

Exact exponent in the remainder term of Gelfond's digit theorem in the binary case

by

VLADIMIR SHEVELEV (Beer-Sheva)

1. Introduction. For integers $m > 1$ and $a \in [0, m - 1]$, define

$$(1) \quad T_{m,a}^{(j)}(x) = \sum_{0 \leq n < x, n \equiv a \pmod{m}, s(n) \equiv j \pmod{2}} 1, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

where $s(n)$ is the number of 1's in the binary expansion of n . Gelfond [7] proved that

$$(2) \quad T_{m,a}^{(j)}(x) = \frac{x}{2m} + O(x^\lambda), \quad j = 0, 1,$$

where

$$(3) \quad \lambda = \frac{\ln 3}{\ln 4} = 0.79248125 \dots$$

This is the binary case of Gelfond's main digit theorem about the distribution of digit sums of arbitrary base in different residue classes. Gelfond's theorem initiated a whole line of research (see Notes on Chapter 3 in [1], as well as [10], [3], [9]). A related circle of works, dealing with the so-called Newman-like phenomena, started with the unexpected results of D. J. Newman [11] (see also [2], [5], [15]; again, an extensive bibliography may be found in [1]). In this paper, we shall be concerned only with the binary case of Gelfond's digit theorem. Recently, the author proved [13] that the exponent λ in the remainder term in (2) is the best possible when m is a multiple of 3 and is not the best possible otherwise. In this paper we give a simple formula for the exact exponent in the remainder term of (2) for an arbitrary m . Our method is based on constructing a recursion relation for the Newman-like sum corresponding to (1),

$$(4) \quad S_{m,a}(x) = \sum_{0 \leq n < x, n \equiv a \pmod{m}} (-1)^{s(n)}.$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11A63.

Key words and phrases: cyclotomic cosets of 2 modulo m , order of 2 modulo m , difference equation, trigonometric sums.

It is sufficient for our purposes to deal with odd numbers m . Indeed, it is easy to see that, if m is even, then

$$(5) \quad S_{m,a}(2x) = (-1)^a S_{m/2, \lfloor a/2 \rfloor}(x).$$

For $m > 1$ odd, consider the number $r = r(m)$ of distinct cyclotomic cosets of 2 modulo m [8, pp. 104–105]. E.g., $r(15) = 4$, since for $m = 15$ we have the following four cyclotomic cosets of 2: $\{1, 2, 4, 8\}$, $\{3, 6, 12, 9\}$, $\{5, 10\}$, $\{7, 14, 13, 11\}$.

Note that, if C_1, \dots, C_r are all different cyclotomic cosets of 2 modulo m , then

$$(6) \quad \bigcup_{j=1}^r C_j = \{1, \dots, m-1\}, \quad C_{j_1} \cap C_{j_2} = \emptyset, \quad j_1 \neq j_2.$$

Let h be the least common multiple of $|C_1|, \dots, |C_r|$,

$$(7) \quad h = [|C_1|, \dots, |C_r|].$$

Note that h is of order 2 modulo m (this follows easily, e.g., from Exercise 3, p. 104 in [12]).

DEFINITION 1. The *exact exponent* in the remainder term in (2) is $\alpha = \alpha(m)$ if

$$T_{m,a}^j(x) = \frac{x}{2m} + O(x^{\alpha+\varepsilon}), \quad T_{m,a}^j(x) = \frac{x}{2m} + \Omega(x^{\alpha-\varepsilon}), \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

Our main result is the following.

THEOREM 1. *If $m \geq 3$ is odd, then the exact exponent in the remainder term in (2) is*

$$(8) \quad \alpha = \max_{1 \leq l \leq m-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{h \ln 2} \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} \left(\ln \left| \sin \frac{\pi l 2^k}{m} \right| \right) \right).$$

Note that, if 2 is a primitive root of an odd prime p , then $r = 1$, $h = p-1$. As a corollary of Theorem 1 we obtain the following result.

