



# On algebraic curves $A(x) - B(y) = 0$ of genus zero

Fedor Pakovich<sup>1</sup>

Received: 30 January 2016 / Accepted: 14 March 2017 / Published online: 12 May 2017  
© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2017

**Abstract** Using a geometric approach involving Riemann surface orbifolds, we provide lower bounds for the genus of an irreducible algebraic curve of the form  $\mathcal{E}_{A,B} : A(x) - B(y) = 0$ , where  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}(z)$ . We also investigate “series” of curves  $\mathcal{E}_{A,B}$  of genus zero, where by a series we mean a family with the “same”  $A$ . We show that for a given rational function  $A$  a sequence of rational functions  $B_i$ , such that  $\deg B_i \rightarrow \infty$  and all the curves  $A(x) - B_i(y) = 0$  are irreducible and have genus zero, exists if and only if the Galois closure of the field extension  $\mathbb{C}(z)/\mathbb{C}(A)$  has genus zero or one.

**Keywords** Separated variable polynomials · Galois coverings · Rational points · Two-dimensional orbifolds

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 14H45 · 14G05 · 14H30 · 37F10

## 1 Introduction

The study of irreducible algebraic curves of genus zero having the form

$$\mathcal{E}_{A,B} : A(x) - B(y) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are complex polynomials, has two main motivations. On the one hand, such curves have special Diophantine properties. Indeed, by the Siegel theorem, if an irreducible algebraic curve  $\mathcal{C}$  with rational coefficients has infinitely many integer points, then  $\mathcal{C}$  is of genus zero with at most two points at infinity. More generally, by the Faltings theorem, if  $\mathcal{C}$  has infinitely many rational points, then  $g(\mathcal{E}_{A,B}) \leq 1$ . Therefore, since many interesting Diophantine equations have the form  $A(x) = B(y)$ , where  $A, B \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$ , the problem of description of curves  $\mathcal{E}_{A,B}$  of genus zero is important for the number theory (see e.g. [3, 7, 13]).

---

✉ Fedor Pakovich  
pakovich@math.bgu.ac.il

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Ben-Gurion University, P.O.B. 653, Beer-Sheva, Israel

On the other hand, for polynomials  $A$  and  $B$  with arbitrary complex coefficients the equality  $g(\mathcal{E}_{A,B}) = 0$  holds if and only if there exist  $C, D \in \mathbb{C}(z)$  satisfying the functional equation

$$A \circ C = B \circ D. \tag{2}$$

Since Eq. (2) describes situations in which a rational function can be decomposed into a composition of rational functions in two different ways, this equation plays a central role in the theory of functional decompositions of rational functions. Furthermore, functional Eq. (2) where  $C$  and  $D$  are allowed to be *entire* functions reduces to the case where  $C, D \in \mathbb{C}(z)$  (see [2, 18]). Thus, the problem of description of curves  $\mathcal{E}_{A,B}$  of genus zero naturally appears also in the study of functional equations (see e.g. [7, 17–19]).

Having in mind possible applications to Eq. (2) in rational functions, in this paper we study curves  $\mathcal{E}_{A,B}$  allowing  $A$  and  $B$  to be arbitrary *rational* functions meaning by  $\mathcal{E}_{A,B}$  the expression obtained by equating to zero the numerator of  $A(x) - B(y)$ . Notice that the curve  $\mathcal{E}_{A,B}$  may turn out reducible. In this case its analysis is more complicated and has a different flavor (see e.g. [10]), so below we always will assume that considered curves  $\mathcal{E}_{A,B}$  are irreducible.

For polynomial  $A$  and  $B$  the classification of curves  $\mathcal{E}_{A,B}$  of genus zero with one point at infinity follows from the so-called “second Ritt theorem” [21] about polynomial solutions of (2). Namely, any such a curve has either the form

$$x^n - y^s R^n(y) = 0, \tag{3}$$

where  $R$  is an arbitrary polynomial and  $\gcd(s, n) = 1$ , or the form

$$T_n(x) - T_m(y) = 0, \tag{4}$$

where  $T_n, T_m$  are Chebyshev polynomials and  $\gcd(n, m) = 1$ . The classification of polynomial curves  $\mathcal{E}_{A,B}$  of genus zero with at most two points at infinity was obtained in the paper of Bilu and Tichy [3], which continued the line of researches started by Fried (see [7–9]). In this case, in addition to the above curves we have the following possibilities:

$$x^2 - (1 - y^2)S^2(y) = 0, \tag{5}$$

where  $S$  is an arbitrary polynomial,

$$T_{2n}(x) + T_{2m}(y) = 0, \tag{6}$$

where  $\gcd(n, m) = 1$ , and

$$(3x^4 - 4x^3) - (y^2 - 1)^3 = 0. \tag{7}$$

Finally, the classification obtained in [3] was extended to the case where  $A$  and  $B$  are allowed to be Laurent polynomials in [17]. In this case, to the list above one has to add the possibility for  $R$  in (3) to be a Laurent polynomial, and the curve

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( y^n + \frac{1}{y^n} \right) - T_m(x) = 0, \tag{8}$$

where  $\gcd(n, m) = 1$ . Notice also that an explicit classification of curves (1) of genus *one* with one point at infinity for polynomial  $A$  and  $B$  was obtained by Avanzi and Zannier [1]. The above results essentially exhaust the list of general results concerning the problem of description of irreducible curves  $\mathcal{E}_{A,B}$  of small genus.

