2

First compute  $\left| \left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right)^n \right| = \left| 1 + \frac{z}{n} \right|^n = \left( \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{y}{n}\right)^2 \right)^{n/2}$ .

Thus:  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right)^n \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{2x}{n} + \frac{x^2 + y^2}{n^2}\right)^{n/2} = e^x$ .

Now the argument:  $\text{Arg}\left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right) = \text{Arctan}\frac{y/n}{1+x/n}$ , thus by De Moivre,  $\text{Arg}\left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right)^n = n \cdot \text{Arctan}\frac{y/n}{1+x/n}$ .

Thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Arg}\left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right)^n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \cdot \text{Arctan}\frac{y/n}{1+x/n} = y$ .

(Note: arctan is defined up to  $\pi$ , but for  $n \gg 1$ :  $1 + \frac{z}{n} \sim 1$ , thus we choose the principal branch,  $|\text{Arctan}| < \pi/2$ )

#### Question 3

##### Item a

Our goal is to construct  $\delta(t)$  so that  $\gamma(t) = e^{\delta(t)}$ . Intuitively we would like to say that  $\delta(t) = \log \gamma(t)$ . However, we have no guarantee that any branch of  $\log$  is defined on all  $\gamma$ . Instead we define  $\log \gamma(t)$  locally, and stitch the pieces. Details follow:

Note that since  $0 \notin \gamma$ , and  $\gamma$  is compact (closed and bounded), the continuous function  $t \mapsto |\gamma(t)|$  has a minimum. Denote this minimum  $\varepsilon$ . By the hint, there is a partition of the interval  $0 = t_1 < \dots < t_n = 1$ , so that  $\gamma([t_i, t_{i+1}])$  is in a some ball of radius  $\varepsilon/2$  around  $\gamma(t_i)$ . Since this ball doesn't contain 0, it also doesn't contain any path that circles 0 (the ball is convex, hence if it contained a path that circles 0 it must have contained 0 itself). Hence locally for every  $i$ , there exists some branch of  $\log$ ,  $f_i : \text{Ball}_{\varepsilon/2}(t_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Now we define  $\delta(t)$  inductively on each interval:

1. On  $[t_0, t_1]$  we define  $\delta(t) = f_0(\gamma(t))$ .
2. Given that we defined  $\delta(t)$  on  $[t_0, t_j]$ , we need to define it on  $[t_j, t_{j+1}]$ . The branches  $f_j, f_{j+1}$  are both defined on the point  $\gamma(t_j)$ . We saw in class that if they are both defined on some shared point, then  $f_{j+1}(\gamma(t_j)) - f_j(\gamma(t_j)) = 2\pi i k_j$  for some integer  $k_j$ . We define  $\delta(t) = f_{j+1}(\gamma(t)) - 2\pi i k_j$  (and note that by deducting  $2\pi i k_j$  we still get a branch of  $\log$ ).

By construction our function is continuous, and since on every point  $\delta(t) = f_i(\gamma(t)) + 2\pi i k_j$  for some  $k_j$  and branch  $f_j$  of  $\log$ , then  $\gamma(t) = e^{\delta(t)}$ .

##### Item b

Since  $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1)$  then  $e^{\delta(0)} = e^{\delta(1)}$ . By what we saw in class, this means that  $\delta(1) - \delta(0)$  is  $2\pi i k$  for some integer  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Equality doesn't necessarily hold (i.e. the path is not always closed). Even in the case that  $\gamma(t) = e^{2\pi i t}$ , then we can define  $\delta(t) = 2\pi i t$  and get  $\delta(0) = 0$  and  $\delta(1) = 2\pi$ .

### Item c

Since  $e^{\delta(t)} = e^{\tilde{\delta}(t)}$ , then the difference  $\tilde{\delta}(t) - \delta(t) = 2\pi ik$  for some integer  $k$ . In addition  $\tilde{\delta}(t) - \delta(t)$  is continuous, and since this is a continuous function with integral values (up to scaling by  $2\pi i$ ), then it must be a constant.

Note that this is the most we can say since if  $\delta(t) + 2\pi ik$  is also a path that has  $e^{\delta(t)+2\pi ik} = \gamma(t)$  for every integer  $k$ .

### Question 4

#### Item a

We saw in class that

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz \right| \leq \max_{z \in \gamma} \{|f(z)|\} \text{length}(\gamma).$$

Indeed in this case  $\text{length}(\gamma_{R, \Phi_1, \Phi_2}) \leq 2\pi R$ . Thus if  $\max_{|z|=R} \{|f(z)|\} < \frac{C}{R^{1+\varepsilon}}$  then

$$\left| \int_{\gamma_{R, \Phi_1, \Phi_2}} f(z) dz \right| \leq \frac{2\pi RC}{R^{1+\varepsilon}} \xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

#### Item b

No. For example take  $p(z) = 1$  and  $q(z) = z$ . The integral of

$$\int_{\gamma_{R, \Phi_1, \Phi_2}} \frac{dz}{z} = \int_{\Phi_1}^{\Phi_2} \frac{Rie^{it} dt}{Re^{it}} = \Phi_2 - \Phi_1.$$

In particular it doesn't go to 0.