THEOREM 2. *If p is an odd prime for which 2 is a primitive root, then the exact exponent in the remainder term in (2) is*

$$(9) \quad \alpha = \frac{\ln p}{(p-1) \ln 2}.$$

Theorem 2 generalizes the well-known result for $p = 3$ ([11], [2], [1]). Furthermore, we say that 2 is a *semiprimitive root* modulo p if 2 is of order $(p-1)/2$ modulo p and the congruence $2^x \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ is not solvable. E.g., 2 is of order 8 modulo 17, but the congruence $2^x \equiv -1 \pmod{17}$ has the solution $x = 4$. Therefore, 2 is not a semiprimitive root of 17. The first

primes for which 2 is a semiprimitive root are (see [14, A 139035])

$$(10) \quad 7, 23, 47, 71, 79, 103, 167, 191, 199, 239, 263, \dots$$

For these primes we have $r = 2$ and $h = (p - 1)/2$. As a second corollary of Theorem 1 we obtain the following result.

THEOREM 3. *If p is an odd prime for which 2 is a semiprimitive root, then the exact exponent α in the remainder term in (2) is also given by (9).*

We also prove the following lower estimate for $\alpha(m)$.

THEOREM 4. *For m odd,*

$$\alpha(m) \geq \frac{\ln m}{rh \ln 2}.$$

In particular, if $m = p$ is prime, then $rh = p - 1$ and

$$\alpha(p) \geq \frac{\ln p}{(p - 1) \ln 2}.$$

Note that, if Artin's conjecture of the infinity of primes for which 2 is a primitive root is true, then by Theorem 2,

$$\liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(p) = 0.$$

In Section 2 we provide an explicit formula for $S_{m,a}(x)$, while in Sections 3–4 we prove Theorems 1–4.

2. Explicit formula for $S_{m,a}(x)$. Let $[x] = N$. We have

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} S_{m,a}(N) &= \sum_{n=0, m|n-a}^{N-1} (-1)^{s(n)} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{t=0}^{m-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (-1)^{s(n)} e^{2\pi i(n-a)t/m} \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{t=0}^{m-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e^{2\pi i(\frac{t}{m}(n-a) + \frac{1}{2}s(n))}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the interior sum is of the form

$$(12) \quad \Phi_{a,\beta}(N) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e^{2\pi i(\beta(n-a) + \frac{1}{2}s(n))}, \quad 0 \leq \beta < 1.$$

Putting

$$(13) \quad F_\beta(N) = e^{2\pi i\beta a} \Phi_{a,\beta}(N),$$

we note that $F_\beta(N)$ does not depend on a .

LEMMA 1. *If $N = 2^{\nu_0} + 2^{\nu_1} + \dots + 2^{\nu_\sigma}$ with $\nu_0 > \nu_1 > \dots > \nu_\sigma \geq 0$, then*

$$(14) \quad F_\beta(N) = \sum_{g=0}^{\sigma} e^{2\pi i(\beta \sum_{j=0}^{g-1} 2^{\nu_j} + g/2)} \prod_{k=0}^{\nu_g-1} (1 + e^{2\pi i(\beta 2^k + 1/2)}).$$

Proof. Let $\sigma = 0$. Then by (12) and (13),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (15) \quad F_\beta(N) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (-1)^{s(n)} e^{2\pi i \beta n} \\
 &= 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{\nu_0-1} e^{2\pi i \beta 2^j} + \sum_{0 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq \nu_0-1} e^{2\pi i \beta (2^{j_1} + 2^{j_2})} - \dots \\
 &= \prod_{k=0}^{\nu_0-1} (1 - e^{2\pi i \beta 2^k}),
 \end{aligned}$$

which corresponds to (14) for $\sigma = 0$.