All the curves  $\mathcal{E}_{A,B}$  of genus zero listed above, except for (7), obviously share the following feature: in fact they are “series” of curves with the “same”  $A$ . We formalize this observation as follows. Say that a rational function  $A$  is a *basis of series of curves of genus zero* if there exists a sequence of rational functions  $B_i$  such that  $\deg B_i \rightarrow \infty$  and all the curves  $A(x) - B_i(y) = 0$  are irreducible and have genus zero. Clearly, a description of all bases of series is an important step in understanding of the general problem, and the main goal of the paper is to provide such a description in geometric terms.

Recall that for a rational function  $A$  its normalization  $\tilde{A}$  is defined as a holomorphic function of the lowest possible degree between compact Riemann surfaces  $\tilde{A} : \tilde{S}_A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  such that  $\tilde{A}$  is a Galois covering and  $\tilde{A} = A \circ H$  for some holomorphic map  $H : \tilde{S}_A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ . From the algebraic point of view, the passage from  $A$  to  $\tilde{A}$  corresponds to the passage from the field extension  $\mathbb{C}(z)/\mathbb{C}(A)$  to its Galois closure. In these terms our main result about bases of series is the following statement.

**Theorem 1** *A rational function  $A$  is a basis of series of curves of genus zero if and only if the Galois closure of  $\mathbb{C}(z)/\mathbb{C}(A)$  has genus zero or one.*

Thus, the set of possible bases of series splits into two classes. Elements of the first class are “compositional left factor” of well known Galois coverings of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  by  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  calculated for the first time by Klein [12]. In particular, up to the change  $A \rightarrow \mu_1 \circ A \circ \mu_2$ , where  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are Möbius transformations, besides the functions

$$z^n, \quad T_n, \quad \frac{1}{2} \left( z^n + \frac{1}{z^n} \right), \quad n \geq 1, \tag{9}$$

this class contains only a finite number of functions which can be calculated explicitly. For instance, the polynomial  $3x^4 - 4x^3$  appearing in (7) is an example of such a function, implying that curve (7) in fact also belongs to a series of curves of genus zero (see Sect. 5 below). Typical representatives of the second class, consisting of rational compositional left factors of Galois coverings of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  by a torus, are Lattès functions (see e.g. [15]), but other possibilities also exist.

The approach of the papers [1, 3, 17] to the calculation of  $g(\mathcal{E}_{A,B})$  is based on the formula, given in [9], which expresses  $g(\mathcal{E}_{A,B})$  through the ramifications of  $A$  and  $B$ . Namely, if  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_r$  is a union of critical values of  $A$  and  $B$ , and  $f_{i,1}, f_{i,2}, \dots, f_{i,u_i}$  (resp.  $g_{i,1}, g_{i,2}, \dots, g_{i,v_i}$ ) is a collection of local degrees of  $A$  (resp.  $B$ ) at the points of  $A^{-1}(\{c_i\})$  (resp.  $B^{-1}(\{c_i\})$ ), then  $g(\mathcal{E}_{A,B})$  may be calculated as follows:

$$2 - 2g(\mathcal{E}_{A,B}) = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j_1=1}^{u_i} \sum_{j_2=1}^{v_i} \gcd(f_{i,j_1}, g_{i,j_2}) - (r - 2) \deg A \deg B. \tag{10}$$

However, the direct analysis of this formula is quite difficult already in the above cases, and the further progress requires even more cumbersome considerations. In this paper we propose a new approach to the problem and prove the following general result.

**Theorem 2** *Let  $A$  be a rational function of degree  $n$ . Then for any rational function  $B$  of degree  $m$  such that the curve  $\mathcal{E}_{A,B}$  is irreducible the inequality*

$$g(\mathcal{E}_{A,B}) > \frac{m - 84n + 168}{84} \tag{11}$$

*holds, unless the Galois closure of  $\mathbb{C}(z)/\mathbb{C}(A)$  has genus zero or one.*

Our approach is based on techniques introduced in the recent paper [20]. This paper studies rational solutions of the functional equation

$$A \circ X = X \circ B \tag{12}$$

using Riemann surface orbifolds. For the first time orbifolds were used in the context of functional equations in the paper [5] devoted to commuting rational functions. However, in [5] orbifolds appear in a dynamical context as a certain characteristic of the Poincaré function, while in [20] an orbifold is attached directly to any rational function. The approach of [20] permits to obtain restrictions on possible ramifications of solutions of (2) in terms of the corresponding orbifolds, and to give transparent proofs of Theorems 1 and 2.

