

# COMBINATORIAL IDENTITIES GENERATED BY DIFFERENCE ANALOGS OF HYPERBOLIC AND TRIGONOMETRIC FUNCTIONS OF ORDER $n$

VLADIMIR SHEVELEV

ABSTRACT. We naturally obtain some combinatorial identities finding the difference analogs of hyperbolic and trigonometric functions of order  $n$ . In particular, we obtain the identities connected with the proved in the paper the addition formulas for these analogs.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The original definitions of the hyperbolic and trigonometric functions of order  $n$  are the following (cf. [1], point 18.2).

**Definition 1.** *The  $n$  functions*

$$(1) \quad h_i(x, n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \omega^{(1-i)t} \exp(\omega^t x), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $\omega = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n})$ , are called hyperbolic functions of order  $n$ .

In particular,

$$(2) \quad h_1(x, 1) = e^x, h_1(x, 2) = \cosh x, h_2(x, 2) = \sinh x.$$

**Definition 2.** *The  $n$  functions*

$$(3) \quad k_i(x, n) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^t x^{nt+i-1}}{(nt+i-1)!}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

$n \geq 2$ , are called trigonometric functions of order  $n$ .

In particular,

$$(4) \quad k_1(x, 1) = e^{-x}, k_1(x, 2) = \cos x, k_2(x, 2) = \sin x.$$

We consider the following equivalent definitions which could be proved directly from Definitions 1, 2 and the uniqueness of the solution of the Cauchy problem.

**Proposition 1.** a) The functions  $\{h_i(x, n)\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , form the solution of the Cauchy problem for the following system of ordinary differential equations

$$y'_i = y_{i-1}, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n, \quad y'_1 = y_n$$

with the initials  $y_1(0) = 1$ ,  $y_i(0) = 0$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, n$ .

b) The functions  $\{k_i(x, n)\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , form the solution of the Cauchy problem for the following system of ordinary differential equations

$$y'_i = y_{i-1}, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n, \quad y'_1 = -y_n$$

with the initials  $y_1(0) = 1$ ,  $y_i(0) = 0$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, n$ .

Note that also we have

$$(5) \quad h_i(x, n) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{nt+i-1}}{(nt+i-1)!}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Proposition 1 allows to introduce the difference analogs of hyperbolic and trigonometric functions of order  $n$ . As usual, set  $\Delta f(m) = f(m+1) - f(m)$ .

**Definition 3.** The functions  $\{H_i(m, n)\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , are called difference hyperbolic of order  $n$  if they form the solution of the following system of difference equations

$$(6) \quad \Delta y_i(m) = y_{i-1}(m), \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n, \quad \Delta y_1(m) = y_n(m)$$

with the initials  $y_1(0) = 1$ ,  $y_i(0) = 0$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, n$ .

**Definition 4.** The functions  $\{K_i(m, n)\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , are called difference trigonometric of order  $n$  if they form the solution of the following system of difference equations

$$(7) \quad \Delta y_i(m) = y_{i-1}(m), \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n, \quad \Delta y_1(m) = -y_n(m)$$

with the initials  $y_1(0) = 1$ ,  $y_i(0) = 0$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, n$ .

Our goal is, using the properties of functions  $H_i(m, n)$  and  $K_i(m, n)$ , to prove the following identities.

**Theorem 1.**

$$(8) \quad \sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-i+1}{n} \rfloor} \binom{m}{nt+i-1} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (\omega^j + 1)^m \omega^{j(1-i)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

$$(9) \quad \sum_{t=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-i+1}{n} \rfloor} (-1)^t \binom{m}{nt+i-1} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (\mu^{2j-1} + 1)^m \mu^{(2j-1)(1-i)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Note that formula (8) is known ([3], [4]), but formula (9) probably is new (it is absent, at least, neither in [3] nor in [4]).