Assuming that (14) is valid for every N with $s(N) = \sigma + 1$, let us consider $N_1 = 2^{\nu_\sigma} b + 2^{\nu_{\sigma+1}}$ where b is odd, $s(b) = \sigma + 1$ and $\nu_{\sigma+1} < \nu_\sigma$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 N &= 2^{\nu_\sigma} b = 2^{\nu_0} + \dots + 2^{\nu_\sigma}, \\
 N_1 &= 2^{\nu_0} + \dots + 2^{\nu_\sigma} + 2^{\nu_{\sigma+1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that for $n \in [0, 2^{\nu_{\sigma+1}})$ we have

$$s(N + n) = s(N) + s(n).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_\beta(N_1) &= F_\beta(N) + \sum_{n=N}^{N_1-1} e^{2\pi i (\beta n + \frac{1}{2} s(n))} \\
 &= F_\beta(N) + \sum_{n=0}^{2^{\nu_{\sigma+1}}-1} e^{2\pi i (\beta n + \beta N + \frac{1}{2} (s(N) + s(n)))} \\
 &= F_\beta(N) + e^{2\pi i (\beta N + \frac{1}{2} s(N))} \sum_{n=0}^{2^{\nu_{\sigma+1}}-1} e^{2\pi i (\beta n + \frac{1}{2} s(n))}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (14) and (15),

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_\beta(N_1) &= \sum_{g=0}^{\sigma} e^{2\pi i (\beta \sum_{j=0}^{g-1} 2^{\nu_j} + g/2)} \prod_{k=0}^{\nu_g-1} (1 + e^{2\pi i (\beta 2^k + 1/2)}) \\
 &\quad + e^{2\pi i (\beta \sum_{j=0}^{\sigma} 2^{\nu_j} + (\sigma+1)/2)} \prod_{k=0}^{\nu_{g+1}-1} (1 + e^{2\pi i (\beta 2^k + 1/2)}) \\
 &= \sum_{g=0}^{\sigma+1} e^{2\pi i (\beta \sum_{j=0}^{g-1} 2^{\nu_j} + g/2)} \prod_{k=0}^{\nu_g-1} (1 + e^{2\pi i (\beta 2^k + 1/2)}). \blacksquare
 \end{aligned}$$

Formulas (11)–(14) give an explicit expression for $S_m(N)$ as a linear combination of products of the form

$$(16) \quad \prod_{k=0}^{\nu_g-1} (1 + e^{2\pi i(\beta 2^k + 1/2)}), \quad \beta = t/m, \quad 0 \leq t \leq m-1.$$

REMARK 1. One may derive (14) from a very complicated general formula of Gelfond [7]. However, we preferred to give an independent proof.

In particular, if $N = 2^\nu$, then from (11)–(13) and (15) for

$$(17) \quad \beta = t/m, \quad t = 0, 1, \dots, m-1,$$

we obtain the known formula (cf. [4]):

$$(18) \quad S_{m,a}(2^\nu) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{t=1}^{m-1} e^{-2\pi i \frac{t}{m} a} \prod_{k=0}^{\nu-1} (1 - e^{2\pi i \frac{t}{m} 2^k}).$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1. Consider the equation of order r

$$(19) \quad z^r + c_1 z^{r-1} + \dots + c_r = 0$$

with the roots

$$(20) \quad z_j = \prod_{t \in C_j} (1 - e^{2\pi i t/m}), \quad j = 1, \dots, r.$$

Notice that for $t \in C_j$ we have

$$(21) \quad \prod_{k=n+1}^{n+h} (1 - e^{2\pi i t 2^k/m}) = \left(\prod_{t \in C_j} (1 - e^{2\pi i t/m}) \right)^{h/h_j} = z_j^{h/h_j},$$

where h is defined by (7). Therefore, for every $t \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$, according to (19) we have

$$(22) \quad \prod_{k=n+1}^{n+rh} (1 - e^{2\pi i t 2^k/m}) + c_1 \prod_{k=n+1}^{n+(r-1)h} (1 - e^{2\pi i t 2^k/m}) + \dots + c_{r-1} \prod_{k=n+1}^{n+h} (1 - e^{2\pi i t 2^k/m}) + c_r = 0.$$

After multiplication by $e^{-2\pi i \frac{t}{m} a} \prod_{k=0}^n (1 - e^{2\pi i t 2^k/m})$ and summing over $t = 1, \dots, m-1$, by (18) we find

$$(23) \quad S_{m,a}(2^{n+rh+1}) + c_1 S_{m,a}(2^{n+(r-1)h+1}) + \dots + c_{r-1} S_{m,a}(2^{n+h+1}) + c_r S_{m,a}(2^{n+1}) = 0.$$