The paper is organized as follows. In the second section we recall basic facts about Riemann surface orbifolds and some results from the papers [17,20]. We also express the condition that the Galois closure of  $\mathbb{C}(z)/\mathbb{C}(A)$  has genus zero or one in terms of orbifolds. In the third and the fourth sections we prove Theorems 2 and 1 correspondingly. Finally, in the fifth section we consider an example illustrating Theorem 1.

### 2 Fiber products, orbifolds, and Galois coverings

A pair  $\mathcal{O} = (R, \nu)$  consisting of a Riemann surface  $R$  and a ramification function  $\nu : R \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  which takes the value  $\nu(z) = 1$  except at isolated points is called a Riemann surface orbifold (see e.g. [14, Appendix E]). The Euler characteristic of an orbifold  $\mathcal{O} = (R, \nu)$  is defined by the formula

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}) = \chi(R) + \sum_{z \in R} \left( \frac{1}{\nu(z)} - 1 \right), \tag{13}$$

where  $\chi(R)$  is the Euler characteristic of  $R$ . If  $R_1, R_2$  are Riemann surfaces provided with ramification functions  $\nu_1, \nu_2$ , and  $f : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$  is a holomorphic branched covering map, then  $f$  is called a *covering map*  $f : \mathcal{O}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_2$  between orbifolds  $\mathcal{O}_1 = (R_1, \nu_1)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_2 = (R_2, \nu_2)$  if for any  $z \in R_1$  the equality

$$\nu_2(f(z)) = \nu_1(z) \deg_z f \tag{14}$$

holds, where  $\deg_z f$  denotes the local degree of  $f$  at the point  $z$ . If for any  $z \in R_1$  instead of equality (14) a weaker condition

$$\nu_2(f(z)) \mid \nu_1(z) \deg_z f \tag{15}$$

holds, then  $f$  is called a *holomorphic map*  $f : \mathcal{O}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_2$  between orbifolds.  $\mathcal{O}_1$  and  $\mathcal{O}_2$ .

A universal covering of an orbifold  $\mathcal{O}$  is a covering map between orbifolds  $\theta_{\mathcal{O}} : \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  such that  $\tilde{R}$  is simply connected and  $\tilde{\nu}(z) \equiv 1$ . If  $\theta_{\mathcal{O}}$  is such a map, then there exists a group  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}$  of conformal automorphisms of  $\tilde{R}$  such that the equality  $\theta_{\mathcal{O}}(z_1) = \theta_{\mathcal{O}}(z_2)$  holds for  $z_1, z_2 \in \tilde{R}$  if and only if  $z_1 = \sigma(z_2)$  for some  $\sigma \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}$ . A universal covering exists and is unique up to a conformal isomorphism of  $\tilde{R}$ , unless  $\mathcal{O}$  is the Riemann sphere with one ramified point, or  $\mathcal{O}$  is the Riemann sphere with two ramified points  $z_1, z_2$  such that  $\nu(z_1) \neq \nu(z_2)$ . Furthermore,  $\tilde{R} = \mathbb{D}$  if and only if  $\chi(\mathcal{O}) < 0$ ,  $\tilde{R} = \mathbb{C}$  if and only if  $\chi(\mathcal{O}) = 0$ , and  $\tilde{R} = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  if and only if  $\chi(\mathcal{O}) > 0$  (see [14, Appendix E] and [6, Section IV.9.12]). Abusing notation we will use the symbol  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$  both for the orbifold and for the Riemann surface  $\tilde{R}$ .

Covering maps between orbifolds lift to isomorphisms between their universal coverings. More generally, the following proposition holds (see [20, Proposition 3.1]).

**Proposition 1** *Let  $f : \mathcal{O}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_2$  be a holomorphic map between orbifolds. Then for any choice of  $\theta_{\mathcal{O}_1}$  and  $\theta_{\mathcal{O}_2}$  there exist a holomorphic map  $F : \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_1 \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_2$  and a homomorphism  $\phi : \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_2}$  such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_1 & \xrightarrow{F} & \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_2 \\
 \downarrow \theta_{\mathcal{O}_1} & & \downarrow \theta_{\mathcal{O}_2} \\
 \mathcal{O}_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{O}_2
 \end{array} \tag{16}$$

is commutative and for any  $\sigma \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_1}$  the equality

$$F \circ \sigma = \phi(\sigma) \circ F \tag{17}$$

holds. The map  $F$  is defined by  $\theta_{\mathcal{O}_1}$ ,  $\theta_{\mathcal{O}_2}$ , and  $f$  uniquely up to a transformation  $F \rightarrow g \circ F$ , where  $g \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_2}$ . In the other direction, for any holomorphic map  $F : \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_1 \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_2$  which satisfies (17) for some homomorphism  $\phi : \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_2}$  there exists a uniquely defined holomorphic map between orbifolds  $f : \mathcal{O}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_2$  such that diagram (16) is commutative. The holomorphic map  $F$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $f$  is a covering map between orbifolds.  $\square$

