



## On Sums of Hankel Operators

Paul A. Fuhrmann

*Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 46, No. 1. (Oct., 1974), pp. 65-68.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9939%28197410%2946%3A1%3C65%3AOSOHO%3E2.0.CO%3B2-P>

*Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* is currently published by American Mathematical Society.

---

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/journals/ams.html>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

---

JSTOR is an independent not-for-profit organization dedicated to creating and preserving a digital archive of scholarly journals. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

## ON SUMS OF HANKEL OPERATORS<sup>1</sup>

PAUL A. FUHRMANN

ABSTRACT. Necessary and sufficient conditions are derived for the sum of two Hankel operators of closed range to have closed range. As a corollary we determine when two left invariant subspaces of  $H^2$  have positive angle.

In this note we investigate the range of the sum of two Hankel operators. Let us denote by  $\mathbf{T}$  the unit circle  $\{\lambda: |\lambda| = 1\}$  and by  $D$  the open unit disc  $\{\lambda: |\lambda| < 1\}$ .  $H^2$  will denote the usual scalar Hardy space [5] identified also as the subspace of  $L^2(\mathbf{T})$  of functions having vanishing negative Fourier coefficients. We denote by  $P_{H^2}$  the orthogonal projection of  $L^2(\mathbf{T})$  onto  $H^2$ . Let  $J$  be the unitary map in  $L^2(\mathbf{T})$  defined by  $(Jf)(e^{it}) = f(e^{-it})$ . Let  $\phi \in H^\infty$ ; the Hankel operator  $H_\phi$  corresponding to  $\phi$  is the bounded operator in  $H^2$  defined by

$$(1) \quad H_\phi f = P_{H^2} \phi Jf \quad \text{for all } f \in H^2.$$

It is clear from the definition that  $(\text{Range } H_\phi)^-$  is a left invariant subspace of  $H^2$ . In [3] the following theorem of D. N. Clark has been proved.

**Theorem A.** *The Hankel operator  $H$  has closed range if and only if  $\phi$  has a representation  $\phi = qg$  with  $q$  an inner function and  $g \in \overline{H}_0^\infty$ , i.e.  $g$  is bounded conjugate analytic vanishing at  $\infty$ , and such that there exists a  $\delta > 0$  for which*

$$(2) \quad |G(z)| + |\tilde{q}(z)| \geq \delta \quad \text{for all } z \in D,$$

where  $\tilde{q}(z) = (q(\bar{z}))^-$  and  $G(e^{it}) = e^{-it} g(e^{-it})$ .

---

Received by the editors July 25, 1973 and, in revised form, October 1, 1973.  
 AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 47B99; Secondary 47A15.  
 Key words and phrases. Hankel operators, invariant subspaces, inner functions, closed range.

<sup>1</sup> This work was supported by the U. S. Office of Naval Research under the Joint Services Electronics Program by Contract N00014-67-A-0298-0006.

It follows in this case that  $\text{Range } H_\phi = \{qH^2\}^\perp$ .

In particular if  $H_\phi$  has closed range then  $\phi$  is noncyclic for the backward (left) shift [1].

Let us consider now two functions  $\phi_i \in H^\infty$  which are noncyclic for the backward shift. Then  $(\text{Range } H_{\phi_i})^-$  are proper left invariant subspaces and hence there exist inner functions  $q_i$  for which  $\{\text{Range } H_{\phi_i}\}^\perp = q_i H^2$ .

**Theorem B.** (a)  $(\text{Range } H_{\phi_1 + \phi_2})^- = (\text{Range } (H_{\phi_1} + H_{\phi_2}))^- = \{q_1 q_2 H^2\}^\perp$  if and only if  $q_1, q_2$  have no common nontrivial inner factor.

(b) Suppose  $\text{Range } H_{\phi_i} = \{q_i H^2\}^\perp$ , then  $\text{Range } H_{\phi_1 + \phi_2} = \{q_1 q_2 H^2\}^\perp$  if and only if for some  $\delta > 0$  and all  $z \in D$  we have  $|q_1(z)| + |q_2(z)| \geq \delta$ .