Moreover, using the general formulas (11)–(14) for a positive integer u , we obtain the equality

$$(24) \quad S_{m,a}(2^{rh+1}u) + c_1 S_{m,a}(2^{(r-1)h+1}u) \\ + \cdots + c_{r-1} S_{m,a}(2^{h+1}u) + c_r S_{m,a}(2u) = 0.$$

Putting here

$$(25) \quad S_{m,a}(2^u) = f_{m,a}(u),$$

we have

$$(26) \quad f_{m,a}(y + rh + 1) + c_1 f_{m,a}(y + (r-1)h + 1) \\ + \cdots + c_{r-1} f_{m,a}(y + h + 1) + c_r f_{m,a}(y + 1) = 0,$$

where

$$(27) \quad y = \log_2 u.$$

The characteristic equation of (26) is

$$(28) \quad v^{rh} + c_1 v^{(r-1)h} + \cdots + c_{r-1} v^h + c_r = 0.$$

A comparison of (28) and (20)–(21) shows that the roots of (28) are

$$(29) \quad v_{j,w} = e^{2\pi i w/h} \prod_{t \in C_j} (1 - e^{2\pi i t/m})^{1/h}, \quad w = 0, \dots, h-1, j = 1, \dots, r.$$

Thus,

$$(30) \quad v = \max |v_{j,l}| = 2 \max_{1 \leq l \leq m-1} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{h-1} \left| \sin \frac{\pi l 2^k}{m} \right| \right)^{1/h}.$$

Generally speaking, some numbers in (20) could be equal. In view of (29), the $v_{j,w}$'s have the same multiplicities. If η is the maximal multiplicity, then according to (25) and (27),

$$(31) \quad S_{m,a}(u) = f_{m,a}(\log_2 u) = O((\log_2 u)^{\eta-1} u^{\ln v / \ln 2}).$$

Nevertheless, at least

$$(32) \quad S_{m,a}(u) = \Omega(u^{\ln v / \ln 2}).$$

Indeed, let, say, $v = |v_{1,w}|$ and suppose that in the solution of (26) with some natural initial conditions, all coefficients of $y^{j_1} v_{1,w}^{y_{j_1}}$, $j_1 \leq \eta - 1$, $w = 0, \dots, h-1$, are 0. Then $f_{m,a}(y)$ satisfies a difference equation with the characteristic equation not having roots $v_{1,w}$, and the corresponding relation for $S_{m,a}(2^n)$ (see (23)) has the characteristic equation (19) without the root z_1 . This is impossible since by (18) and (21) we have

$$S_{m,a}(2^{h+1}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{t \in C_j} e^{-2\pi i \frac{t}{m} a} \prod_{k=1}^h (1 - e^{2\pi i \frac{t}{m} 2^k}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{t \in C_j} e^{-2\pi i \frac{t}{m} a} z_j^{h/h_j}.$$

Therefore, the coefficients considered do not all vanish, and (32) follows. Now from (30)–(32) we obtain (8). ■

REMARK 2. In (8) it is sufficient to let l run over a system of distinct representatives of the cyclotomic cosets C_1, \dots, C_r of 2 modulo m .

REMARK 3. It is easy to see that there exists $l \geq 1$ such that $|C_l| = 2$ if and only if m is a multiple of 3. Moreover, for l we can take $m/3$. Now from (8), choosing $l = m/3$, we obtain $\alpha = \lambda = \ln 3/\ln 4$. This result was obtained in [13] together with estimates of the constants in $S_{m,0}(x) = O(x^\lambda)$ and $S_{m,0}(x) = \Omega(x^\lambda)$ which are based on the formula, proved in [13],

$$S_{m,0}(x) = \frac{3}{m} S_{3,0}(x) + O(x^{\lambda_1})$$

for $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(m) < \lambda$ and Coquet's theorem [2].