If  $f : \mathcal{O}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_2$  is a covering map between orbifolds with compact support, then the Riemann-Hurwitz formula implies that

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_1) = d\chi(\mathcal{O}_2), \tag{18}$$

where  $d = \deg f$ . For holomorphic maps the following statement is true (see [20, Proposition 3.2]).

**Proposition 2** *Let  $f : \mathcal{O}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_2$  be a holomorphic map between orbifolds with compact support. Then*

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_1) \leq \chi(\mathcal{O}_2) \deg f \tag{19}$$

and the equality holds if and only if  $f : \mathcal{O}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_2$  is a covering map between orbifolds.  $\square$

Let  $R_1, R_2$  be Riemann surfaces, and  $f : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$  a holomorphic branched covering map. Assume that  $R_2$  is provided with ramification function  $v_2$ . In order to define a ramification function  $v_1$  on  $R_1$  so that  $f$  would be a holomorphic map between orbifolds  $\mathcal{O}_1 = (R_1, v_1)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_2 = (R_2, v_2)$  we must satisfy condition (15), and it is easy to see that for any  $z \in R_1$  a minimal possible value for  $v_1(z)$  is defined by the equality

$$v_2(f(z)) = v_1(z) \gcd(\deg_z f, v_2(f(z))). \tag{20}$$

In case if (20) is satisfied for any  $z \in R_1$  we say that  $f$  is a minimal holomorphic map between orbifolds  $\mathcal{O}_1 = (R_1, v_1)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_2 = (R_2, v_2)$ . Notice that any covering map obviously is a minimal holomorphic map.

With any holomorphic function  $f : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$  between compact Riemann surfaces one can associate in a natural way two orbifolds  $\mathcal{O}_1^f = (R_1, v_1^f)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_2^f = (R_2, v_2^f)$ , setting  $v_2^f(z)$  equal to the least common multiple of local degrees of  $f$  at the points of the preimage  $f^{-1}\{z\}$ , and

$$v_1^f(z) = v_2^f(f(z)) / \deg_z f.$$

By construction,  $f$  is a covering map between orbifolds  $f : \mathcal{O}_1^f \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_2^f$ . Furthermore, since the composition  $f \circ \theta_{\mathcal{O}_1^f} : \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_1^f \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_2^f$  is a covering map between orbifolds, it follows from the uniqueness of the universal covering that

$$\theta_{\mathcal{O}_2^f} = f \circ \theta_{\mathcal{O}_1^f}. \tag{21}$$

For rational functions  $A$  and  $B$  irreducible components of  $\mathcal{E}_{A,B}$  correspond to irreducible components of the fiber product of  $A$  and  $B$ . In particular, if  $\mathcal{E}_{A,B}$  is an irreducible curve and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{A,B}$  is its desingularization, then there exist holomorphic functions  $p, q : \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{A,B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  such that

$$A \circ p = B \circ q, \tag{22}$$

and

$$\deg A = \deg q, \quad \deg B = \deg p \tag{23}$$

(see [17, Theorem 2.2 and Proposition 2.4]). Furthermore, the functions  $A, B, p, q$  possess “good” properties with respect to the associated orbifolds defined above. Namely, the following statement holds (see [20, Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 2.1]).

**Theorem 3** *Let  $A, B$  be rational functions such that the curve  $\mathcal{E}_{A,B}$  is irreducible, and  $p, q : \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{A,B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  holomorphic functions such that equalities (22) and (23) hold. Then the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_1^q & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathcal{O}_1^A \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow A \\ \mathcal{O}_2^q & \xrightarrow{B} & \mathcal{O}_2^A \end{array}$$

*consists of minimal holomorphic maps between orbifolds.* □

Of course, vertical arrows in the above diagram are covering maps and hence minimal holomorphic maps simply by definition. The meaning of the theorem is that the branching of  $q$  and  $A$  to a certain extent defines the branching of  $p$  and  $B$ . For example, Theorem 3 applied to functional Eq. (12) where  $A, X, B$  are rational functions such that  $\mathcal{E}_{A,X}$  is irreducible, implies that  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_2^X) \geq 0$  (see [20]).

For a rational function  $A$  the condition  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_2^A) \geq 0$  is very restrictive, and is equivalent to the condition that the normalization of  $A$  has genus at most one.