Let us define the sets  $\{H_i(m, n)\}, \{K_i(m, n)\}$  outside  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , putting

$$(10) \quad H_i(m, n) = H_j(m, n), \quad K_i(m, n) = -K_j(m, n),$$

if  $i \equiv j \pmod{n}$ .

**Theorem 2.** *(The addition formulas) We have the identities:*

$$(11) \quad H_i(m + s, n) = \sum_{j=1}^n H_j(s, n) H_{i-j+1}(m, n);$$

$$(12) \quad K_i(m + s, n) = \sum_{j=1}^n K_j(s, n) K_{i-j+1}(m, n), \quad m, s \geq 0.$$

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

*Proof.* Using  $\Delta \binom{m}{k} = \binom{m}{k-1}$ , it is easy to verify that  $H_i(m, n)$  and  $K_i(m, n)$  have the following form (such that the initials evidently hold):

$$(13) \quad H_i(m, n) = \sum_{t \geq 0} \binom{m}{nt + i - 1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n;$$

$$(14) \quad K_i(m, n) = \sum_{t \geq 0} (-1)^t \binom{m}{nt + i - 1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Furthermore, note that, by Definition 3, 4,  $H_i(m, n)$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n$  satisfies the difference equation  $\Delta^n y - y = 0$ , while  $K_i(m, n)$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n$  satisfies the difference equation  $\Delta^n y + y = 0$ . The characteristic equations of these difference equations are (cf.[2])

$$(15) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \lambda^k \mp 1 = (\lambda - 1)^n \mp 1 = 0$$

respectively. Thus we have

$$(16) \quad H_i(m, n) = \sum_{j=1}^n C_{i,j}^{(1)} (\omega^j + 1)^m = \sum_{j=1}^n C_{i,j}^{(2)} \omega^{(1-i)j} (\omega^j + 1)^m, \quad i = 1, \dots, n;$$

Further, note that to obtain  $n$  distinct roots of  $x^n = -1$  we could consider  $\{\mu, \mu^3, \dots, \mu^{2n-1}\}$ , where  $\mu = \exp(\frac{\pi i}{n})$ . So,

$$(17) \quad K_i(m, n) = \sum_{j=1}^n C_{i,j}^{(3)} (\mu^{2j-1} + 1)^m = \sum_{j=1}^n C_{i,j}^{(4)} \mu^{(1-i)(2j-1)} (\mu^{2j-1} + 1)^m, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let us show that

$$C_{i,j}^{(2)} = C_{i,j}^{(4)} = \frac{1}{n}$$

such that

$$(18) \quad H_i(m, n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \omega^{(1-i)j} (\omega^j + 1)^m, \quad i = 1, \dots, n;$$

$$(19) \quad K_i(m, n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mu^{(1-i)(2j-1)} (\mu^{2j-1} + 1)^m, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Indeed, it is easy to verify that  $\Delta H_i(m, n) = H_{i-1}$  (in particular,  $\Delta H_1(m, n) = H_0(m, n) = H_n(m, n)$ );  $\Delta K_i(m, n) = K_{i-1}$  (in particular,  $\Delta K_1(m, n) = K_0(m, n) = -K_n(m, n)$ ). Initials also hold, in view of identities for  $i > 1$  :

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \omega^{j(1-i)} = 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \mu^{(2j-1)(1-i)} = \mu^{i-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \omega^{j(1-i)} = 0.$$

Comparing (13) with (18) and (14) with (19) we obtain (8) and (9) respectively.  $\square$

Using (18), (19) and simple transformations, we obtain, for example, formulas:

$$K_2(m, 2) = (\sqrt{2})^m \sin \frac{\pi m}{4},$$

$$H_1(m, 3) = \frac{1}{3} (2^m + 2 \cos \frac{\pi m}{3}).$$

These are A009545, A024493 [5] respectively. In particular,  $(\sqrt{2})^m \sin \frac{\pi m}{4}$  is the difference analog of  $k_2(x, 2) = \sin x$ .