EXAMPLE 1. Let $m = 17$, $a = 0$. Then $r = 2$, $h = 8$,

$$C_1 = \{1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 15, 13, 9\}, \quad C_2 = \{3, 6, 12, 7, 14, 11, 5, 10\}.$$

The calculation of

$$\alpha_l = 1 + \frac{1}{8 \ln 2} \sum_{k=0}^{17} \left(\ln \left| \sin \frac{\pi l 2^k}{17} \right| \right)$$

for $l = 1$ and $l = 3$ gives

$$\alpha_1 = -0.12228749 \dots, \quad \alpha_3 = 0.63322035 \dots$$

Therefore by Theorem 1, $\alpha = 0.63322035 \dots$. Moreover, we will prove that

$$\alpha = \frac{\ln(17 + 4\sqrt{17})}{\ln 256}.$$

Indeed, according to (23), for $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ we obtain the system ($S_{17,0} = S_{17}$):

$$(33) \quad \begin{cases} c_1 S_{17}(2^9) + c_2 S_{17}(2) = -S_{17}(2^{17}), \\ c_1 S_{17}(2^{10}) + c_2 S_{17}(2^2) = -S_{17}(2^{18}). \end{cases}$$

By direct calculations we find

$$\begin{aligned} S_{17}(2) &= 1, & S_{17}(2^2) &= 1, & S_{17}(2^9) &= 21, \\ S_{17}(2^{10}) &= 29, & S_{17}(2^{17}) &= 697, & S_{17}(2^{18}) &= 969. \end{aligned}$$

Solving (33) we obtain

$$c_1 = -34, \quad c_2 = 17.$$

Thus, by (23) and (24),

$$(34) \quad S_{17}(2^{n+17}) = 34S_{17}(2^{n+9}) - 17S_{17}(2^{n+1}), \quad n \geq 0,$$

$$(35) \quad S_{17}(2^{17}x) = 34S_{17}(2^9x) - 17S_{17}(2x), \quad x \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Putting furthermore

$$(36) \quad S_{17}(2^x) = f(x),$$

we have

$$f(y + 17) = 34f(y + 9) - 17(y + 1),$$

where $y = \log_2 x$. Hence,

$$f(x) = O((17 + 4\sqrt{17})^{x/8}),$$

that is

$$(37) \quad S_{17}(x) = O((17 + 4\sqrt{17})^{\frac{1}{8} \log_2 x}) = O(x^\alpha),$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{\ln(17 + 4\sqrt{17})}{\ln 256} = 0.633220353 \dots$$

4. Proofs of Theorems 2–4

Proof of Theorem 2. By the assumptions of Theorem 2 we have $r = 1$ and $h = p - 1$. Using (8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= 1 + \frac{1}{(p-1)\ln 2} \ln \prod_{k=0}^{p-2} \left| \sin \frac{\pi 2^k}{p} \right| \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{(p-1)\ln 2} \ln \prod_{l=1}^{p-1} \sin \frac{\pi l}{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, using the identity

$$(38) \quad \prod_{l=1}^{p-1} \sin \frac{l\pi}{p} = \frac{p}{2^{p-1}}$$

([6, p. 378] for example), we find

$$\alpha = 1 + \frac{1}{(p-1)\ln 2} (\ln p - (p-1)\ln 2) = \frac{\ln p}{(p-1)\ln 2}. \quad \blacksquare$$

REMARK 4. In this case, (24) has the simple form

$$S_{p,a}(2^p u) + c_1 S_{p,a}(2u) = 0.$$

Since in the case of $a = 0$ or 1 we have

$$S_{p,a}(2) = (-1)^{s(a)},$$

while in the case of $a \geq 2$,

$$S_{p,a}(2a) = (-1)^{s(a)},$$

putting

$$u = \begin{cases} 1, & a = 0, 1, \\ a, & a \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

we find

$$c_1 = (-1)^{s(a)+1} \begin{cases} S_{p,a}(2^p), & a = 0, 1, \\ S_{p,a}(a2^p), & a \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

In particular, if $p = 3$ and $a = 2$ we have $c_1 = S_{3,2}(16) = -3$ and

$$S_{3,2}(8u) = 3S_{3,2}(2u).$$

Proof of Theorem 3. By the assumptions of Theorem 3 we have $r = 2$ and $h = (p - 1)/2$, so that cyclotomic cosets of 2 modulo p satisfy

$$C_1 = -C_2.$$

Therefore, in (8) we obtain the same values for $l_1 = 1$ and $l_2 = p - 1$. Thus,

$$\alpha = 1 + \frac{2}{(p-1)\ln 2} \ln \left(\prod_{l=1}^{p-1} \sin \frac{\pi l}{p} \right)^{1/2} = \frac{\ln p}{(p-1)\ln 2}. \blacksquare$$