**Lemma 1** *Let  $A$  be a rational function. Then  $g(\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_A) = 0$  if and only if  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_2^A) > 0$ , and  $g(\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_A) = 1$  if and only if  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_2^A) = 0$ .*

*Proof* Let  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  be an arbitrary Galois covering of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ . Then  $f$  is a quotient map  $f : S \rightarrow S/\Gamma$  for some subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $Aut(S)$ , and for any branch point  $z_i, 1 \leq i \leq r$ , of  $f$  there exists a number  $d_i$  such that  $f^{-1}\{z_i\}$  consists of  $|G|/d_i$  points, at each of which the multiplicity of  $f$  equals  $d_i$ . Applying the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, we see that

$$2g(S) - 2 = -2|\Gamma| + \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{|\Gamma|}{d_i} (d_i - 1),$$

implying that

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_2^f) = 2 + \sum_{i=1}^r \left( \frac{1}{d_i} - 1 \right) = \frac{2 - 2g(S)}{|\Gamma|}. \tag{24}$$

Thus, if  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  is a Galois covering, then  $g(S) = 0$  if and only if  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_2^f) > 0$ , while  $g(S) = 1$  if and only if  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_2^f) = 0$ .

Let now  $A : \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  be an arbitrary rational function. Since the normalization  $\tilde{A} : \tilde{S}_A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  of  $A$  can be described as any irreducible component of the  $m$ -fold fiber product of  $A$  distinct from the diagonal components where two or more coordinates are equal (see [11, §I.G.]), it follows from the construction of the fiber product (see e.g. [17, Section 2 and 3]) that

$$\mathcal{O}_2^A = \mathcal{O}_2^{\tilde{A}}. \tag{25}$$

Thus,  $g(\tilde{S}_A) = 0$  if and only if  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_2^A) > 0$ , and  $g(\tilde{S}_A) = 1$  if and only if  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_2^A) = 0$ .  $\square$

If  $\mathcal{O} = (\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1, \nu)$  is an orbifold such that  $\chi(\mathcal{O}) = 0$ , then (13) implies that the collection of ramification indices of  $\mathcal{O}$  is either  $(2, 2, 2, 2)$ , or one of the following triples  $(3, 3, 3)$ ,  $(2, 4, 4)$ ,  $(2, 3, 6)$ . For all such orbifolds  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} = \mathbb{C}$ . Furthermore, the group  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}$  is generated by translations of  $\mathbb{C}$  by elements of some lattice  $L \subset \mathbb{C}$  of rank two and the transformation  $z \rightarrow \varepsilon z$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is  $n$ th root of unity with  $n$  equal to 2,3,4, or 6, such that  $\varepsilon L = L$ . For the collection of ramification indices  $(2, 2, 2, 2)$  the complex structure of  $\mathbb{C}/L$  may be arbitrary and the function  $\theta_{\mathcal{O}}$  is the corresponding Weierstrass function  $\wp(z)$ . On the other hand, for the collections  $(2, 4, 4)$ ,  $(2, 3, 6)$ ,  $(3, 3, 3)$  this structure is rigid and arises from the tiling of  $\mathbb{C}$  by squares, equilateral triangles, or alternately colored equilateral triangles, respectively. Accordingly, the functions  $\theta_{\mathcal{O}}$  may be written in terms of the corresponding Weierstrass functions as  $\wp^2(z)$ ,  $\wp'^2(z)$  and  $\wp'(z)$  (see [6, 15, Section IV.9.12]).

Similarly, if  $\chi(\mathcal{O}) > 0$ , then the collection of ramification indices of  $\mathcal{O}$  is either  $(n, n)$  for some  $n \geq 2$ , or  $(2, 2, n)$  for some  $n \geq 2$ , or one of the following triples  $(2, 3, 3)$ ,  $(2, 3, 4)$ ,  $(2, 3, 5)$ . In fact, formula (13) also allows  $\mathcal{O}$  to be a non-ramified sphere or one of two orbifolds without universal covering. However, if  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_2^A$  for some rational function  $A$ , then these cases are impossible since for any rational function  $A$  both orbifolds  $\mathcal{O}_1^A, \mathcal{O}_2^A$  have a universal covering (see [20, Lemma 4.2]), and  $\mathcal{O}_2^A$  cannot be non-ramified. Further,  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ , and the group  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}$  is a finite subgroup of the automorphism group of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ . Namely, to orbifolds with the collections of ramification indices  $(n, n)$ ,  $(2, 2, n)$ ,  $(2, 3, 3)$ ,  $(2, 3, 4)$ , and  $(2, 3, 5)$  correspond the groups  $C_n, D_{2n}, A_4, S_4$ , and  $A_5$ . The corresponding functions  $\theta_{\mathcal{O}}$  are Galois coverings of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  by  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  and have degrees  $n, 2n, 12, 24$ , and  $60$  (see [12]).