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

*Proof.* Since the proofs for the formulas of Theorem 2 are identical, we prove the latter one. Using Definition 4 and (10), let us find the values  $K_i(1) = y_i(1)$  (Here we write  $K_i(m, n) = K_i(m)$  for a fixed  $n$ ). Since  $\Delta y_i(m) = y_{i-1}(m)$ , then

$$(20) \quad y_i(m+1) = y_i(m) + y_{i-1}(m).$$

Hence, for  $m=0$ , we have  $y_i(1) = 0$ , except for  $i = 1$  and  $i = 2$  :  $y_1(1) = 1$  and  $y_2(1) = 1$ . Consequently, the sum  $\sum_{j=1}^n K_j(1) K_{i-j+1}(m)$  contains only two positive summands for  $j = 1, 2$ . So, by (20), we have

$$(21) \quad K_i(m+1) = K_i(m) + K_{i-1}(m) = \sum_{j=1}^n K_j(1) K_{i-j+1}(m).$$

It is formula (12) for  $s = 1$ . Further we use induction. Suppose, for every  $m \geq 0$ , we have

$$(22) \quad K_i(m+s) = \sum_{j=1}^n K_j(s)K_{i-j+1}(m), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then we show that

$$(23) \quad K_i(m+(s+1)) = \sum_{j=1}^n K_j(s+1)K_{i-j+1}(m), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

By (21),

$$(24) \quad K_i(m+s+1) = K_i((m+s)+1) = K_i(m+s) + K_{i-1}(m+s).$$

Further, again by (21), the right hand side of (23) equals

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n K_j(s+1)K_{i-j+1}(m) &= \sum_{j=1}^n (K_j(s) + K_{j-1}(s))K_{i-j+1}(m) = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n K_j(s)K_{i-j+1}(m) + \sum_{j=1}^n K_{j-1}(s)K_{i-j+1}(m) = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2. \end{aligned}$$

According to the induction supposition (22), we have  $\Sigma_1 = K_i(m+s)$  and, by (24), it is left to prove that  $\Sigma_2 = K_{i-1}(m+s)$ . Again by the induction supposition (22), we have

$$(26) \quad K_{i-1}(m+s) = \sum_{j=1}^n K_j(s)K_{i-j}(m).$$

But for  $\Sigma_2$  we have

$$(27) \quad \Sigma_2 = \sum_{j=1}^n K_{j-1}(s)K_{i-j+1}(m) \quad (j-1 := j) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} K_j(s)K_{i-j}(m).$$

So, by (26), (27) and (10) we find

$$\begin{aligned} K_{i-1}(m+s) - \Sigma_2 &= K_n(s)K_{i-n}(m) - K_0(s)K_i(m) = \\ &= (-K_0(s))(-K_i(m)) - K_0(m)K_i(m) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

For example, using (10), for  $n = 3$ ,  $i = 1$ , we have

$$H_1(m+s) = H_1(s)H_1(m) + H_2(s)H_3(m) + H_3(s)H_2(m),$$

$$K_1(m+s) = K_1(s)K_1(m) - K_2(s)K_3(m) - K_3(s)K_2(m).$$

So, in particular, using (13) and (14), we obtain the corresponding identities for the binomial coefficients of the form  $\binom{r}{3t+i-1}$ ,  $r = s, m, s+m$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Higher Trancendental Functions, Bateman Manuscript Project, Vol. 3, ed. A. Erdelyi, 1983.
- [2] A. O. Gelfond, Calculus of Finite Differences, Nauka, Moscow, 1967 (in Russian).
- [3] Combinatorial Identities: Table *III* : from the seven unpublished manuscripts of H. W. Gould, ed. J. Quaintance, 2010.
- [4] M. Merca, On some power sums of sine and cosine, The Amer. Monthly 121 (2014) no.3.1, 244-248.
- [5] N. J. A. Sloane, *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences* <http://oeis.org>.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEN-GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV, BEER-SHEVA 84105, ISRAEL. E-MAIL: SHEVELEV@BGU.AC.IL