Proof of Theorem 4. According to (19)–(20),

$$c_r = (-1)^r \prod_{j=1}^r \prod_{t \in C_j} (1 - e^{2\pi it/m}) = (-1)^r \prod_{t=1}^{m-1} (1 - e^{2\pi it/m}).$$

Thus, using (38) we have

$$|c_r| = 2^m \prod_{t=1}^{m-1} \sin \frac{\pi t}{m} = m.$$

Consequently, by (29),

$$\prod_{j=1}^r |v_{j,w}| = m^{1/h}, \quad w = 0, 1, \dots, h - 1.$$

Therefore,

$$v = \max |v_{j,w}| \geq m^{1/rh}$$

and Theorem 4 follows. \blacksquare

Using Theorems 1–3, in particular, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(3) &= 0.7924\dots, & \alpha(5) &= 0.5804\dots, & \alpha(7) &= 0.4678\dots, \\ \alpha(11) &= 0.3459\dots, & \alpha(13) &= 0.3083\dots, & \alpha(17) &= 0.6332\dots, \\ \alpha(19) &= 0.2359\dots, & \alpha(23) &= 0.2056\dots, & \alpha(29) &= 0.1734\dots, \\ \alpha(31) &= 0.6358\dots, & \alpha(37) &= 0.1447\dots, & \alpha(41) &= 0.4339\dots, \\ \alpha(43) &= 0.6337\dots, & \alpha(47) &= 0.1207\dots \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to Professor Daniel Berend (Department of Mathematics and of Computer Science, Ben-Gurion University) for his significant influence on the final version of this paper.

The article is partly supported by a grant of the Kamea Foundation of Israeli Ministry of Absorption.

References

- [1] J.-P. Allouche and J. Shallit, *Automatic Sequences: Theory, Applications, Generalizations*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2003.
- [2] J. Coquet, *A summation formula related to the binary digits*, Invent. Math. 73 (1983), 107–115.
- [3] C. Dartige et G. Tenenbaum, *Sommes des chiffres de multiples d'entiers*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 55 (2005), 2423–2474.
- [4] M. Drmota and M. Skałba, *Rarified sums of the Thue–Morse sequence*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352 (1999), 609–642.
- [5] M. Drmota and T. Stoll, *Newman's phenomenon for generalized Thue–Morse sequences*, Discrete Math. 308 (2008), 1191–1208.
- [6] G. Freiman and H. Halberstam, *On a product of sines*, Acta Arith. 49 (1988), 377–385.
- [7] A. O. Gelfond, *Sur les nombres qui ont des propriétés additives et multiplicatives données*, ibid. 13 (1968), 259–265.
- [8] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane, *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*, Elsevier/North-Holland, 1977.
- [9] C. Mauduit et J. Rivat, *Sur un problème de Gelfond : la somme des chiffres des nombres premiers*, Ann. of Math., to appear.
- [10] C. Mauduit and A. Sárközy, *On the arithmetic structure of sets characterized by sum of digits properties*, J. Number Theory 61 (1996), 25–38.
- [11] D. J. Newman, *On the number of binary digits in a multiple of three*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 21 (1969), 719–721.
- [12] D. Redmond, *Number Theory: an Introduction*, Dekker, New York, 1996.
- [13] V. Shevelev, *Generalized Newman phenomena and digit conjectures on primes*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2008, ID 908045.
- [14] N. J. A. Sloane, *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, <http://www.research.att.com>.
- [15] T. Stoll, *Multi-parametric extensions of Newman's phenomenon*, Integers 5 (3) (2005), #14.

Department of Mathematics
Ben-Gurion University of the Negev
Beer-Sheva 84105, Israel
E-mail: shevelev@bgu.ac.il

Received on 10.6.2008
and in revised form on 1.8.2008

(5734)