### 3 Proof of Theorem 2

First of all, observe that if  $f : R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  is a holomorphic function of degree  $n$  on a Riemann surface  $R$  of genus  $g$ , then

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_2^f) > 4 - 2g - 2n. \tag{26}$$

Indeed, it follows from the definition that

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_2^f) > 2 - c(f),$$

where  $c(f)$  denotes the number of branch points of  $f$ . On the other hand, since the number  $c(f)$  is less than or equal to the number of points  $z \in R$  where  $\deg_z f > 1$ , the Riemann-Hurwitz formula

$$\chi(R) = \chi(\mathbb{CP}^1)n - \sum_{z \in R} (\deg_z f - 1)$$

implies that

$$c(f) \leq \chi(\mathbb{CP}^1)n - \chi(R).$$

Thus,

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_2^f) > 2 + \chi(R) - \chi(\mathbb{CP}^1)n,$$

implying (26).

Let now  $p, q : \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{A,B} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$  be holomorphic functions such that (22) and (23) hold. Since  $B : \mathcal{O}_2^q \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_2^A$  is a minimal holomorphic map between orbifolds by Theorem 3, it follows from Proposition 2 that

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_2^q) \leq m\chi(\mathcal{O}_2^A). \tag{27}$$

On the other hand, (13) implies that if  $\chi(\mathcal{O}) < 0$ , then in fact

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}) \leq -\frac{1}{42} \tag{28}$$

(where the equality is attained for the collection of ramification indices (2, 3, 7)). Therefore, if  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_2^A) < 0$ , then (28) and (26) imply the inequality

$$4 - 2g - 2n < -\frac{m}{42}$$

which in turn implies (11). □

### 4 Proof of Theorem 1

It follows from Theorem 2 and Lemma 1 that we only need to show that if  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_2^A) \geq 0$ , then  $A$  is a basis of series. Assume first that  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_2^A) = 0$ . Then the universal covering of  $\mathcal{O}_2^A$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ , and the group  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_2^A}$  is generated by translations of  $\mathbb{C}$  by elements of some lattice  $L = \langle \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle$  and the transformation  $z \rightarrow \varepsilon z$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is an  $n$ th root of unity with  $n$  equal to 2,3,4, or 6, such that  $\varepsilon L = L$ . This implies that for any integer  $m \geq 2$  the map  $F : z \rightarrow mz$  satisfies condition (17) for the homomorphism  $\phi : \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_2^A} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_2^A}$  defined on the generators of  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_2^A}$  by the equalities

$$\phi(z + \omega_1) = z + m\omega_1, \quad \phi(z + \omega_2) = z + m\omega_2, \quad \phi(\varepsilon z) = \varepsilon z. \tag{29}$$

Therefore, by Proposition 1, there exists a rational functions  $R_m$  such that

$$\theta_{\mathcal{O}_2^A}(mz) = R_m \circ \theta_{\mathcal{O}_2^A},$$

and it is easy to see that  $\deg R_m = m^2$ . Furthermore, it follows from (18) that  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_1^A) = 0$ , implying that the group  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_1^A}$  is generated by translations by elements of some sublattice  $\tilde{L}$



of  $L$  and the transformation  $z \rightarrow \varepsilon^l z$  for some  $l \geq 1$ . Thus, homomorphism (29) satisfies the condition

$$\phi(\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_1^A}) \subseteq \Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_1^A}, \tag{30}$$

implying that there exists a rational function  $S_m$  of degree  $m^2$  such that

$$\theta_{\mathcal{O}_1^A}(mz) = S_m \circ \theta_{\mathcal{O}_1^A}.$$

Since

$$\theta_{\mathcal{O}_2^A} = A \circ \theta_{\mathcal{O}_1^A}, \tag{31}$$

it follows now from the equalities

$$\theta_{\mathcal{O}_2^A}(mz) = R_m \circ \theta_{\mathcal{O}_2^A} = R_m \circ A \circ \theta_{\mathcal{O}_1^A}$$

and

$$\theta_{\mathcal{O}_2^A}(mz) = A \circ \theta_{\mathcal{O}_1^A}(mz) = A \circ S_m \circ \theta_{\mathcal{O}_1^A},$$

that

$$A \circ S_m = R_m \circ A.$$

Thus, whenever the curve  $A(x) - R_m(y) = 0$  is irreducible, it has genus zero. Since  $\mathcal{E}_{A,B}$  is irreducible whenever the degrees of  $A$  and  $B$  are coprime (see e.g. [17, Proposition 3.1]), taking any sequence  $m_i \rightarrow \infty$  whose elements are coprime with  $\deg A$ , we obtain a sequence  $A(x) - R_{m_i}(y) = 0$  of irreducible curves of genus zero.