#### Item c

To prove that  $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{R, \Phi_1, \Phi_2} e^{iaz} f(z) dz = 0$ , it is enough to prove that  $\int_{R, \Phi_1, \Phi_2} |e^{iaz}| |dz|$  is bounded since

$$\left| \int_{R, \Phi_1, \Phi_2} e^{iaz} f(z) dz \right| \leq \int_{R, \Phi_1, \Phi_2} |e^{iaz}| |f(z)| |dz| \leq \max_{R, \Phi_1, \Phi_2} |f(z)| \int_{R, \Phi_1, \Phi_2} |e^{iaz}| |dz|.$$

Consider the parametrization  $\gamma : [\Phi_1, \Phi_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\gamma(t) = Re^{it}$ .

$$\int_{R, \Phi_1, \Phi_2} |e^{iaz}| |dz| = \int_{\Phi_1}^{\Phi_2} |e^{iaRe^{it}}| |Rie^{it}| dt = R \int_{\Phi_1}^{\Phi_2} |e^{iaRe^{it}}| dt.$$

The norm of  $e^{iaRe^{it}}$  is  $e^{\text{Re}(iaRe^{it})} = e^{-aR \sin(t)}$ .

- **First solution:** In the range  $[0, \pi]$  the sine function is *concave* (i.e.  $-\sin(x)$  is convex), thus the line between  $(0, \sin(0))$  and  $(\pi, \sin(\pi))$  is under the graph of  $\sin(x)$  (prove this...). Thus  $\sin(t) \geq \frac{2t}{\pi}$ .

Thus  $e^{-aR \sin(t)} \leq e^{-\frac{2aRt}{\pi}}$ . And

$$R \int_{\Phi_1}^{\Phi_2} |e^{iaRe^{it}}| dt \leq R \int_{\Phi_1}^{\Phi_2} e^{-\frac{2aRt}{\pi}} dt = \frac{\pi}{2a} e^{-\frac{2aR\Phi_2}{\pi}} - e^{-\frac{2aR\Phi_1}{\pi}} \leq \frac{\pi}{2a}.$$

- **Second solution:**

1. If  $\Phi_1 > 0$  then  $\sin(t) \geq \sin(\Phi_1) > 0$  thus  $e^{-aR \sin(t)} \leq e^{-a \sin(\Phi_1) R}$  in all the domain, and thus

$$R \int_{\Phi_1}^{\Phi_2} |e^{iaRe^{it}}| dt \leq R e^{-a \sin(\Phi_1) R} (\Phi_2 - \Phi_1) \xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

2. Otherwise  $\Phi_1 = 0$ . We now that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} = 1$ , thus there is a small (real) half-open interval that contains 0 where  $\sin(t) \geq \frac{t}{2}$ . Denote this interval  $[0, \ell)$ . Thus we can write

$$R \int_0^{\Phi_2} |e^{iaRe^{it}}| dt = R \int_0^{\ell} |e^{iaRe^{it}}| dt + R \int_{\ell}^{\Phi_2} |e^{iaRe^{it}}| dt.$$

The part  $R \int_{\ell}^{\Phi_2} |e^{iaRe^{it}}| dt$  goes to 0 as in the first case. The part

$$R \int_0^{\ell} |e^{iaRe^{it}}| dt \leq R \int_0^{\ell} e^{-aR\frac{t}{2}} dt = R \frac{1}{2aR} (1 - e^{-aR\frac{\ell}{2}}),$$

which is at most  $\frac{1}{2a}$ .

## Question 5

### Item b

Recall that Green's Theorem from calculus gives us that

$$\int_{\partial U} P(x, y) dx + Q(x, y) dy = \iint_U \left( \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} \right) dx dy.$$

In particular, for  $(P, Q) = (-y, x)$  then

$$\int_{\partial U} P(x, y) dx + Q(x, y) dy = 2 \iint_U 1 dx dy = 2 \text{area}(U).$$

Consider the integral  $\int_{\partial U} \bar{z} dz$ . Note that for any  $f(z) = u(z) + iv(z)$ , the complex integral of  $f(\bar{z})$  is:

$$\int f(\bar{z}) dz = \int (u dx + v dy + i \int -v dx + u dy).$$

In particular for  $f(z) = z = Re(z) + iIm(z)$ ,

$$\int_{\partial U} \bar{z} = \int x dx + y dy + i \int y dx - x dy = 0 + 2i \text{area}(U).$$

## Question 6

Let  $F_1, F_2$  be primitive functions of a function  $f$ . Then the derivative of the function  $g = F_1(z) - F_2(z)$  is  $g'(z) = f(z) - f(z) = 0$ . In particular this means that the partial derivatives of  $g$  as a function from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to itself are zero for all  $z \in U$ . We saw in calculus that this means  $g$  is constant.