**Proof.** (a)  $(\text{Range } H_\phi)^- = \{qH^2\}^\perp$  implies, since  $\phi \in \{qH^2\}^\perp$ , that  $\phi = qg$  with  $g \in \overline{H}_0^\infty$ . Let  $\tau_q: L^2(\mathbf{T}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbf{T})$  be the unitary map given by

$$(\tau_q f)(e^{it}) = e^{-it} \tilde{q}(e^{it}) f(e^{-it})$$

then  $\pi(\{qH^2\}^\perp) = \{\tilde{q}H^2\}^\perp$  [2]. In particular  $(\text{Range } H_\phi)^- = \{qH^2\}^\perp$  if and only if  $\pi\phi$  is a cyclic vector for the restricted right shift in  $\{\tilde{q}H^2\}^\perp$  and this occurs if and only if  $\pi\phi, \tilde{q}$  have no common nontrivial inner factor [4].

But

$$(\tau\phi)(e^{it}) = e^{-it} q(e^{it}) q(e^{-it}) g(e^{-it}) = G(e^{it}).$$

So  $(\text{Range } H_\phi)^- = \{qH^2\}^\perp$  is equivalent to  $\phi = qg$  with  $G, \tilde{q}$  having no common nontrivial inner factor.

Assume now  $q_1, q_2$  to have no common nontrivial inner factor. Then  $\phi_1 + \phi_2 = q_1 g_1 + q_2 g_2$ , and on applying  $\tau_{q_1 q_2}$  we get

$$G = \tau_{q_1 q_2}(\phi_1 + \phi_2) = \tilde{q}_2 G_1 + \tilde{q}_1 G_2$$

and

$$(\text{Range } (H_{\phi_1} + H_{\phi_2}))^- = (\text{Range } H_{\phi_1 + \phi_2})^- = \{q_1 q_2 H^2\}^\perp$$

if and only if  $G, \tilde{q}_1 \tilde{q}_2$  have no common nontrivial inner factor. Suppose they have a common nontrivial inner factor  $\psi$ . Then  $\psi|G$  and  $\psi|\tilde{q}_1 \tilde{q}_2$ . Without loss of generality we may assume  $\psi|\tilde{q}_1$ . But then  $\psi|\tilde{q}_2 G_1$  and since  $\psi$  and  $G_1$  have no common inner factor by the assumption  $(\text{Range } H_\phi)^- = \{q_1 H^2\}^\perp$ , then  $\psi|\tilde{q}_2$  contrary to the assumption that  $q_1, q_2$  have no common

inner factor. Thus  $(\text{Range } H_{\phi_1 + \phi_2})^- = \{q_1 q_2 H^2\}^\perp$ .

Conversely assume  $(\text{Range } H_{\phi_1 + \phi_2})^- = \{q_1 q_2 H^2\}^\perp$ ; then  $\phi_1 + \phi_2 = q_1 q_2 g$  for some  $g \in \bar{H}_0^\infty$  and  $G, \tilde{q}_1 \tilde{q}_2$  have no common inner factor. Suppose  $q_1, q_2$  have a common inner factor  $\psi$ . Then  $\tilde{\psi} | \tilde{q}_i$ . Hence  $\tilde{\psi} | (\tilde{q}_2 G_1 + \tilde{q}_1 G_2)$  that is  $\tilde{\psi} | \tilde{G}$ . But this contradicts the assumption that  $(\text{Range } H_{\phi_1 + \phi_2})^- = \{q_1 q_2 H^2\}^\perp$ .

(b) Let us assume not that  $\text{range } H_\phi = \{q_i H^2\}^\perp, i = 1, 2$ ; then there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|G_i(z)| + |q_i(z)| \geq \delta$  for all  $z \in D$ . Assume that for some  $\delta_1 > 0$  we have  $|q_1(z)| + |q_2(z)| \geq \delta_1$  for all  $z \in D$ . We will show that  $\text{Range } H_{\phi_1 + \phi_2} = \{q_1 q_2 H^2\}^\perp$ . For this it suffices that  $|G(z)| + |\tilde{q}_1 \tilde{q}_2(z)| \geq \delta_2 > 0$  for all  $z$  in  $D$ . If this condition is not satisfied there exists a sequence  $\{z_n\}$  in  $D$  for which  $G(z_n) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\tilde{q}_1(z_n) \tilde{q}_2(z_n) \rightarrow 0$ . By passing to a subsequence we may assume without loss of generality that  $\tilde{q}_1(z_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $G(z) = \tilde{q}_1 G_2 + \tilde{q}_2 G_1$ , it follows that  $\tilde{q}_2(z_n) G_1(z_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Now  $G_1(z_n) \rightarrow 0$  is ruled out by  $|G_1(z)| + |\tilde{q}_1(z)| \geq \delta$  whereas  $\tilde{q}_2(z_n) \rightarrow 0$  is ruled out by  $|q_1(z)| + |q_2(z)| \geq \delta_1$ . So indeed  $\text{Range } H_{\phi_1 + \phi_2} = \{q_1 q_2 H^2\}^\perp$ .