In the case  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_2^A) > 0$  the proof is similar with appropriate modifications. First observe that in order to prove the theorem it is enough to show that for any  $A$  with  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_2^A) > 0$  there exists a *single* pair of rational functions  $S$  and  $R$  such that

$$A \circ S = R \circ A \tag{32}$$

and

$$\gcd(\deg R, \deg A) = 1. \tag{33}$$

Indeed, (32) implies that

$$A \circ S^{ol} = R^{ol} \circ A.$$

Therefore, since equality (33) implies the equality  $\gcd(\deg R^{ol}, \deg A) = 1$ , the sequence  $A(x) - R^{ol}(y) = 0$  consists of irreducible curves of genus zero. Further, since by Lemma 1 the group  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}_2^A}$  belongs to the list  $C_n, D_{2n}, A_4, S_4, A_5$ , in order to show the existence of such a pairs for any  $A$  with  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_2^A) > 0$  it is enough to show that for any group  $\Gamma$  from the above list there exists a rational function  $F$  of degree coprime with  $|\Gamma|$  which is  $\Gamma$ -equivariant, that is satisfies the equality

$$F \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ F \tag{34}$$

for any  $\sigma \in \Gamma$ . Indeed, condition (34) means that the corresponding homomorphism in (17) satisfies  $\phi(\sigma) = \sigma$  for any  $\sigma \in \Gamma$ , implying that  $\phi(\tilde{\Gamma}) = \tilde{\Gamma}$  for any subgroup  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  of  $\Gamma$ , and we conclude as above that

$$\theta_{\mathcal{O}_2^A} \circ F = R \circ \theta_{\mathcal{O}_2^A}, \quad \theta_{\mathcal{O}_1^A} \circ F = S \circ \theta_{\mathcal{O}_1^A} \tag{35}$$

for some rational functions  $S$  and  $R$  such that (32) holds. Moreover, since  $\deg \theta_{\mathbb{O}_2^A} = |\Gamma_{\mathbb{O}_2^A}|$  and  $\deg R = \deg F$ , it follows from (31) that equality (33) holds.

If  $\Gamma_{\mathbb{O}_2^A} = C_n$ , then up to the change  $A \rightarrow \mu_1 \circ A \circ \mu_2$ , where  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  are Möbius transformations,  $A = z^n$ , and hence (3) already provides a necessary series of irreducible curves of genus zero. Similarly, if  $\Gamma_{\mathbb{O}_2^A} = D_n$ , then without loss of generality we may assume that either  $A = T_n$  or

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \left( z^n + \frac{1}{z^n} \right)$$

(see e.g. Appendix of [16]), and hence the statement of the lemma follows from equalities (4) and (8). Finally, since  $A_4 \subset S_4 \subset A_5$ , in order to finish the proof it is enough to find a single  $A_5$ -equivariant function whose order is coprime with 60, and as such a function we can take for example the function

$$F = \frac{z^{11} + 66z^6 - 11z}{-11z^{10} - 66z^5 + 1} \tag{36}$$

of degree 11, constructed in the paper [4].

### 5 Example

Consider the rational function  $A = 3z^4 - 4z^3$  appearing in (7). The critical values of this function are  $0, -1, \infty$ . The preimage of 0 consists of a critical point 0, whose multiplicity is 3, and the point  $4/3$ . The preimage of  $-1$  consists of a critical point 1, whose multiplicity is 2, and the points  $-\frac{1}{3} \pm i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$ . Finally, the preimage of  $\infty$  consists of a single point  $\infty$ , whose multiplicity is 4. Thus,

$$v_2^A(-1) = 2, \quad v_2^A(0) = 3, \quad v_2^A(\infty) = 4,$$

and the value of  $v_2^A$  at any other point equals 1. Correspondingly,

$$v_1^A \left( -\frac{1}{3} + i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \right) = v_1^A \left( -\frac{1}{3} - i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \right) = 2, \quad v_1^A \left( \frac{4}{3} \right) = 3.$$

Finally,

$$\chi(\mathbb{O}_2^A) = \frac{1}{12}, \quad \chi(\mathbb{O}_1^A) = \frac{1}{3},$$

and  $\Gamma_{\mathbb{O}_2^A} = S_4$ .

Fix the generators of  $S_4$  as

$$z \rightarrow iz, \quad z \rightarrow \frac{z+i}{z-i}.$$

Then

$$\theta_{\mathbb{O}_2^A} = -\frac{(z^8 + 14z^4 + 1)^3}{108z^4(z^4 - 1)^4}.$$

The critical values of  $\theta_{\mathbb{O}_2^A}$  normalized in such a way are  $0, -1, \infty$ , and  $\theta_{\mathbb{O}_2^A} = A \circ \theta_{\mathbb{O}_1^A}$ , where

$$\theta_{\mathbb{O}_1^A} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{6}(1+i)z^2 - \frac{i}{3}z + \frac{1}{6}(1-i)\right)(z^4 + 2z^3 + 2z^2 - 2z + 1)}{(z^2 + 1)(z + 1)(z - 1)z}.$$