Conversely assume  $\text{Range } H_{\phi_1 + \phi_2} = \{q_1 q_2 H^2\}^\perp$ . Then  $G = \tilde{q}_1 G_2 + \tilde{q}_2 G_1$  and  $|G(z)| + |\tilde{q}_1 \tilde{q}_2(z)| \geq \delta$ ; i.e.

$$|\tilde{q}_1(z) G_2(z) + \tilde{q}_2(z) G_1(z)| + |\tilde{q}_1 \tilde{q}_2(z)| \geq \delta > 0$$

for all  $z$  in  $D$ . But since  $G_i \in H^\infty$ , this implies  $|q_1(z)| + |q_2(z)| \geq \delta_1 > 0$  for all  $z$  in  $D$ .

Given any proper left invariant subspace  $K$  of  $H^2$  then  $K$  is the range of a Hankel operator in  $H^2$ . In fact by Beurling's theorem,  $K = \{q H^2\}^\perp$  for some inner function  $q$ . Let  $\phi(z) = (q(z) - q(0))/z$ ; then  $\phi \in H^\infty \cap K$  and it is simple to check that, by Theorem A,  $\text{Range } H_\phi = \{q H^2\}^\perp$ . It is trivial that  $\{q_1 H^2\}^\perp \cap \{q_2 H^2\}^\perp = \{0\}$  if and only if  $q_1, q_2$  have no common nontrivial inner factor.

**Corollary I.** *Let  $q_1, q_2$  be inner functions; then  $\{q_1 H^2\}^\perp + \{q_2 H^2\}^\perp = \{q_1 q_2 H^2\}^\perp$  if and only if there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|q_1(z)| + |q_2(z)| \geq \delta$  for all  $z \in D$ .*

Now it is well known [6, p. 243] that the sum of two subspaces  $M_1, M_2$  of any Banach space, which satisfy  $M_1 \cap M_2 = \{0\}$ , is a closed subspace if and only if for some  $d > 0$ ,  $\inf \{\|x_1 - x_2\| : x_i \in M_i, \|x_i\| = 1\} \geq d$ . In a Hilbert space this condition is equivalent to  $\sup \{|(x_1, x_2)| : x_i \in M_i, \|x_i\| = 1\} < 1$

which can be interpreted geometrically as  $M_1, M_2$  having a positive angle. Thus we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.** *The angle between  $\{q_1 H^2\}^\perp$  and  $\{q_2 H^2\}^\perp$  is positive if and only if for some  $\delta > 0$ ,  $|q_1(z)| + |q_2(z)| \geq \delta$  for all  $z \in D$ .*

## REFERENCES

1. R. G. Douglas, H. S. Shapiro and A. L. Shields, *Cyclic vectors and invariant subspaces for the backward shift operator*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 20 (1970), fasc. 1, 37–76. MR 42 #5088.
2. P. A. Fuhrmann, *On the corona theorem and its application to spectral problems in Hilbert space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (1968), 55–66. MR 36 #5751.
3. ———, *Exact controllability and observability and realization theory in Hilbert space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. (to appear).
4. ———, *On realization of linear systems and application to some questions of stability*, Math. Systems Theory (to appear).
5. K. Hoffman, *Banach spaces of analytic functions*, Prentice-Hall Series in Modern Analysis, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1962. MR 24 #A2844.
6. A. E. Taylor, *Introduction to functional analysis*, Wiley, New York; Chapman & Hall, London, 1958. MR 20 #5411.

DIVISION OF ENGINEERING AND APPLIED PHYSICS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY,  
CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02138

*Current address:* Department of Mathematics, University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, Israel