As an  $S_4$ -invariant function of degree coprime with  $\deg A = 4$  we can take function (36). However, we also can take the function of lesser degree

$$F = \frac{-z^5 + 5z}{5z^4 - 1}$$

obtained from the invariant form

$$x^5y - xy^5$$

by the method of [4]. For such  $F$  the functions  $R$  and  $S$  from equalities (35) are

$$R = \frac{z^2(z^3 - 240z^2 + 19200z - 512000)}{1048576 + 625z^4 + 16000z^3 + 153600z^2 + 655360z}$$

and

$$S = -\frac{z^2(3z^3 - 10z^2 + 20z - 40)}{32 - 20z^3 + 15z^4}.$$

Thus, we obtain a family of irreducible curves of genus zero

$$(3x^4 - 4x^3) - \left(\frac{y^2(y^3 - 240y^2 + 19200y - 512000)}{1048576 + 625y^4 + 16000y^3 + 153600y^2 + 655360y}\right)^{ok} = 0,$$

having the parametrizations

$$x = \left(-\frac{t^2(3t^3 - 10t^2 + 20t - 40)}{32 - 20t^3 + 15t^4}\right)^{ok}, \quad y = 3t^4 - 4t^3.$$

**Acknowledgements** The author is grateful to M. Fried for discussions, and to the Max-Planck-Institut fuer Mathematik for the hospitality and the support.

## References

1. Avanzi, R., Zannier, U.: Genus one curves defined by separated variable polynomials and a polynomial Pell equation. *Acta Arith.* **99**, 227–256 (2001)
2. Beardon, A., Ng, T.: Parameterizations of algebraic curves. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **31**(2), 541–554 (2006)
3. Bilu, Y., Tichy, R.: The Diophantine equation  $f(x) = g(y)$ . *Acta Arith.* **95**(3), 261–288 (2000)
4. Doyle, P., McMullen, C.: Solving the quintic by iteration. *Acta Math.* **163**(3–4), 151–180 (1989)
5. Eremenko, A.: Some functional equations connected with the iteration of rational functions (Russian). *Algebra i Analiz* **1**, 102–116 (1989). (translation in *Leningrad Math. J.* **1**, 905–919 (1990))
6. Farkas, H., Kra, I.: *Riemann Surfaces*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 71. Springer, New York (1992)
7. Fried, M.: On a theorem of Ritt and related diophantine problems. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **264**, 40–55 (1973)
8. Fried, M.: Fields of definition of function fields and a problem in the reducibility of polynomials in two variables. *Ill. J. Math.* **17**, 128–146 (1973)
9. Fried, M.: Arithmetical properties of function fields. II. The generalized Schur problem. *Acta Arith.* **25**, 225–258 (1974)

10. Fried, M.: Variables separated equations: strikingly different roles for the branch cycle lemma and the finite simple group classification. *Sci. China Math* **55**(1), 172 (2012)
11. Fried, M.: Introduction to modular towers: generalizing dihedral group-modular curve connections, recent developments in the inverse Galois problem. In: Fried, M.D., et al. (eds.) *Contemporary Mathematics*, vol 186, pp. 111–171. American Mathematical Society, Providence (1995)
12. Klein, F.: *Lectures on the Icosahedron and the Solution of Equations of the Fifth Degree*. Dover Publications, New York (1956)
13. Kreso, D., Tichy, R.: *Functional Composition of Polynomials: Indecomposability, Diophantine Equations and Lacunary Polynomials*. Preprint [arxiv:1503.05401](https://arxiv.org/abs/1503.05401)
14. Milnor, J.: *Dynamics in One Complex Variable*, Princeton Annals in Mathematics, vol. 160. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (2006)
15. Milnor, J.: On Lattès maps, dynamics on the Riemann sphere. In: Hjorth, P., Petersen, C.L. (eds.) *A Bodil Branner Festschrift*, pp. 9–43. European Mathematical Society, Providence (2006)
16. Muzychuk, M., Pakovich, F.: Jordan–Holder theorem for imprimitivity systems and maximal decompositions of rational functions. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **102**(1), 1–24 (2011)
17. Pakovich, F.: Prime and composite Laurent polynomials. *Bull. Sci. Math.* **133**, 693–732 (2009)
18. Pakovich, F.: On the equation  $P(f) = Q(g)$ , where  $P, Q$  are polynomials and  $f, g$  are entire functions. *Am. J. Math.* **132**(6), 1591–1607 (2010)
19. Pakovich, F.: Algebraic curves  $P(x) - Q(y) = 0$  and functional equations. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **56**(1–4), 199–213 (2011)
20. Pakovich, F.: On semiconjugate rational functions. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **26**, 1217–1243 (2016)
21. Ritt, J.: Prime and composite polynomials. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **23**, 51–66 (1922)